arXiv:1512.08942v1 [math.SG] 30 Dec 2015

CLUSTER VARIETIES FROM LEGENDRIAN KNOTS

VIVEK SHENDE, DAVID TREUMANN, HAROLD WILLIAMS, AND ERIC ZASLOW

Abstract. We show that many interesting spaces — including all positroid strata and wild character varieties — are moduli of constructible sheaves on a surface with microsupport in a Legendrian link. We recover the cluster structures on these spaces from the Floer theory of Lagrangian fillings of these Legendrian links. We also use results in cluster algebra to construct and distinguish exact Lagrangian fillings of Legendrian knots in the standard contact three space.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Moduli problems from Legendrian knots 3
1.2. Cluster charts from alternating Legendrians 5
1.3. Fillings in contact $\mathbb{R}^3$ 7
1.4. Further directions 8
2. Constructible sheaves, microlocalization, and moduli 11
2.1. Singular support 11
2.2. Invariance under contact transformations 13
2.3. Microlocalization and the Fukaya category 15
2.4. Moduli spaces 16
3. Microlocally abelian moduli problems 17
3.1. Microlocal abelianization 17
3.2. Positroid strata and the Grassmannian 18
3.3. Wild character varieties 21
4. Alternating Legendrians 23
4.1. Alternating colorings 23
4.2. The conjugate Lagrangian 24
4.3. Alternating sheaves 28
5. Cluster combinatorics from Legendrian isotopy 30
5.1. Alternating Legendrians from braids 31
5.2. The square move 36
5.3. The boundary measurement map 40
6. Distinguishing fillings 44
References 48

1. Introduction

The moduli space of local systems on a punctured surface admits a cluster structure — which is to say, a space of *nonabelian* representations of the fundamental group can be built out of *abelian* objects, algebraic tori. Our purpose here is to give this discovery of Fock and Goncharov a geometric interpretation, coming from the study of Legendrians as boundary conditions in microlocal geometry.

This latter subject concerns sheaves on some base manifold which are constructible — i.e., which have constant restrictions to each stratum of some stratification. The Legendrians occur in the cosphere bundle, and arise as collections of conormal vectors measuring the failure of the sheaf to be locally constant — the so called *microsupport* of the sheaf. In particular, local systems on a punctured surface naturally give rise to constructible sheaves on the unpunctured surface: one fills in the punctures and extends the sheaf by zero. The microsupport of the resulting sheaf is the union of the cocircles over the would-be punctures.

Abelianization begins at this microlocal boundary: we replace a cocircle by its Legendrian satellite determined by an n-strand positive braid, and then study moduli of sheaves with microsupport in the satellite. Sheaves microsupported along either the cocircle or the satellite give rise to local systems on these respective Legendrians. For example, the monodromy of a rank-n local system around a puncture determines a rank-n local system on the cocircle. On the other hand, there is surjective map from sheaves defining rank-one local systems on the satellite to sheaves defining rank-n local systems on the cocircle. Hence microlocally we have replaced a nonabelian moduli problem with an abelian one.

These new moduli problems include many of classical interest. In the simplest case — when the braid is trivial — one recovers the notion of local systems with monodromy invariant filtrations at the punctures. More general braids allow the filtration to vary — in particular, moduli spaces of local systems with Stokes data can be described in this way. In the special case when the surface is S^2 and we insert a single braid, one arrives at moduli spaces whose point counts recover a certain term of the HOMFLY polynomial of the braid. For particular choices of braid, framed variants of these moduli spaces recover the positroid strata of the Grassmannian.

Such spaces naturally contain open toric charts coming from exact Lagrangians in the cotangent bundle which fill the satellite asymptotically. Studying family Floer homology from cotangent fibers to such a filling provides an open inclusion from the moduli of abelian local systems on the filling to constructible sheaves on the base with microsupport in the satellite. Given different Lagrangian fillings, these abelian charts overlap in ways controlled by wall-crossing formulae, which are cluster transformations in the simplest cases. Exact Lagrangian fillings of the satellite thus play a role similar to that of Hitchin spectral curves in the work of Gaiotto, Moore, and Neitzke.

Constructing Lagrangian fillings is a difficult task in general, but we introduce a simple construction in the present case. On one hand, we show that the satellites can be Legendrian isotoped in such a way that their front projections become alternating. On the other, we show that every alternating Legendrian carries a canonical filling, which gives rise to a filling of the satellite by Hamiltonian isotopy. The canonical filling retracts to a bicolored graph embedded in the base surface, and is an exact Lagrangian embedding of the conjugate surface introduced by Goncharov and Kenyon. The so-called *square move* on graphs corresponds to a Legendrian isotopy from one alternating Legendrian to another; the corresponding Lagrangian fillings differ by a Lagrangian surgery, and the comparison of the resulting charts is given by a cluster $\mathcal{X}$-transformation. In short, we embed the combinatorial account by which bicolored graphs provide cluster charts into a symplecto-geometric account by which exact Lagrangian fillings do the same.

We emphasize the following new feature: each bicolored graph, or equivalently, each alternating Legendrian, determines at once both an algebraic torus of local systems on the filling, and a larger moduli space of sheaves with microsupport in the Legendrian. This latter moduli space has a direct global definition which is a priori invariant under Legendrian isotopy — in particular, under the square move — rather than being defined via some gluing procedure of the algebraic tori. Moreover, the appearance of the cluster transformation formula and other combinatorial structures are the result of calculations, rather than definitions.

Finally, the above framework allows us to translate results from cluster algebra into symplectic geometry; allowing us in particular to make new inroads into the classification of exact fillings of Lagrangian knots. The idea is that, on the one hand, the cluster chart coming from a filling is a Hamiltonian isotopy invariant of the filling, and on the other, in many cases it is known when such cluster charts fail to coincide.

1.1. **Moduli problems from Legendrian knots.** A contact manifold is a $2n-1$ dimensional manifold with a maximally non-integrable hyperplane field; an $n-1$ dimensional submanifold is said to be Legendrian if it is everywhere tangent to the hyperplanes. Given any manifold M, its cosphere bundle $T^\infty M$ carries a tautological hyperplane distribution, making it contact; these and their submanifolds will be the only contact manifolds of interest here. The image of a Legendrian under $\pi : T^\infty M \to M$ is called its *front projection*. Wherever the front projection is an embedded submanifold, the original Legendrian is almost determined by the condition that it is lifted from the front projection to conormal directions annihilating its tangent spaces. The remaining ambiguity is fixed by a co-orientation of the front projection, a choice of one of the two orientations of the one-dimensional conormal bundle. For Legendrian links we indicate this section in diagrams by locally identifying the normal and conormal directions, and drawing hairs in the corresponding normal direction as in Figure 1.

To a Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty M$ is associated the category $Sh_\Lambda(M)$ of constructible sheaves on M with microsupport in Λ [KS]. Informally, the data of such a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is: a local system on each connected component of the complement in M of the front projection $\pi(\Lambda) \subset M$, and morphisms between them along paths which point away from Λ whenever they cross $\pi(\Lambda)$. Such paths are called *characteristic* in the literature. The cones of these morphisms glue to an object $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ on Λ itself. The Legendrians we study here are smooth and their front projections $\Lambda \to M$ are immersions; in this case $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is naturally identified with a local system on Λ, which we call its microlocalization along Λ (we termed it the "microlocal monodromy" in [STZ]).

The study of such categories is a powerful tool in symplectic geometry [Tam, Gui], and is closely related to Floer-theoretic methods [NZ, Nad]. In particular, this category transforms naturally under contact isotopy [GKS], it provides an invariant of the Legendrian [STZ] which carries comparable information to that provided by other current approaches to Legendrian geometry [NRSSZ, Sh].

Our interest here is in moduli spaces of objects in $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)$ for a surface Σ. We fix discrete data for these by requiring the microlocalization along Λ to be concentrated in degree zero, and then by prescribing its rank. We are most interested in the case of microlocal rank one. We also specify a collection of points $\sigma \subset \Sigma$ and demand $\mathcal{F}_\sigma = 0$. We denote the moduli space of such objects as $\mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$, though we may omit some of the parameters when no confusion should arise.

We are interested here in the case where Σ is a surface, and mostly in Legendrians of the following form:

Definition 1.1. We say Λ is a rank-n *Legendrian braid satellite* of Λ_0 if it sits in a standard ϵ-neighborhood of Λ_0, and the piece near each component of Λ_0 is the closure of a positive n-strand braid.

When Λ_0 is a collection of cocircles, the moduli spaces which arise are closely related to moduli spaces of representations of the fundamental group of Σ.

Proposition 1.2. *Let Σ be an oriented surface, $\sigma \subset \Sigma$ a collection of points, and Λ a rank-n Legendrian braid satellite of the union of cocircles $T^\infty_\sigma \Sigma$. Then $\mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$ is an Artin stack and restriction to the complement of a neighborhood of σ gives a natural map to the moduli space of rank-n local systems:*

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma) \to Loc_n(\Sigma \smallsetminus \sigma)$$

If the braids involved are trivial, the resulting moduli space is exactly the moduli space of local systems equipped with invariant filtrations at the punctures. One contribution of the present work is the observation that non-trivial braids give rise to spaces of independent interest from a variety of other points of view.

Example 1.3. Let us compute the moduli space of microlocal rank-one sheaves associated to the Legendrian whose front projection is pictured on the left in Figure 1. This Legendrian is the satellite formed by taking the braid on two strands, twisted five times, and inserting it in a neighborhood of the cocircle over the North pole of S^2; the front projection of this lands in the complement of the North pole, which we identify with the page.

A sheaf with microsupport in this Legendrian restricts to a local system on each component of the complement of the front projection. We are considering sheaves vanishing at the north pole, so these local systems are only nonzero on the six components which are bounded in the picture. Since these are contractible, the local systems are just the data of six vector spaces (for now we work over a ground field $\Bbbk$). The sheaf is then determined by the data of these vector spaces together with linear maps associated to paths going against the hairs. If the sheaf has microlocal rank-one, the dimensions increase by one as we



FIGURE 1. On the left, a Legendrian braid satellite whose rank-one moduli space recovers the open positroid stratum in $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$. The Legendrian lives in the cocircle bundle of the page; the hairs drawn along the immersed curve indicate the conormal directions of the lift of the projection. On the right, a bipartite graph whose associated alternating Legendrian is related to the knot on the left by Legendrian isotopy. If we restrict our attention to a disk whose boundary passes through the five white vertices we obtain a reduced plabic graph in the terminology of [Pos].

move against the direction of the hairs, hence are as indicated in the picture. Choosing bases for the vector spaces involved, we can can encode the linear maps as the columns of a matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 & e_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & d_2 & e_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.1.1}$$

The condition that the pictured sheaf has singular support on Λ — as opposed to the union of Λ with the cocircle fibers over the crossings in the front projection — translates to the condition that any two cyclically adjacent columns of (1.1.1) are linearly independent (see [STZ, Sec. 5]).

Two such matrices correspond to isomorphic sheaves if they are related by a combination of left multiplication by GL_2 and right multiplication by the diagonal subgroup of GL_5. Thus the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$ is the quotient of the space of 2×5 matrices satisfying the crossing conditions by these symmetries. In other words, $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$ is the configuration space of 5 cyclically ordered points in $\mathbb{P}^1$, with the condition that cyclic neighbors are distinct.

We can also frame this story by considering sheaves with fixed trivializations of their one-dimensional stalks. These are still represented by matrices as in (1.1.1), but now two matrices are equivalent if and only if they are related by the left GL_2 action. The moduli space of so-framed microlocal rank-one sheaves is an open subset of the Grassmannian of two-planes in five-space. The crossing conditions above are exactly the conditions that define the *big positroid stratum* of $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$ [Pos].

In Section 3.2, we generalize the above construction to all positroid strata — the strata of the cyclic refinement of the Schubert stratification introduced by Postnikov in the study of total positivity [Pos]. In special cases positroid strata can be identified with other varieties of interest in combinatorics, representation theory, and algebraic geometry, for example double Bruhat cells and spaces of configurations of flags [KLS, BFZ, FG2]. We will show that one of the combinatorial formalisms for labelling strata – so-called *cyclic rank matrices* [KLS] – directly translates to a modular description of these strata in terms of Legendrian knots:

Theorem 3.8. Every positroid stratum is the framed moduli space of a Legendrian braid satellite of the cocircle over a point in the two-sphere.

The left-hand picture in Figure 1 has another natural interpretation: it is the *Stokes diagram* of the the second order linear differential operator $d^2 - z^3$. More generally, we will see that the differential operator



FIGURE 2. On the left is the conjugate Lagrangian L which fills the alternating Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty D^2$ pictured on the right. The shaded regions on the right indicate the image of L under its projection to D^2. This projection fails to be one-to-one above the crossings of Λ, indicated by the red arcs on the left which collapse onto the red dots on the right. The pullback to L of the bipartite graph associated to Λ is also shown on the left. Near the white/black vertices the projection from L to D^2 is orientation preserving/reversing; the twisting that happens over the crossings means that to draw L on the page the top/bottom of the right picture actually correspond to the inner part of the left picture.

$d^n - z^k$ is naturally associated to the big positroid stratum in $Gr(n, k+n)$. Recall that the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence identifies the space of local systems on a punctured Riemann surface with the space of meromorphic differential equations with regular singularities at the punctures. In generalizing the de Rham side to spaces of differential equations with irregular singularities, those whose solutions have faster-than-polynomial growth, one generalizes the Betti side to local systems with Stokes filtrations [Mal, DMR, BV1, BV2, BV3]. That is, one considers local systems equipped with filtrations on their spaces of flat sections along each radial direction of approach towards a singularity. As one moves around a singularity, these filtrations jump at certain loci, the Stokes rays, in a way that reflects the singular part of the asymptotic expansion of the differential equation.

We trade the filtered sheaf of solutions on the circle of radial directions at a singularity for a sheaf on $\mathbb{R}\times S^1$. Prescribing the associated graded translates into prescribing a certain Legendrian microsupport, which we term variously the *Stokes Legendrian* or *asymptotic link* of the irregular singularity; the Stokes diagram is its front projection [KKP]. The irregular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence identifies the Betti incarnation of the moduli space of irregular connections with a fixed formal type as a moduli space of constructible sheaves with microsupport in the Stokes Legendrian. We give an explicit formulation in Section 3.3.

1.2. **Cluster charts from alternating Legendrians.** We turn from sheaves on Σ to the Fukaya category of $T^*\Sigma$. Legendrians again serve as boundary conditions: given a Legendrian Λ, we can consider Lagrangian branes supported on Lagrangians L with $\partial L = \Lambda$. Recall that a Lagrangian brane is an exact Lagrangian equipped with some additional data, in particular a local system. These give some, but not all, objects of the category $Fuk_\Lambda(T^*\Sigma)$ reviewed in Section 2.3.

The microlocalization theorem [Nad, NZ] gives an identification $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma) \cong Fuk_\Lambda(T^*\Sigma)$ — in a cartoon, the singular conical Lagrangian microsupport of a sheaf is smoothed to an exact Lagrangian filling of its Legendrian boundary. This equivalence plays a crucial role here: the identification of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$ with spaces of classical interest most naturally takes place in the essentially combinatorial framework of constructible sheaf theory, but the appearance of cluster charts and cluster transformations is most natural from the point of view of Floer theory in the cotangent bundle.

The key point is that given a fixed exact Lagrangian filling L of Λ, the space of rank-one Lagrangian branes supported on L is contained in $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$ and is parametrized by the algebraic torus $Loc_1(L)$ of rank-one local systems on L. Constructing exact fillings is nontrivial in general, but we introduce a canonical construction of fillings for Legendrians which are *alternating* in an appropriate sense – see Lemma 4.1.



FIGURE 3. The left and right frames show the front projections of alternating Legendrians related by a square move of their associated bipartite graphs. There is a canonical Legendrian isotopy between them, which passes through alternating Legendrians except at one moment pictured in the middle. This Legendrian has a singular exact filling which meets the cotangent space of the origin in the union of the conormal lines to the x- and y-axes. Thus the isotopy of Legendrians is associated to a family of exact fillings that undergoes a Lagrangian surgery.

Theorem 4.9. An alternating Legendrian link has a canonical exact Lagrangian filling.

The front projections of alternating Legendrians are referred to as *alternating strand diagrams* following [Pos]. They can be encoded by bicolored graphs Γ embedded in Σ, and their components are also called the *zig-zag paths* of Γ; see the right picture of Figure 1 for an example. The underlying topological surface of the conjugate Lagrangian is also familiar: it is the conjugate surface of Γ [GK], and thus we refer to our Lagrangian filling as the *conjugate Lagrangian*. Its embedding into $T^*\Sigma$ can be chosen so that its intersection with the zero section is exactly the graph Γ, onto which it retracts.

Given a Legendrian whose rank-one moduli space is of interest, we can produce exact Lagrangian fillings by finding Legendrian isotopy representatives which are alternating; their conjugate Lagrangians give rise to fillings of the original Legendrian by Hamiltonian isotopy. For Legendrian braid satellites, we give the following general existence result following ideas of [Thu, Pos, GK]:

Theorem 5.4. Let Σ be a surface, $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$ a nonempty collection of k marked points, $\beta : \sigma \to Br_n^+$ be a choice of positive annular braid at each marked point. If Σ has genus zero and $k = 1$, assume β can be written as $\beta'\Delta^2$ where Δ denotes a half-twist. Then the associated Legendrian braid satellite is Legendrian isotopic to an alternating Legendrian in $T^\infty(S \setminus \sigma)$.

Given one such representative, we can generate others through by a Legendrian interpretation of the *square move*. This is an operation which acts on a quadrilateral face of the graph Γ to produce a new graph Γ' as pictured in Figure 3. The associated alternating Legendrians Λ, Λ' are Legendrian isotopic in a canonical way, so by [GKS] there is a canonical isomorphism between their moduli spaces. Since their conjugate Lagrangians retract onto the graphs, the associated tori have natural coordinates given by holonomies around the faces of the graphs. Thus we can compute the transition functions between these tori in $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda')$ in terms of face coordinates:

Theorem 5.13. Let L be the conjugate Lagrangian of an alternating Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$, and L', Λ' their counterparts upon performing a square move. The Legendrian isotopy $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$ induces an isomorphism of microlocal rank-one moduli spaces. In positive face coordinates, the inclusions

$$Loc_1(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda') \hookleftarrow Loc_1(L)$$

are related by the cluster $\mathcal{X}$-transformation associated to mutation of the dual quiver of the bicolored graph at the location of the square move.

Here the dual quiver to Γ has vertices labeled by faces of Γ, with arrows connecting neighboring faces so that white vertices are to their right. Geometrically, its signed adjacency matrix is the matrix of intersection pairings of the associated cycles in the conjugate Lagrangian. Cluster $\mathcal{X}$-transformations are birational maps

Cluster Combinatorics	Symplectic Geometry
Alternating Strand Diagram	Front Projection
Conjugate Surface	Exact Lagrangian Filling
Bipartite Graph	Filling $\cap$ Zero Section
Cluster Chart	$Loc_1(Filling)$
Square Move	Lagrangian Surgery

FIGURE 4. A summary of the relationships we have described between the cluster combinatorics of bipartite graphs on surfaces and their counterparts in symplectic geometry.

whose expressions in the face coordinates are prescribed by this quiver [FG2, GSV]. They are dual to the exchange relations used to define a cluster algebra [FZ] – we review the details in Section 5.2.

The above amounts to a computation of the Floer homology between the filling surfaces, although we have factored it through the equivalence between the Fukaya category and the category of constructible sheaves. This was easier for computational purposes, but the reason this transition gives rise to a *universal* change of coordinates is more clear from a geometric point of view. The isotopy between Λ and Λ' extends to a family of Lagrangian fillings interpolating between L and L' — we depict their projections to Σ in Figure 14. The family has a unique singular fiber with a nodal singularity, the quadrilateral face of $\Gamma \subset L$ is its vanishing cycle, and L and and L' differ by a Lagrangian surgery [LS, Pol]. In fact, the above theorem witnesses the failure of L and L' to be Hamiltonian isotopic (compatibly with the isotopy from Λ to Λ'): if they were, the two tori $Loc_1(L)$, $Loc_1(L')$ would coincide in $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$, but the cluster transformation is a nontrivial birational map. As surgery of exact Lagrangians is directly related to wall-crossing phenomena in Floer cohomology [FOOO, Chap. 10], this connects the cluster combinatorics of bipartite graphs to the appearance of cluster transformations as wall-crossing transformations [KoS, KoS2].

Taken together, the results discussed so far unify and generalize the constructions of cluster $\mathcal{X}$-variety structures in [GSV2, FG1, FG3, FST, FG2, Gon]. On one hand, the varieties considered in these works are all rank-one moduli spaces of Legendrian braid satellites for which Lemma 5.4 guarantees the existence of alternating isotopy representatives. For example, the so-called *cluster algebras from surfaces* studied in [FST] correspond to wild GL_2-character varieties, hence are determined by a collection of two-strand braids, and the more general spaces studied in [FG1] correspond to braids which are powers of the half-twist. On the other hand, the cluster combinatorics arising in the above works now emerges automatically from the following statement: alternating isotopy representatives of a given Legendrian suggest non-isotopic Lagrangian fillings, each of which is associated to a bicolored graph and gives rise to an algebraic torus on the moduli space.

Finally, while the original Legendrian braid satellite does not distinguish a particular filling, as one of its alternating representatives would, it may nevertheless suggest natural coordinates. In particular, we saw that moduli spaces of certain braids are manifestly positroid strata, which in particular are subsets of the Grassmannian defined by the vanishing and nonvanishing of different Plücker coordinates. An isotopy from the defining Legendrian braid to an alternating representative implicitly gives rise to a formula expressing the nonvanishing Plücker coordinates in terms of the coordinates on the cluster chart. Lemma 5.3 asserts that there is a unique homotopy class of such isotopies. We calculate the corresponding formula, and show that it has a familiar combinatorial description:

Theorem 5.17. Let $\Lambda_r \subset T^\infty D^2$ be the braid determined by a positroid stratum Π_r; that is, $\Pi_r \cong \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda)$. Let Λ' be an alternating Legendrian isotopic to Λ_r, Γ its bicolored graph, and L its conjugate Lagrangian. The morphism determined by the unique isotopy $\Lambda' \to \Lambda$,

$$Loc_1(\Gamma, \partial\Gamma) \cong Loc_1(L, \partial L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda) \cong \Pi_r$$

coincides, up to signs, with the boundary measurement map of Postnikov [Pos].

1.3. **Fillings in contact $\mathbb{R}^3$.** The framework we develop can be used to extract consequences in symplectic geometry and Legendrian knot theory from known results in cluster combinatorics.

For instance, we can construct and distinguish fillings of Legendrian knots. These are of interest in Legendrian knot theory — the collection of such fillings is an invariant, as are the augmentations of the

Legendrian DGA which they induce [EHK] — but as we will see, even for very simple knots, the cluster algebraic approach gives new results on their classification.

In [EHK], a Catalan number $C_n = \binom{2n}{n}/(n+1)$ of fillings was constructed for the $(2,n)$ torus link; however, it was only possible to show these were non-pairwise-equivalent for $n = 3$. The above construction provides the same number of fillings (presumably the same fillings), but moreover distinguishes them one from another — their distinctness corresponds to the combinatorial fact that the A_{n-1} cluster structure has the Catalan number C_n of clusters [FZ2]. In particular, the answer to question 8.7 of [EHK] is "no".

We also construct filling for more general Legendrian braid closures, at least one for each positive braid expression. In particular, any positive braid closure is fillable. A stronger result is known: in fact, any positive link whatsoever is fillable [HS]. Cluster algebra could be used to construct and distinguish more fillings in these cases — in the positroid case, there are many plabic graphs not coming from braid expressions, and the relevant combinatorics of weakly separated sets has been studied in [OPS]:

Theorem 6.7. The Legendrian (k,n) torus knot of maximal Thurston-Bennequin number admits a collection of exact Lagrangian fillings labelled by maximal pairwise weakly separated k-element subsets of $[1,n]$. No two are Hamiltonian isotopic. In particular, if $k = 2$ the number of distinct exact Lagrangian fillings is at least the Catalan number C_{n-2}.

We remark that in general, cluster algebras have *infinitely* many clusters, so the above technique could in principle show that, for certain knots, there are infinitely many non-isotopic fillings. One such knot is the $(3,7)$ torus knot. However, one would need some way of constructing these fillings; they *do not* all come from alternating diagrams (see also Question 1.4).

1.4. **Further directions.** We sketch here some paths open to further exploration, some of which are the subject of work in progress.

1.4.1. *Integrable systems from Legendrian knots.* While in this paper we focus on Legendrian satellites of cocircles, other interesting examples arise from satellites of Legendrian lifts of geodesics in T^2. Whereas the former are related to the geometry of local systems, the latter are related to the geometry of toric varieties [FLTZ]. In the cocircle case, exact fillings of satellites lead to cluster structures on spaces of local systems, recovering combinatorial constructions of Fock and Goncharov [FG1]. In the toric case, we will see in parallel that exact fillings lead to cluster structures on integrable systems associated to line bundles on toric surfaces [STWZ], recovering combinatorial constructions of Goncharov and Kenyon [GK].

Recall that a convex lattice polygon $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with dual fan Σ determines a toric surface $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma$ with an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\Delta$. The linear system $|\mathcal{L}_\Delta|$ defines a set of curves in $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma$, and the Jacobians of these define a family of tori varying over $|\mathcal{L}_\Delta|$. The total space of the family admits a Poisson structure such that the fibers are Lagrangian, yielding an algebraic integrable system [Bea]. Such systems are of interest from a variety of mathematical viewpoints [CW, FM, GK, GSTV], and are intimately related to the physics of brane tilings [Yam, HK, FHKVW].

On the other hand, the coherent-constructible correspondence of [FLTZ] associates to the toric fan Σ a Legendrian $\Lambda_\Sigma \subset T^\infty T^2$. The constructible category $Sh_{\Lambda_\Sigma}(T^2)$ is equivalent to the category of *coherent* sheaves on $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma$ [Kuw]. As the above integrable system is a moduli space of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma$ – line bundles supported on curves in $|\mathcal{L}_\Delta|$ – we can thus also view it as a space of contructible sheaves on T^2 with microsupport on Λ_Σ. Generically, the microsupport of such sheaves lies on a link $\Lambda_{\Sigma(1)} \subset \Lambda_\Sigma$ whose front projection is the union of the images of edges of Δ in $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. Their microlocal rank on each component is the length of the corresponding edge of Δ, measured in primitive vectors.

We are now in a position directly analogous to that discussed before in the study of local systems on punctured surfaces. We are studying a space of sheaves microsupported on a link $\Lambda_{\Sigma(1)}$, a moduli problem we can abelianize microlocally by passing to a satellite of $\Lambda_{\Sigma(1)}$. The simplest way to do this is to cable each component by the trivial n-strand braid, where n is the length of the relevant edge of Δ. The new moduli space will cover the original integrable system, and will have a cluster $\mathcal{X}$-variety structure governed by the Lagrangian fillings of the satellite. This recovers the picture described in combinatorial terms by Goncharov and Kenyon [GK]; in our language the bipartite graphs they consider appear because they are the ones associated to alternating representatives of the above Legendrian satellite.

1.4.2. *Beyond bicolored graphs.* Not all cluster theory is accessible to combinatorics arising from bicolored graphs. For example, the face cycles name the cluster variables at which one should be able to mutate, but the square move only describes the mutations at square faces. Geometrically, while we have studied those fillings which arise from alternating Legendrians, there are fillings which are not of this form. In particular, any face of the bicolored graph not meeting σ — whether or not it is a square — is a Lagrangian disk on which a surgery can be performed to obtain a new exact filling [Yau]. More generally still, we need not restrict our attention to Legendrians at the boundary of cotangent bundles of surfaces, but can instead consider Legendrians in the contact boundary of any Weinstein manifold.

In [STW], we will show that these directions of generalization allow us to give geometric interpretations of a more general class of cluster structures and cluster charts than are encompassed by bipartite graphs. The idea is to develop the theory from the perspective of what is in this paper the conjugate surface L, rather than fixing from the beginning the global geometry. That is, rather than beginning with some surface Σ and Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ which we then subsequently fill with L, we instead begin with L, encode the combinatorics of the desired quiver in terms of a configuration of curves on L, and then construct a Weinstein 4-manifold W by attaching handles to the Legendrian lifts of these curves to $T^\infty L$. The core of each describes a Lagrangian disk attached to L, and the corresponding Lagrangian surgery gives a new exact Lagrangian $L' \subset W$. Using microlocal techniques, we show that in this context disk surgery is still reflected Floer theoretically by a cluster transformation.

In the case of a Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$, this more general framework lets us describe fillings that do not come directly from conjugate Lagrangians, but rather from iterated surgery on them. While the fillings coming from conjugate Lagrangians are the simplest to construct, we nonetheless do not know a direct geometric characterization of them:

Question 1.4. Suppose L is an exact Lagrangian filling of $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$. Can we tell whether L is the result of Hamiltonian isotopy from the conjugate Lagrangian of an alternating representative of Λ, without actually constructing this alternating representative?

1.4.3. *Symplectic structures and quantization.* In [GSV], Poisson structures were constructed on cluster varieties, and in [FG2], it was observed that there are natural quantizations of the cluster transformations. It is possible to recover these results from the present modular perspective. Philosophically, symplectic structures and their quantizations should arise here because our spaces are moduli of objects in a Fukaya category with fixed boundary; i.e., form a "CY2 category with boundary".

In [ShT], we will show that indeed, moduli spaces such as $\mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \Lambda)$ carry natural symplectic structures. This work takes place in the context of [PTVV] but cannot straightforwardly appeal to it, since we do not know how to realize our moduli spaces as mapping stacks — mapping stacks are sections of trivial bundles of categories; whereas our spaces are sections of sheaves of categories whose stalk varies from point to point. What we show in [ShT] is that, for each arboreal singularity of Nadler [Nad3], the functor on moduli induced by the restriction map to the boundary is a Lagrangian morphism; this statement can then be glued together to give the desired global result.

One can then try to quantize the resulting symplectic structures; either at the level of deformation quantization as in [CPTVV], or at a more global level, by extending [BBJ] to the singular setting.

1.4.4. *Combinatorial topology of alternating Legendrians.* We have seen an alternating Legendrian Λ carries a canonical filling L, inducing a chart $Loc_1(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$, hence isotopies between alternating Legendrians give rise to potentially different charts. This raises various questions, for instance:

Question 1.5. When does a Legendrian admit an alternating representative? What is the space of isotopies between two alternating Legendrians? Can any two alternating representatives be connected by a sequence of square moves? How many alternating representatives are there?

We give a partial answer to the first question in Lemma 5.4. The remaining ones have been answered for the links giving rise to positroid strata [Thu, Pos], i.e., those which arise from positroid braids and then are cut off and fixed to the boundary as in Figure 3.2. However, if we take the entire braid and do not fix it we find non-trivial self-isotopies: for instance, the $(k, n+k)$ torus knot is carried to itself under rotation by $e^{2\pi i/(n+k)}$. This can be shown to induce a nontrivial automorphism of the unframed moduli space, an element of the cluster modular group in the language of [FG2], and hence is a nontrivial self-isotopy.

Remark 1.6. In fact, the contactomorphism of Lemma 6.3 identifies this self-isotopy with the self isotopy of the Legendrian (k, n) torus knot considered by Kalman in [Kál], where he uses the nontriviality of the induced action on the augmentations of the Legendrian DGA to establish the non-triviality of the self-isotopy. The discrepancy between $(k, n + k)$ above and (k, n) here has to do with the fact that, for framing reasons, the Legendrian cabling of the standard unknot by the $(k, n + k)$ torus braid is in fact the (k, n) torus knot; see Lemma 6.6. The above statement about the nontriviality of the automorphism on the cluster variety can be identified with the action on augmentations in [Kál] via the identification of augmentations and sheaves [NRSSZ].

The above remark means that it may be more natural to consider isotopies preserving some markings on the braids, in order to arrive at canonicity results as in Lemma 5.3. In any case, the above questions ask for a combinatorial framework to classify alternating isotopy classes of a given Legendrian. Given such a classification for Legendrian braid satellites of cocircles, we have the following further question – note that these Legendrians make evident certain functions on their moduli spaces, namely functions of the monodromies and (generalized) Stokes matrices.

Question 1.7. What are the formulas expressing monodromies and Stokes matrices in terms of cluster coordinates arising from isotopies from alternating Legendrians to Legendrian braid satellites of cocircles?

We showed in Lemma 5.3 that the alternating Legendrian of a reduced plabic graph has an essentially unique isotopy to the associated braid satellite, restricted to a disk. A satisfying answer to this question would generalize Lemma 5.17, which asserts that the Plücker coordinates can be expressed as sums over flows or perfect matchings on the graph. Here our geometric construction leads to the same cluster charts as Postnikov's boundary measurement map; in other cases we expect analogous results relating our construction to the combinatorial formulas of, for example, [FG1, MSW2, Gon, Wil].

1.4.5. *Spectral networks and holomorphic Lagrangians.* We have learned that an exact Lagrangian filling L of $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ gives an open map from the abelian moduli space $Loc_1(L)$ to the nonabelian moduli space $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$. Gaiotto, Moore, and Neitkze introduced a different construction of this kind using spectral networks [GMN, GMN2]. A spectral network consists of a punctured surface Σ together with an n-fold ramified cover C and a collection of labeled trajectories obeying certain combinatorial conditions. This data defines a map from $Loc_1(C)$ to a suitable space of filtered rank-n local systems on Σ.

The fundamental geometric sources of spectral networks are Hitchin systems: every spectral curve C has a canonical $U(1)$-family of spectral networks associated to it (we must assume the relevant Higgs bundles have at least one pole). As we vary C the image of $Loc_1(C)$ in the space of local systems changes in a piecewise-constant way; where the image jumps it does so according to a cluster $\mathcal{X}$-transformation [GMN2]. Moreover [GMN3] showed that the resulting cluster coordinates recover those of [FG1] when comparable.

Though there is evidently a close connection between [GMN2] and the present work, we do not have a detailed understanding of their relationship. One issue is that spectral curves are themselves almost never exact, so it is not obvious that the cluster chart associated to an arbitrary curve arises from an exact Lagrangian. In the other direction, for cluster algebras which arise from arbitrary black-white diagrams whose corresponding alternating Legendrians are not isotopic to a Stokes Legendrian, it is certainly not possible to find a spectral curve description. Moreover, it is not obvious how to incorporate Legendrian isotopies, fundamental from our point of view, into the spectral network formalism. For example, given an alternating representative of a Stokes Legendrian, it is not obvious how to produce a spectral network that describes the cluster chart associated to the conjugate Lagrangian.

Question 1.8. Given a wild character variety, is there an algorithm for associating an exact filling of the Stokes Legendrian to a spectral curve of the corresponding Hitchin system? Given an alternating representative of the Stokes Legendrian, is there an algorithm for producing a spectral network that defines the same cluster chart?

1.4.6. *Cluster algebras and $\mathcal{A}$-varieties.* In the language of [FG1], we have focused exclusively on $\mathcal{X}$-type cluster coordinates. These are dual to the so-called $\mathcal{A}$-varieties, whose coordinate rings are (upper) cluster algebras [FZ, BFZ]. That is, we focus on one space covered by algebraic tori, but there is a dual space covered by the duals of those tori. The microlocal description of $\mathcal{A}$-type cluster coordinates should differ from the present discussion by considering spaces of cosheaves rather than sheaves. The $\mathcal{A}$-type cluster

charts would correspond geometrically to the compactly supported cohomology of exact fillings, rather than their ordinary cohomology.

1.4.7. *Higher rank and higher dimension.* We have studied the spaces $\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda)$ for $n = 1$ and Σ a surface. It is interesting to consider such spaces more generally.

Given a filling L of Λ, one gets for any n a map $Loc_n(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda)$. Comparison of these charts for fillings coming from alternating representatives differing by a square move gives rise to a noncommutative analogue $Loc_n(L) \dashrightarrow Loc_n(L')$ of a cluster transformation, presumably making contact with [BR].

In a different direction, one can allow Σ to be a manifold of higher dimension. The resulting moduli spaces are certainly of interest: for example, for $\Sigma = S^3$ and Λ the conormal torus to a knot in S^3, a higher dimensional analogue of [NRSSZ] identifies the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \Lambda)$ with the $(Q = 1)$ augmentation variety of knot contact homology [EENS]. Note that in any dimension, exact Lagrangian fillings continue to give rise to charts on moduli, exactly as here.

1.4.8. *Brane tilings.* In physics, a quiver can serve to specify the defining fields of a gauge theory; one good source of interesting quivers are bicolored graphs on surfaces, and especially on T^2 (the torus allows for more supersymmetry). This was re-interpreted in the language of "brane tilings"; see [Yam] for a comprehensive survey. These brane tilings are configurations of D5 branes and NS5 branes in Type-IIB string theory; these are six-dimensional objects, four dimensions of which fill the usual space-time; the remaining two dimensions of the branes sit inside the cotangent bundle of T^2. Their geometry is very closely related to our viewpoint here: the D5 branes wrap the zero section, and the NS5 branes wrap the Lagrangians which are the cones over the Legendrian knots we have studied here.

One can pose questions in the resulting physics on the four-dimensional spacetime. For instance, further compactifying the spacetime along a T^2 and studying the resulting two dimensional theory, Benini, Park, and Zhou [BPZ] find that the Fayet-Iliopoulis (FI) parameters of the gauged linear sigma model (GLSM) transform as cluster variables upon Seiberg-like mutation. In other words, the moduli space of vacua of a certain theory can be identified with our $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$.

If there are domain walls in this theory, they necessarily will be morphisms between objects named by the points in $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$. This suggests that, just as the objects of the category $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda)$ can specify the theory in the bulk, the *morphisms* of the category $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda)$ may admit an interpretation as the domain walls in this GLSM. We thank Sergei Gukov, Hans Jockers and Masahito Yamasaki for conversations about this viewpoint.

More generally, we expect that the perspective of the present article has a role to play in the study of brane tiling theories.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Sheng-Fu Chiu, Andy Neitzke, Xin Jin, Emmy Murphy and Lenny Ng for their help and contributions. This work was supported in part by a SQuaRE program at the American Institute of Mathematics. V. S. was supported by NSF grant DMS-1406871 and a Sloan fellowship. D. T. was supported by NSF grant DMS-1510444. H. W. was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship DMS-1502845. E. Z. was supported by NSF grant DMS-1406024.

2. Constructible sheaves, microlocalization, and moduli

In this section we review the relevant background on constructible sheaves, including their singular support, microlocalization, moduli spaces, and invariance under contact transformations. We refer the reader to [KS] for detailed foundations, though the following discussion and examples should be sufficient to follow the rest of the paper. Throughout we fix a commutative ring $\Bbbk$. For a manifold X write $Sh(X) := Sh(X; \Bbbk)$ for the differential graded derived category of constructible sheaves on X – the dg category of constructible sheaves of perfect $\Bbbk$-modules on X, localized at the acyclic complexes. We refer to [Kel, Toë3] for background on dg categories; while technically essential, they can largely be ignored for those just seeking an intuitive understanding. We often simply write e.g. isomorphism instead of quasi-isomorphism when no confusion should arise.

2.1. **Singular support.** Given a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in Sh(X)$, the **singular support** (or **microsupport**) $SS(\mathcal{F})$ is a closed, conic, Lagrangian subset of T^*X. The singular support at infinity of $\mathcal{F}$ is the Legendrian image of $SS(\mathcal{F})$ in the cocircle bundle $T^\infty X := (T^*X \setminus 0_X)/\mathbf{R}_+$, where $0_X \subset T^*X$ denotes the zero section. These notions are meant to capture the locus in T^*M of obstructions to the propagation of sections of $\mathcal{F}$ (see

Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5). For instance, if $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that the graph of df avoids $SS(\mathcal{F})$ over the locus $f^{-1}((a,b])$, then the restriction of sections is an isomorphism [KS, Prop. 5.2.1]:

$$H^*(f^{-1}(-\infty,b],\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(f^{-1}(-\infty,a],\mathcal{F})$$

The formal definition is a local version of the above criterion:

Definition 2.1. [KS, Chap. 5] A point $p = (x,\xi) \in T^*X$ is in the microsupport of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ if there are points (x',ξ') arbitrarily close to (x,ξ) and functions $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x') = 0, df(x') = \xi'$, such that the following property holds: if $c_f : \{x \mid f(x) \geq 0\} \to M$ is the inclusion, then $(c_f^! \mathcal{F})_{x'} \neq 0$.

Shriek pullback to a closed subset gives the local sections supported on that subset. Thus the statement $(c_f^! \mathcal{F})_{x'} \neq 0$ is informally read as: "there is a section of $\mathcal{F}$ beginning at x' and propagating in the direction along which f increases." Note that, taking the zero function, the support of $\mathcal{F}$ is contained in its microsupport.

Definition 2.2. Given a Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty X$, we write $Sh_\Lambda(X) := Sh_\Lambda(X;\Bbbk)$ for the full subcategory of $Sh_\Lambda(X)$ consisting of sheaves whose singular support at infinity is contained in Λ. Note that every locally constant sheaf belongs to $Sh_\Lambda(X)$. If σ is a set of points in X not meeting the front projection of Λ, we write $Sh_\Lambda(X,\sigma)$ for the full subcategory of $Sh_\Lambda(X)$ consisting of sheaves that vanish on σ.

The subcategories $Sh_\Lambda(X)$, $Sh_\Lambda(X,\sigma)$ are triangulated, since given a triangle $A \to B \to C \xrightarrow{[1]}$ we have $SS(C) \subset SS(A) \cup SS(B)$. Any sheaf in $Sh_\Lambda(X,\sigma)$ vanishes not only on σ, but on each component of $\Sigma \setminus \pi(\Lambda)$ containing a point in σ. In particular note that $Sh_\Lambda(X,\sigma)$ is not generally equivalent to $Sh_\Lambda(X \setminus \sigma)$; if X is connected, Λ is empty, and σ is nonempty, the former category is empty but the latter contains local systems on $X \setminus \sigma$.

A key principle of [KS] is that a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ localizes not just over X, but "microlocalizes" over the cotangent bundle T^*X and its own singular support $SS(\mathcal{F})$ in particular. There is a dg category $\mu loc(\Lambda)$, the category of microlocal sheaves on Λ, and a functor

$$\mu : Sh_\Lambda(X) \to \mu loc(\Lambda).$$

The category $\mu loc(\Lambda)$ is the global sections of a sheaf which is locally the quotient of $Sh_\Lambda(X)$ by the subcategory $Loc(X)$ of local systems. When Λ is smooth, $\mu loc(\Lambda)$ is itself locally equivalent to $Loc(\Lambda)$, and when $\Lambda \to X$ is an immersion this extends to a global equivalence $\mu loc(\Lambda) \cong Loc(\Lambda)$.

A trivialization $\mu loc(\Lambda) \cong Loc(\Lambda)$ is determined by a Maslov potential on Λ; see [STZ] for a detailed discussion in the case at hand when X is a surface and hence Λ is one dimensional, or [Gui] for a general account. In this paper we generally do not consider front projections with cusps, always take the zero Maslov potential unless stated otherwise, and identify $\mu loc(\Lambda) \cong Loc(\Lambda)$ without further comment. Given a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in Sh_\Lambda(X)$, we write $\mathcal{F}|_\Lambda$ for its image in $Loc(\Lambda)$.

Definition 2.3. A sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in Sh_\Lambda(X)$ has **microlocal rank** n if the microlocalization of $\mathcal{F}$ along Λ is a local system of locally free $\Bbbk$-modules of rank n supported in degree zero. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_n(X,\Lambda)$ the full subcategory of $Sh_\Lambda(X)$ consisting of microlocal rank-n sheaves, similarly for $\mathcal{C}_n(\Lambda,\sigma)$. When X is fixed or clear from context, we omit it from the notation.

If $(x,\xi) \in \Lambda$ is a point at which $\Lambda \to X$ is an immersion, the **microlocal stalk** $\mathcal{F}|_{(x,\xi)}$ of $\mathcal{F} \in Sh_\Lambda(X)$ is the stalk of $\mathcal{F}|_\Lambda$ and is computed directly as follows. Pick a function f defined in a neighborhood of x so that $\xi = df(x)$, as well as a small ball U around x and $\epsilon > 0$. Then $\mathcal{F}|_{(x,\xi)}$ is the cone over the restriction map from $\Gamma(U \cap \{f < \epsilon\}; \mathcal{F})$ to $\Gamma(U \cap \{f < -\epsilon\}; \mathcal{F})$. This does not depend on the precise choice of a sufficiently small U and ϵ.

We will be entirely concerned with the case where Λ is a smooth Legendrian in the cosphere bundle of a surface, which we denote Σ rather than X. Except in Section 6, we assume moreover the projection $\Lambda \to \Sigma$ is an immersion. Thus the sheaves we need to work with are locally of one of the following forms:

Example 2.4. Let D^2 be the open unit disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\Lambda = dx|_{\{x=0\}}$ the Legendrian whose front projection is the y-axis, cooriented to the right. Then $Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$ is equivalent to $\Bbbk A_2$-mod, the (dg derived) category of (perfect) representations of the A_2 quiver, as follows. We write W and E for any stalks in the open left half-disk $\{x < 0\}$ and closed right half-disk $\{x \geq 0\}$, respectively (all stalks in either region are canonically isomorphic up to homotopy). There is a generization map $E \to W$ given by restricting from a neighborhood



FIGURE 5. The local models of the sheaf categories we consider. On the left is an open disk where $\Lambda \to D^2$ is a single embedded strand, and $Sh_\Lambda(D^2) \cong \Bbbk A_2$-mod as described in Lemma 2.4. In the middle $\Lambda \to D^2$ is two embedded strands crossing, and $Sh_\Lambda(D^2) \cong \Bbbk A_3$-mod as described in Lemma 2.5. The rightmost picture illustrates a microlocal rank-one sheaf in this case.

of a point on the y-axis to a smaller open set lying entirely to the left of the y-axis (note the non-isomorphic restriction maps go "against the grain" of the covector in general). The microlocal stalk at a point of Λ is the cone over this map. An example of a sheaf of microlocal rank one is $i_!\Bbbk_{\{x<0\}}$, the extension by zero of the constant sheaf on the open left half-disk, which corresponds to $W = \Bbbk$, $E = 0$.

Example 2.5. Let D^2 be the open unit disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\Lambda = (dx - dy)|_{\{x=y\}} \cup (-dx - dy)|_{\{x=-y\}}$ the Legendrian whose front projection is the union of the lines $x = y$ and $x = -y$, co-oriented downwards (see Figure 5). Then $Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$ can be described in terms of the dg category of quadruples N, W, E, S of perfect complexes of $\Bbbk$-modules, with a commuting square of maps as pictured. Such data gives rise to an object of $Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$ under the following **crossing condition**: the total complex $S \to W \oplus E \to N$ must be acyclic [STZ, Theorem 3.12].

The restrictions $Sh_\Lambda(D^2) \to Sh_\Lambda(D^2 \cap \{y > \epsilon\})$, $Sh_\Lambda(D^2) \to Sh_\Lambda(D^2 \cap \{y < -\epsilon\})$ to the regions above and below the x-axis are equivalences. These categories are equivalent to the representation categories of two different orientations of the A_3 quiver, which we obtain by forgetting S, N, respectively. The induced equivalence $Sh_\Lambda(D^2 \cap \{y > \epsilon\}) \cong Sh_\Lambda(D^2 \cap \{y < -\epsilon\})$ is a reflection functor.

An example of a sheaf of microlocal rank one is the direct sum $i_!\Bbbk_{\{x+y>0\}} \oplus i_!\Bbbk_{\{y-x>0\}}$, which has $S = 0$, $W = E = \Bbbk$, and $N = \Bbbk^2$. The crossing condition here says that N is the direct sum of the images of W and E. If σ is any point in the bottom quadrant, this sheaf is in $Sh_\Lambda(D^2, \sigma)$. This is the only object of $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda, \sigma; \Bbbk)$ if $\Bbbk$ has no nontrivial invertible modules.

2.2. **Invariance under contact transformations.** Invariance of the category $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda, \sigma; \Bbbk)$ under Legendrian isotopy follows from the main theorem of [GKS], as reviewed in a similar context in [STZ]. Accordingly, we only focus on parts relevant to our intended application.

Guillermou-Kashiwara-Schapira prove that a Legendrian isotopy $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$ induces a canonical equivalence of categories $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma; \Bbbk) \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}(\Sigma; \Bbbk)$. The GKS equivalence preserves any microlocal rank condition placed on the sheaves. In our present setting, we also want to preserve the vanishing-at-σ condition, so we therefore only consider Legendrian isotopies which are stationary near σ:

Proposition 2.6. *Let $I = [0, 1] \subset \mathbf{R}$ be the unit interval and let $\Phi : I \times T^\infty\Sigma \to T^\infty\Sigma$ be a family of contactomorphisms with $\Phi|_{\{0\}\times T^\infty\Sigma}$ the identity. Let $\Lambda' := \Phi(\{1\} \times \Lambda)$ be the image of Λ under this Legendrian isotopy, and suppose Φ is stationary above σ: $\Phi|_{I\times T^\infty_\sigma\Sigma} = id_{T^\infty_\sigma\Sigma}$. Then there is a canonical equivalence of categories $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma, \sigma) \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}(\Sigma, \sigma)$, functorial in the sense that compositions of isotopies induce compositions of functors. This restricts to an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda, \sigma) \cong \mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda', \sigma)$*

Proof. Without microlocal rank conditions or the presence of σ this is the result of [GKS], so we merely comment on these details. First, the equivalence preserves microlocal rank since the microlocal stalks are constructed from the underlying sheaf and restriction maps. The GKS kernel is a sheaf on $I \times \Sigma \times \Sigma$ with singular support on the diagonal in $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ where Φ is the identity isotopy. It follows that the convolution functorial equivalence preserves the support condition. □



FIGURE 6. The Legendrian Reidemeister-III considered in Lemma 2.8. Sheaves microsupported on the respective Legendrians are equivalent to representations of oppositely-oriented D_4-quivers. Writing s_A, etc. for reflection functors, the equivalence between the two sides is given by the composition $s_D s_A s_B s_C s_D$.

If the front projection of Λ ceases to be an immersion at some point during the isotopy we must change the Maslov potential to have the last statement hold; we will not need to consider this case here.

Reidemeister Moves. Since the GKS equivalence is compatible with composition of isotopies, in order to compute the equivalence $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda, \sigma; \Bbbk) \cong \mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda', \sigma; \Bbbk)$ associated to general Legendrian isotopy, it is enough to determine the equivalences associated to Legendrian Reidemeister moves. The ones relevant to our immediate purposes are pictured in Figures 6 and 7. Like all isotopy equivalences, these are determined by the kernels constructed in [GKS]. However, in these simple cases the equivalences are determined by the property that they restrict to the identity on the boundary of the picture, and can be described explicitly in terms of quiver representations.

Proposition 2.7. [STZ] *Let Λ, Λ' be a pair of Legendrians in $T^\infty D^2$ differing by a Legendrian Reidemeister move, as in Figures 6 and 7 or* [STZ, Sec. 4.4]. *There is a unique equivalence $Sh_\Lambda(D^2) \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}(D^2)$ that restricts to the identity of the boundary of the disk.*

Proof. In all cases, the restrictions to the boundary of $Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$ and $Sh_{\Lambda'}(D^2)$ are fully faithful with the same essential image. This follows from the fact that restriction from sheaves on a neighborhood of the crossing pictured in Figure 5 to the top and bottom regions is an equivalence; see Lemma 2.5. □

We need the following special case of a presumably general compatibility between GKS equivalences and microlocalization, the general formulation of which we do not know. The result is used in showing how certain Legendrian isotopies give rise to cluster transformations in Lemma 5.13.

Lemma 2.8. *The Legendrian Reidemeister-III of Figure 6 preserves microlocalizations. More precisely, let $\mathcal{F}' \in Sh_{\Lambda'}(D^2)$ be the image of a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$ under $Sh_\Lambda(D^2) \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}(D^2)$. Let $p, q \in \Lambda$ be points near opposite ends of some component of Λ, and let p', q' be the corresponding points in Λ'. Up to reparameterization, the isotopy is the identity near the boundary, giving canonical isomorphisms of microlocalizations $\mathcal{F}|_p \cong \mathcal{F}|_{p'}$ and $\mathcal{F}|_q \cong \mathcal{F}|_{q'}$. This allows us to compare the parallel transport of microstalk maps $\mathcal{F}|_p \cong \mathcal{F}|_q$ and $\mathcal{F}|_{p'} \cong \mathcal{F}|_{q'}$. The claim is that these are equal.*

Proof. Let $C^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open cylinder whose central axis lies along $\{x = y = z\}$, which contains the origin, and which is short enough so the intersection of each disk $i_a(D^2) := \{(x, y, z) \in C^3 | x + y + z = a\}$ with the coordinate hyperlanes has the same configuration as the front projection of Λ or Λ'. Let $\widehat{\Lambda} \subset T^\infty C^3$ the Legendrian surface obtained by restriction from $dx|_{\{x=0\}} \cup dy|_{\{y=0\}} \cup dz|_{\{z=0\}} \subset T^\infty \mathbb{R}^3$. Thus the front projection of $\widehat{\Lambda}$ is the intersection of the coordinate hyperplanes with C^3.

We can use $\widehat{\Lambda}$ to describe the equivalence $Sh_\Lambda(D^2) \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}(D^2)$. Indeed, fixing $a > 0$, the functor $i_a^* : Sh_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C^3) \to Sh(D^2)$ induces an equivalence $Sh_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C^3) \cong Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$. Conversely i_{-a}^* induces an equivalence $Sh_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C^3) \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}(D^2)$, and the GKS equivalence $Sh_\Lambda(D^2) \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}(D^2)$ is the composition of these.

We now compute the parallel transport of microstalks in $Sh_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C^3)$. The strand of Λ containing p, q corresponds to one of the three components of $\widehat{\Lambda}$, say, the one whose front projection lies on the hyperplane



FIGURE 7. Sheaves microsupported on the Legendrians on either side of Reidemeister-II are equivalent to representations of oppositely-oriented A_3-quivers. The equivalence between the two sides is given by the reflection functor s_C; in other words, $C' = Cone(A \oplus B \to C)[-1]$.

$\{x = 0\}$. Choose $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} \in Sh_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C^3)$ such that $i_a^*(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \mathcal{F}$. Then the microstalks $\mathcal{F}|_p$, $\mathcal{F}'|_p$ are canonically isomorphic to microstalks of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ at points of $\widehat{\Lambda}$ lying above $i_a(D^2)$, $i_{-a}(D^2)$, respectively, and whose projections to $\{x = 0\}$ lie in the same quadrant. The canonical isomorphism between them is just parallel transport along a straight line in $\widehat{\Lambda}$. The same is true for $\mathcal{F}|_q$, $\mathcal{F}'|_q$, except the associated points of $\widehat{\Lambda}$ have projections lying in the opposite quadrant of $\{x = 0\}$. It remains only to observe that these parallel transports are both happening on the same contractible component of $\widehat{\Lambda}$. □

2.3. **Microlocalization and the Fukaya category.** The notion of singular support relates sheaf theory and symplectic geometry by assigning a Legendrian subset of $T^\infty X$ to a constructible sheaf on X. This is part of a larger categorical relationship between sheaves on X and the Fukaya category of T^*X [Nad, NZ].

The objects of the (infinitesimally-wrapped) Fukaya category $Fuk(T^*X) := Fuk(T^*X; \Bbbk)$ are (twisted complexes of) **Lagrangian branes**, i.e. tuples $(L, \mathcal{E}, \widetilde{\alpha}, P, \Psi)$, where L is an exact Lagrangian satisfying certain asymptotic conditions, $\mathcal{E}$ is a local system of $\Bbbk$-modules on L, $\widetilde{\alpha} : L \to \mathbb{R}$ is a lift of the squared phase map, P is a relative pin structure on L, and Ψ is a family of tame perturbations of L. We often suppress the full data in our notation, writing $(L, \mathcal{E})$ when the other structures are implicit; for the most part the casual reader can imagine a brane is just an exact Lagrangian with a local system. The data $(\widetilde{\alpha}, P, \Psi)$ we refer to as a **brane structure** on L. We refer to [Sei] for a general treatment of Fukaya categories in the exact setting and [NZ, Definition 5.4.1] for the infinitesimally-wrapped case in particular.

Family Floer theory is the generic name for procedures which collect together the Floer theoretic data for some family of designated probe branes. In [NZ, Nad], it is suggested to consider the collection of all small open sets U and the Lagrangians $L_U := \mathrm{Graph}(d \log \phi_U)$ where ϕ_U is a bump function on U. Because this collection generates,

Theorem 2.9. [Nad, NZ] *There is an equivalence $\mathcal{N} : Fuk(T^*X) \cong Sh(X)$ of triangulated A_∞-categories. A brane $(L, \mathcal{E})$ is carried to the sheaf whose sections over a small open set U are given by* $\mathrm{Hom}_{Fuk}((L_U, \Bbbk), (L, \mathcal{E}))$.

The sheaf corresponding to a given brane has the property that its stalk at a point is the Floer complex between the cotangent fiber above this point and the original brane. While [Nad, NZ] assume $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$, we will use arbitrary coefficient rings in order to discuss moduli spaces. The above theorem holds in this more general setting, with the same proof.

Given a Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty X$, we write $Fuk_\Lambda(T^*X)$ for the essential image of $Sh_\Lambda(X)$ under the above equivalence. This category also admits a description internal to the Fukaya category; see [Nad2, Sec. 3.7]. We require the following slightly more refined statement of the above result:

Proposition 2.10. *If $L \subset T^*X$ is a tame exact Lagrangian asymptotic to Λ, and $(L, \mathcal{E})$ is any brane supported on L, then $SS(\mathcal{N}(L, \mathcal{E})) \cap T^\infty X \subset \Lambda$; that is, $(L, \mathcal{E}) \in Fuk_\Lambda(T^*X)$.*

Moreover, for a choice of Maslov potential on Λ induced by a choice of grading on L, the functor $\mathcal{N}$ carries local systems of rank n on L to sheaves of microlocal rank n along Λ.

Proof. Let $\xi \notin \Lambda$. Let L_ξ be the local Morse brane constructed in [Jin]. Since L_ξ quasi-represents the local Morse group functor, to show that ξ is nonsingular for $\mathcal{N}(L, \mathcal{E})$ we therefore only need show that

$hom_{Fuk(T^*X)}(L_\xi, (L, \mathcal{E}))$ is acyclic. Let $C(L)$ be the union of the zero section and the cone on Λ. Then L can be dilated close to $C(L)$ by Hamiltonian deformation, since L is exact. Further, L_ξ can be chosen disjoint from $C(L)$. It follows that $hom_{Fuk(T^*X)}(L_\xi, (L, \mathcal{E}))$ is quasi-isomorphic to zero, i.e. is acyclic. □

2.4. **Moduli spaces.** In studying microlocal rank-one objects of $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)$, we necessarily consider objects which are honestly complexes of sheaves, rather than simply sheaves. The resulting subcategory is not abelian in general — in particular, objects may have negative self-extensions, even for Legendrian knots in the standard contact $\mathbb{R}^3$; examples can be found in [STZ]. The correct setting for studying moduli spaces of objects in such dg categories is derived algebraic geometry [TVa]. For background we refer to the excellent survey [Toë2] and the foundational works [Lur, Toë1, TVe1, TVe2, TVe3]. However, in a sense the purpose of this section is to explain why these issues can be ignored for present purposes. The reader can skip all discussion of derived geometry by going directly to Remark 2.15.

Definition/Proposition 2.11. *Let X be a manifold, $\Lambda \subset T^\infty X$ a Legendrian, and σ a collection of points in X. We write $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{M}(X, \Lambda, \sigma)$ for the moduli of objects in $Sh_\Lambda(X, \sigma)$. It is a locally geometric derived stack. We write $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$ for the substack parameterizing sheaves of microlocal rank n.*

Proof. The existence of these spaces is guaranteed by [TVa] once we establish certain finiteness properties. The requisite finiteness properties follow from the description of categories of constructible sheaves in terms of representation categories of finite quivers. □

The higher and derived structures on the spaces $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{M}_n(X, \Lambda, \sigma)$ are essential from various points of view: for instance, to get meaningful point counts [NRSS] and to construct symplectic structures on these spaces [ShT] as was done for moduli of local systems in [PTVV]. An important point is that the infinitessimal study of derived moduli spaces is generally more accessible than that of ordinary moduli spaces. For example, we have following consequence of Theorem 2.9:

Proposition 2.12. *Let $L \subset T^*X$ be an exact Lagrangian with $\partial L = \Lambda$ and whose projection is disjoint from $\sigma \subset X$. Let $\mathbb{R}Loc(L)$ denote the derived moduli space of local systems on L. Given a choice of brane structure on L, the functor $\mathcal{N}$ induces an open inclusion $\mathbb{R}Loc_n(L) \to \mathbb{R}\mathcal{M}_n(X, \Lambda, \sigma)$.*

Proof. This follows formally from the fact that the map on moduli spaces is induced by a fully faithful inclusion of dg categories. Indeed, it follows from this that the morphism is injective on points, and since the tangent complexes to the moduli spaces are given by self-ext algebras [TVa, Thm 0.2], it follows that the map is étale. □

More classically, the fact that the self-ext algebra is carried to itself means that we identify obstruction theories and hence the solutions to Maurer-Cartan equations defining the classical points of the stacks.

When L is a Lagrangian *surface* and Λ nonempty, L is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of circles. In this case the derived stack $\mathbb{R}Loc_n(L)$ is truncated: it is isomorphic to its truncation $t_0\mathbb{R}Loc_n(L)$ – which is simply the classical Artin stack $Loc_n(L)$ of local systems – regarded as a derived stack. Thus if we are only interested in branes supported on Lagrangians of this kind, and the relations among them, we lose no information by working at the level of Artin stacks in the classical sense. Following [TVa, Sec. 3.4] we have the following classical moduli spaces:

Definition/Proposition 2.13. *Let Σ be a surface, $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ a nonempty Legendrian, σ a collection of points in Σ. We write $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$ for the 1-rigid locus of $t_0\mathbb{R}Loc(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$; that is, the locus parametrizing objects without negative self-extensions. It is an Artin stack in the classical sense. We write $\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$ for the substack parametrizing sheaves of microlocal rank n.*

In many cases of interest the objects parameterized by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$ are sheaves, as opposed merely to complexes of sheaves. For example, this holds for the β-filtered local systems studied in Section 3, see Lemma 3.4. Hence these objects live in an abelian category, and in particular have no negative self-extensions to begin with — in which case $\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$ is equal to $t_0\mathbb{R}\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$. Since the truncation of an étale map is étale [TVe3, Sec. 2.2.4], we also have the underived analogue of Lemma 2.12:

Proposition 2.14. *Let $L \subset T^*\Sigma$ be an exact Lagrangian surface with $\partial L = \Lambda$ and whose projection is disjoint from $\sigma \subset \Sigma$. Given a choice of brane structure on L, the functor $\mathcal{N}$ induces an open inclusion $Loc_n(L) \to \mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$.*

Remark 2.15. Explicitly, $\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \Lambda, x)$ represents the functor from commutative rings to groupoids taking $\Bbbk$ to $\mathcal{C}_n(\Lambda, \sigma; \Bbbk)^{gpd}$, the groupoid whose objects are sheaves in $\mathcal{C}_n(\Lambda, \sigma; \Bbbk)$ without negative self-extensions and whose morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms up to homotopy, with pullback defined by base change.

We also need to consider moduli spaces of framed sheaves, constructed as follows. Let U be an open subset of S and $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{Z}$ an object of $Sh_{\Lambda|_U}(U, \sigma \cap U; \mathbb{Z})$. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{Z}$ defines a map $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{M}_1(U, \Lambda|_U)$.

Definition 2.16. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$ of framed sheaves of microlocal rank one is the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_1(U, \Lambda|_U), \end{array}$$

where the right-hand map is restriction to U and the bottom is the inclusion of $\mathcal{F}$.

The $\Bbbk$-points of $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$ are thus objects of $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda, \sigma; \Bbbk)$ together with an isomorphism of their restriction to U with $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$, the object of $Sh_{\Lambda|_U}(U, \sigma \cap U; \Bbbk)$ obtained from $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{Z}$ by base change. In practice $\mathcal{F}$ will always be chosen in some trivial way, hence we omit it from the notation for $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Sigma, \Lambda, \sigma)$. Lemma 2.6 extends in the obvious way to the framed moduli spaces.

3. Microlocally abelian moduli problems

Here we focus our attention on a class of moduli problems $\mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \Lambda, \{\sigma_i\})$ in which the link Λ is a disjoint union of positive n-strand braids, one placed in a neighborhood of a co-circle over each σ_i. As we detail in this section, these spaces include various ones of current interest, in particular:

- Positroid strata in the Grassmannian [Pos] occur when a single braid is placed on a sphere, for particular choices of braid. See Sec. 3.2.
- More generally, placing a single braid on a sphere gives rise to a certain moduli of flag configurations, whose point-count gives a term of the HOMFLY polynomial of the braid [STZ, Sec. 6].
- Moduli spaces of local systems with monodromy-invariant filtrations in the case when all the braids are trivial (see Ex. 3.2), and more generally any moduli space of monodromy and Stokes data, i.e., any wild character variety (see Sec. 3.3).

Moreover, if we are ultimately interested in cluster structures related to the moduli space of rank n local systems on a punctured surface, we are forced to consider spaces of the above kind. Most naturally, rank-n local systems correspond to sheaves with microlocal rank n along a collection of circles around the punctures, and vanishing at the punctures. However, our expected sources of cluster charts are *abelian* Lagrangian branes, which determine sheaves of microlocal rank one along their Legendrian boundary. Thus as a preliminary to abelianization of the rank n local systems, we must perform a microlocal abelianization of the boundary condition — i.e., replacing the circle labelled by n with a n-strand braid.

3.1. Microlocal abelianization.

Consider a surface Σ with a set $\sigma = \{\sigma_i\}$ of marked points. Let Λ_i be a small circle around σ_i, co-oriented inward, and D_i the disk around σ_i whose boundary is the front projection of Λ_i. Consider the inclusions

$$\Sigma \smallsetminus \sigma \xleftarrow{r} \Sigma \setminus \bigcup \overline{D}_i \xhookrightarrow{j} \Sigma$$

These induce an equivalence

$$j_! r^* : Loc(\Sigma \smallsetminus \sigma; \Bbbk) \to Sh_{\bigcup \Lambda_i}(\Sigma, \sigma; \Bbbk)$$

between the categories of local systems on the punctured curve and of sheaves on the complete curve with microsupport in the circles and vanishing stalks at the points. The equivalence carries the rank of the local system to the rank of the microstalk on any Λ_i.

It is the condition that the sheaves should have *rank one microstalks* that gives rise to cluster structures. The moduli space above corresponding to local systems of rank n does not have this property, but we arrive at ones which do by replacing the circle labelled by n with a suitable n-strand satellite.

By definition, the satellite construction takes as input data a triple (V, Λ, β) where V is a contact manifold, Λ is a Legendrian and β is a Legendrian in the 1-jet bundle $J^1(\Lambda)$. The output is a new Legendrian in the same

contact manifold $(V, \beta \pitchfork \Lambda)$, formed by replacing a standard neighborhood of Λ by the $J^1(\Lambda)$ containing β. The Legendrian β is the *pattern* of the satellite construction. For some discussion and examples, see [Ng].

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a manifold, $\Lambda \subset T^\infty M$ a Legendrian, and $\beta \subset J^1(\Lambda)$ a Legendrian. Assume that $\beta \to \Lambda$ is a covering map. Then there is a natural morphism*

$$\pi : Sh_{\beta \pitchfork \Lambda}(M) \to Sh_\Lambda(M)$$

such that

$$rank_\Lambda(\pi(\mathcal{F})) = \deg(\beta \to \Lambda) \cdot rank_{\beta \pitchfork \Lambda}(\mathcal{F})$$

We omit the (easy) proof, as we only use this proposition in the case when M is a surface, and the Legendrian Λ is a union of circles around the punctures, in which case the result is evident; we have just included the above formulation for the sake of clarity.

Thus we can use the auxilliary choice of collection of n-strand braids $\{\beta_i\}$ – one for each puncture – to produce a morphism

$$Loc_n(\Sigma \smallsetminus \sigma) = \mathcal{M}_n(\bigcup \Lambda_i, \sigma) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_1(\bigcup (\beta_i \pitchfork \Lambda_i), \sigma).$$

That is, we draw n-stranded braids around the points and consider sheaves microsupported along these braids.

For the trivial braid, this recovers exactly the notion of filtered local system:

Example 3.2. Let D^2 be a disk, and $\bigcirc^n \subset T^\infty(D^2 \setminus 0)$ be the link whose front projection is n concentric circles. Then $Sh_{\bigcirc^n}(D^2, 0)$ is the category of pairs $(0 = K_0 \to K_1 \to \cdots \to K_n = K; m : K \to K)$ where K is a filtered complex and ϕ is an endomorphism preserving the filtration. The correspondence is that K_i is the stalk between the ith and $i+1$st strands away from 0, and m is the monodromy.

Fixing the microlocal rank to be one forces K to be (quasi-isomorphic to) a locally free $\Bbbk$-module of rank n, and the filtration to be the same as a full flag. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_1(\bigcirc^n, 0)$ is the total space of the Grothendieck-Springer resolution: $\mathcal{M}_1(\bigcirc^n, 0) \cong \widetilde{GL}_n/GL_n$. The resolution morphism $\widetilde{GL}_n/GL_n \to GL_n/GL_n$ itself is identified with the restriction to the boundary, $\mathcal{M}_1(\bigcirc^n, 0) \to Loc_n(S^1)$.

Definition 3.3. Let Σ be a surface and $\sigma = \{\sigma_i\}$ a collection of points. Let $\sigma_i \mapsto \beta_i \in Br_n^+$ be an assignment of a positive braid to each point of σ; by abuse of notation we also write β_i for $\beta_i \pitchfork \Lambda_i$ where Λ_i is an inward-co-oriented circle around σ_i. Writing $\beta = \coprod \beta_i$, we refer to the points of $\mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \beta, \sigma)$ as **β-filtered local systems**.

Lemma 3.2 illustrates a general feature of β-filtered local systems: they are sheaves in the usual sense rather than merely complexes of sheaves. We omit the proof, which is a straightforward generalization of [STZ, Prop. 5.19].

Proposition 3.4. *Let Σ be a surface, σ a nonempty collection of k marked points, and $\beta : \sigma \to Br_n^+$ a choice of positive annular braid at each marked point. Every microlocal rank-n object of $Sh_\beta(\Sigma, \sigma; \Bbbk)$ is isomorphic to an object supported in cohomological degree zero. In particular, no such objects have negative self-extensions, so $\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \beta, \sigma)$ is exactly the truncation $t_0 \mathbb{R}\mathcal{M}_n(\Sigma, \beta, \sigma)$.*

3.2. **Positroid strata and the Grassmannian.** The positroid stratification of the Grassmannian is the common refinement of the Schubert stratification and its cyclic shifts, and arises naturally from the perspective of total positivity [Pos]. The positroid strata of $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ are indexed by a number of equivalent combinatorial objects, the most convenient of which for us will be cyclic rank matrices [KLS, Cor. 3.12].

Definition 3.5. A **cyclic rank matrix** of type (k, n) is a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ integer matrix r such that

- **C1:** $r_{ij} = 0$ for $j < i$
- **C2:** $r_{ij} = k$ for $j \geq i + n - 1$
- **C3:** $r_{ij} - r_{(i+1)j} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $r_{ij} - r_{i(j-1)} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all i, j
- **C4:** if $r_{(i+1)(j-1)} = r_{(i+1)j} = r_{i(j-1)}$ then $r_{ij} = r_{(i+1)(j-1)}$
- **C5:** $r_{(i+n)(j+n)} = r_{ij}$

For each $V \in \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ there is an associated cyclic rank matrix $r(V)$ of type (k, n), and the positroid cells will be the level sets of this assignment. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}^k$ be the columns of any matrix representative of V, and for arbitrary $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ define c_i so that $c_i = c_{i+n}$ for all i. Then we set $r(V)_{ij}$ to be the dimension

of the span of the columns $c_i \cdots c_j$. Note that for $j < i$, we have the empty collection of columns, hence $r(V)_{ij} = 0$, and that for $j > i + n - 1$ we have all the columns, hence $r(V)_{ij} = k$. The conditions **C1**-**C5** exactly characterize the matrices that arise from $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ in this fashion [KLS].

Definition 3.6. Given a cyclic rank matrix r of type (k, n), the associated **positroid cell** is

$$\Pi_r = \{V \in \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) | r(V) = r\}.$$

In our context the most natural cyclic rank matrices are those such that $r_{ii} \neq 0$ for all i, and we assume this from now on. That is, we assume the columns of any matrix representative of $V \in \Pi_r$ are all nonzero. No generality is lost in the sense that any positroid cell Π_r not satisfying this condition be embedded into a smaller Grassmannian as a positroid cell that does.

We record loci where the entries of r jump as a Legendrian Λ_r in $T^\infty D^2$ as follows. The basic idea is to regard r as an actual geometric object in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and build Λ_r in such that the faces of its front projection correspond to patches of r where its entries are constant.

- We first define a Legendrian Λ'_r in $T^\infty \mathbb{R}^2$ lying over a neighborhood of the square grid $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \cup \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$. Consider the union of the segments $\{i\} \times (j, j+1)$ with $r_{i(j-1)} < r_{ij}$ and the segments $(i, i+1) \times \{j\}$ with $r_{ij} > r_{(i+1)j}$. We orient the former downward and the latter rightward. Its closure is a collection of pairwise-transverse immersed oriented curves with corners at the points $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

  $$r_{ij} = r_{(i+1)j} = r_{i(j-1)} = r_{(i+1)(j-1)} + 1.$$

  We smooth all such corners and let Λ'_r be the Legendrian lift of the resulting collection of smooth immersed curves.
- Consider the restriction of Λ'_r to the infinite strip

  $$\mathcal{S} = \{(x, y) | \frac{1}{2} < y + x < k + \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

  By **C5**, the restriction is invariant under the translation $T_n : (x, y) \mapsto (x + n, y - n)$ of $\mathcal{S}$, hence gives rise to a Legendrian Λ_r in $T^\infty \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the annulus $\mathcal{S}/\langle T_n \rangle$. Since Λ_r does not meet the boundary component whose preimage is the line $y + x = k + \frac{1}{2}$, we can embed $\mathcal{A}$ in a disk to regard Λ_r as a Legendrian in $T^\infty D^2$.

Example 3.7. Let V be the point of $\mathrm{Gr}(3, 5)$ represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The cyclic rank matrix $r(V)$ is shown below left, with the front projection of $\Lambda'_{r(V)}$ shown in red. The identification of top and bottom sides by the action of T_5 is indicated by the dashed green line. At right is the front of the associated (smooth) Legendrian knot on the cylinder.

0	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
0	0	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
0	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	3	3	3
0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	3	3	3
0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3



Returning to the general case, we fix a set of points $\sigma \subset D^2$, one in each of the n regions of $D^2 \setminus \pi(\Lambda_r)$ that is adjacent to the boundary of D^2 and such that Λ_r is cooriented into the region along its boundary. In the construction of Λ_r, these regions come from the "corners" of the subdiagonal entries of r, which are equal to zero. The pair Λ_r, σ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4, so the objects of $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda, \sigma)$ are ordinary sheaves rather than complexes.



FIGURE 8. A depiction of a microlocal rank one sheaf $F \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda_r, \sigma; \Bbbk)$, where Λ_r is as in Lemma 3.7. As in the proof of Lemma 3.8, F has five rank one stalks at the boundary of the picture, each of which includes into the rank 3 stalk F_x in the middle. If F is framed, so we have isomorphisms $F_i \cong \Bbbk$, then the quotient map $\Bbbk^5 \twoheadrightarrow F_x$ defines a $\Bbbk$-point of the positroid cell Π_r.

Let U be an open collar of ∂D^2 containing no crossings of Λ_r, and V the union of the components of $D^2 \smallsetminus \pi(\Lambda_r)$ that do not contain points in σ. We let $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk = i_* \Bbbk_{U \cap V} \in Sh_{\Lambda_r|_U}(U)$; this is a sheaf of microlocal rank one whose stalks are alternately 0 and $\Bbbk$ around the boundary of D^2. We let $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$ denote the space of sheaves framed by $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{Z}$, as in Lemma 2.16 (since σ is fixed throughout the section, we omit it from the notation). The $\Bbbk$-points of $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$ are objects in $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda, \sigma; \Bbbk)$ equipped with an isomorphism between their restriction to U and $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$.

Theorem 3.8. *For any cyclic rank matrix r of type (k, n), there is a canonical isomorphism between the positroid cell Π_r and the framed moduli space $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$.*

Proof. We first describe a canonical embedding of $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$ into $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$, then show its image is exactly Π_r. By construction the connected components of $D^2 \setminus \pi(\Lambda_r)$ are labeled by entries of r. Given the definition of σ and the coorientation of Λ_r, these labels are also the ranks of the stalks of any object of $\mathcal{C}_1(\Lambda_r, \sigma; \Bbbk)$ in these components. Fix points $p_1, \dots, p_n$, one in each region of $D^2 \setminus \pi(\Lambda_r)$ along ∂D^2 which does not meet σ (the indices $1, \dots, n$ come from the indices of corresponding rows of r), and a point x in the middle region where stalks have rank k.

A $\Bbbk$-point of $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$ is a framed sheaf F, which has stalks $F_1, \dots, F_n$ at $p_1, \dots, p_n$, each equipped with a trivialization $F_i \cong \Bbbk$, and F_x at x. Choose paths from each p_i to x that only cross strands of $\pi(\Lambda_r)$ going against their coorientations (the construction will be independent of the choice). Each defines an inclusion $F_i \hookrightarrow F_x$, the composition of the generization maps along the path (see Figure 3.2). The crossing conditions (see Lemma 2.5) guarantee that the images of the F_i together generate F_x. Thus from F we obtain a locally free $\Bbbk$-module F_x of rank k with a quotient map from $\oplus F_i \cong \Bbbk^n$, which is the data of a $\Bbbk$-point of $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$. This is clearly compatible with base change, so we obtain a map $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r) \to \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$. The claim that this is faithful is equivalent to the claim that restriction from $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$ to the framed moduli space of a neighborhood of the union of the paths from the p_i to x is faithful. This follows by inductively applying the fact that in a neighborhood of a crossing, restriction to the upper or lower regions as pictured in Figure 5 is an equivalence. That is, we can expand the neighborhood of the paths to include a new crossing and meet a new region of $D^2 \setminus \pi(\Lambda_r)$ one at a time, each time yielding an equivalence of sheaf categories, until the whole disk is covered.

On the other hand, we have defined Λ_r exactly so that its crossing conditions imply that the span in F_x of any cyclically adjacent subset of $F_1, \dots, F_n$ has the rank specified by the corresponding entry of r. Thus the image of $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$ is contained in Π_r. Conversely, given a $\Bbbk$-point of Π_r, we can directly define a sheaf whose sections over a given region are just the column span associated to the relevant entry or entries of r (note that while a $\Bbbk$-point of $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ is a quotient map $\Bbbk^n \twoheadrightarrow E$ onto a locally free $\Bbbk$-module, E is necessarily

free when this is a point of Π_r). Finally, it follows from the fact that the images of the F_i generate F_x and all other stalks of F that all points of $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$ have trivial stabilizers, hence $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r) \cong \Pi_r$. □

Remark 3.9. Many other objects in algebraic geometry and representation theory can be identified with positroid cells or their closures, see for example the discussion in [KLS, Section 6]. One class of examples are the double Bruhat cells $GL_n^{u,v}$ of GL_n. Here u and v are elements of the Weyl group of GL_n and $GL_n^{u,v}$ is the intersection of the double cosets $B_- u B_-$, $B_+ v B_+$ of u, v with respect to opposite Borel subgroups. These can be embedded as positroid cells in $\mathrm{Gr}(n, 2n)$; on the level of matrix representatives this is just concatenation with an identity matrix. Another class of examples come from subvarieties of the full or partial flag varieties of GL_n that map isomorphically onto their images in $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ under the natural projection. These include the moduli spaces of triples of flags in generic position considered in [FG1], which form building blocks in associating cluster charts on moduli spaces of local systems to triangulations of surfaces (see Lemma 5.7).

Remark 3.10. The construction of $\Lambda_r \subset T^\infty D^2$ naturally produces a Legendrian $\beta_r \subset T^\infty \mathbb{R}^2$ whose intersection with $T^\infty D^2$ is Λ_r. The front projection of β_r is obtained from that of Λ_r by adding caps around the outside of D^2 as pictured in Figure 1 or Lemma 3.7. Moreover, β_r is a Legendrian braid satellite of a circle around ∞, as considered in Section 3.1. The unframed moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda_r)$ and $\mathcal{M}_1(\beta_r)$ are isomorphic, and this space of β_r-filtered local systems is a configuration space of points in $\mathbb{P}^k$ satisfying open conditions. The projection $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r) \to \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda_r)$ is a torus quotient, and this relationship is a version of Gelfand-Macpherson duality subject to the conditions imposed by r [GM].

3.3. **Wild character varieties.** In its simplest form, the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence asserts an equivalence of categories between integrable meromorphic connections on a complex analytic space, with regular singularities along a normal crossings boundary divisor, and locally constant sheaves in the complement of the divisor [Del]. In particular, the parameter space of such regular connections, considered up to gauge equivalence, can be identified with the space parameterizing representations of the fundamental group of the complement, up to isomorphism.

The moduli space of connections is called the *de Rham* moduli space, and the moduli space of locally constant sheaves is called the *Betti* moduli space. The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence asserts that these have the same points; in fact they are complex-analytically isomorphic, but have naturally different algebraic structures – passing from connections to their monodromy involves an exponential. We restrict attention to the case where the space on which we study connections is a smooth Riemann surface.

The notion of regular singularities is essential in the above equivalence. One formulation is that the connection matrix can, analytically locally, be expressed with poles of order at most one. Equivalently, the local solutions exhibit polynomial growth as one approaches the singular point. A consequence of this is that the classification of such connections up to analytic local gauge equivalence is the same as the classification up to formal local gauge equivalence; the local form of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence is then just the statement that both of these are characterized by the conjugacy class of the exponential of the singular term of the connection.

To classify connections with possibly irregular singularities, one records *Stokes data*, i.e., information about the growth rates of solutions [Mal, DMR, BV1, BV2, BV3]. This is often formulated in the following way: given a meromorphic connection on a disk D^2, analytic away from zero, then the space of solutions forms a locally constant sheaf Sol on $D^2 \setminus 0$, or equivalently, on the real oriented blowup $\pi : \widetilde{D^2} \to D^2$ at 0. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the totally ordered set of all possible growth rates of the absolute value of the solution to a linear meromorphic ODE, modulo polynomial growth rates – we discuss what $\mathcal{I}$ is more explicitly later. Then the sheaf $Sol|_{\pi^{-1}(0)}$ carries a stalkwise filtration by $\mathcal{I}$, varying continuously in an appropriate sense. This filtration is termed the Stokes filtration.

The Riemann-Hilbert theorem in this possibly irregular case implies in particular the following three assertions: first, that connections up to analytic local gauge equivalence are classified by their solution sheaves equipped with Stokes filtrations; second, that connections up to formal local gauge equivalence are classified by their solution sheaves plus the associated graded of the Stokes filtration; and finally, that if a given associated graded arises from some connection, then there exist connections giving rise to any filtration with this associated graded [Mal].

The relation to our setting is obtained by projecting the $\mathcal{I}$ filtration to an $\mathbb{R}$ filtration, and then "turning it sideways" via the observation than a sheaf of $\mathbb{R}$-filtered objects on X is the same as a sheaf on $X \times \mathbb{R}$

with microsupport confined to covectors negative in the $\mathbb{R}$ direction. Recording the jumping locus of the filtration by passing to the associated graded is just the same as recording the microsupport of the sheaf.

Let us be more precise about how to produce the sheaf on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. To this end we recall the formal classification of singularities of meromorphic ODE: any vector bundle on a disk equipped with a meromorphic connection ∇, analytic away from zero, is, over the universal cover of the disk, *formally* gauge equivalent to some $\bigoplus(\alpha \otimes \nabla_\alpha)$ where each α is an irregular connection *of rank one* and ∇_α is a regular connection. Note that the asymptotics of the holomorphic local solutions are controlled by the asymptotics of the formal local solutions. (The "main asymptotic existence theorem" asserts a converse; that one can lift formal solutions to holomorphic solutions with similar asymptotics. It is a key step in the proof of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence; but in the black-box presentation we are giving, it can be viewed as a consequence.)

Thus to understand the possible asymptotics of solutions, it suffices to consider the rank one equation

$$\frac{df}{dz} = \alpha \cdot f(z) \qquad\qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}((z^{1/\infty}))$$

Evidently the solution is $f = e^{\int \alpha dz}$. The regular part of the connection does not affect the growth rate of solutions *modulo polynomial growth rates*, i.e., the growth rate is determined by the class of α in $\mathbb{C}((z^{1/\infty}))/z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{1/\infty}]]$. We will thus take α to have no terms of degree greater than -1.

We return to our description of the sideways Stokes sheaf. Fix again some connection ∇, and after some gauge transformation defined over $\mathbb{C}((z^{1/\infty}))$, expand it as $\nabla = \bigoplus(\alpha \otimes \nabla_\alpha)$. Fix some $\epsilon \ll 1$, and plot, as a function of θ, the (multivalued-)functions $n_{\alpha,r}(\theta) := \log|f(\epsilon e^{i\theta})| = Re((\int \alpha dz)_{z=re^{i\theta}})$, for every α which appears in the above decomposition.

Consider the sheaf $Sol|_{\pi^{-1}(0)}$, and pull it back to $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ under the projection of the $\mathbb{R}$ factor. Fix now some $\epsilon \ll 1$. Note that a stalk of this sheaf is in fact a function on the circle; form the subsheaf $\mathbb{S}^\epsilon$ whose stalk at (N, θ) consists of solutions which grow at most polynomially faster than any formal solution, the logarithm of whose evaluation at $\epsilon e^{i\theta}$ is at most N. That is:

$$\mathbb{S}^\epsilon_{N,\theta} := \{f \in Sol_{N,\theta} \,|\, N \leq n_{\alpha,\epsilon}(\theta) \implies \log|f(re^{i\theta})| \leq n_{\alpha,r}(\theta) + O_{r\to 0}(1)\}$$

By construction, the sheaf $\mathbb{S}^\epsilon$ has microsupport at infinity equal to the Legendrian link whose front projection is the union of the graphs of the $n_{\alpha,\epsilon}$, co-oriented towards $-\infty$ in $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. We call this link the *Stokes Legendrian* of the connection, and term its front projection the *Stokes diagram*. Note that the Stokes diagram and Stokes Legendrian depend only on the formal type.

Remark 3.11. The fact that this filtration should be viewed as describing a Legendrian is mentioned in [KKP], and in fact, the front projection of this Legendrian was drawn by Stokes himself [Sto].

We can now state more precisely the irregular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Let Σ be a surface, and $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ be points on Σ. Fix a formal type of irregular singularity τ_i at each p_i, i.e., choose some connection on a disk near each τ_i, meromorphic on the disk and holomorphic away from p_i, defined up to formal gauge equivalence, and up to changing the regular part of the connection. That is, for the moment we take our notion of formal type to mean that only the α are specified, and the ∇_α are left to vary. Let $C_{dR}(\Sigma, \{p_i\}, \{\tau_i\})$ be the category of connections with the prescribed formal types.

Let Λ_i be the Stokes Legendrian of the singularity τ_i. Draw the knot Λ_i on Σ by first passing to the real blowup $Bl_{p_i}\Sigma$, and then gluing the $\mathbb{R} \times S_1$ above to the inside of the boundary circle, with ∞ in the $\mathbb{R}$ factor facing 'into' the surface. One now has a punctured surface; the puncture can be filled in and re-labelled p_i.

The procedure we described locally before can now be performed globally over the surface. That is, if we write $C_B(\Sigma, \bigcup \Lambda_i, \bigcup p_i)$ for the subcategory of $Sh_{\bigcup \Lambda_i}(\Sigma)$ in which the stalk of the sheaf vanishes at all p_i, then forming the global sideways Stokes sheaf of solutions defines a map

$$C_{dR}(\Sigma, \{p_i\}, \{\tau_i\}) \to C_B(\Sigma, \bigcup \Lambda_i, \bigcup p_i)$$

The irregular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence implies this map is an equivalence.

The ∇_α on the de Rham side integrate to the microlocal monodromies on the Betti side. In particular, when all the ∇_α have dimension one, the moduli space of objects in the above category is exactly of the sort we have been considering in this section.

Example 3.12. The ODEs $f'' = z^n f$ generalize the Airy equation ($n = 1$), and correspond to the $\mathcal{D}$-module $d - A$, with $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ z^n & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. This gives an SL_2-flat connection, and we will determine the formal type

of the singularity by investigating the solutions $\binom{f}{f'}$ at $z=\infty$. First put $x=z^{-1}$ to move the irregular singularity to the origin, then define the differential operator $\Theta = z\frac{d}{dz} = -x\frac{d}{dx}$, after which the equation becomes $Lf=0$, with $L=\Theta^2+\Theta-x^{-(n+2)}$. Newton's method instructs us how to look at the most singular terms and use gauge transformations to reduce the order of the singularity so as to develop the power-series parts of asymptotic solutions. In this case, we find $f_\pm = \exp(\mp\frac{2}{n+2}x^{-\frac{n+2}{2}})x^{\frac{n}{4}}\sum_m a_m x^{\frac{m}{2}}$. Wasow's "Main Asymptotic Existence Theorem" [Was, Section 14] states that these represent the singularity types of actual solutions. Which of the two $f_\pm(re^{i\theta})$ is most singular as $r\to 0$ changes at $n+2$ values of θ, so we can read off the Stokes data as the $(2,n+2)$ braid. Compare with Figure 1.

4. Alternating Legendrians

In this section we construct exact Lagrangian fillings of alternating Legendrians. The data of such a Legendrian can be encoded by a bicolored graph on the surface; in the terminology of [Pos], the front projection is an *alternating strand diagram*. The surface we construct has the same homotopy type as the graph, and can be topologically identified with what has elsewhere been called the *conjugate surface* [GK]. After equipping the conjugate Lagrangian with the requisite brane data so as to give rise to objects in the infinitessimally wrapped Fukaya category of [NZ], we calculate the sheaves on the base surface which result from taking Floer homology with the fiber.

4.1. Alternating colorings.

Definition 4.1. Let Σ be a surface, and let $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ be a Legendrian in its cocircle bundle whose front projection $\pi(\Lambda)$ has only transverse intersections as singularities.

An **alternating coloring** for Λ is the data of, for each region in the complement of the front projection, a label *black, white, or null*, subject to the conditions:

- The boundary of a black region is co-oriented inward.
- The boundary of a white region is co-oriented outward.
- The boundary of an null region has co-orientations that alternate between inward and outward at each crossing.
- No black region shares a 1-d border with a white region, and no null region shares a 1-d border with another null region.

An **alternating Legendrian** Λ is a Legendrian equipped with an alternating coloring.

We term such colorings alternating because:

Proposition 4.2. *A link with an alternating coloring has the property that, following along any strand, successive crossing strands in the front projection have alternating co-orientations. For a one-component link, this is sufficient to guarantee the existence of an alternating coloring.*

Some Legendrians can admit more than one alternating coloring:

Example 4.3. Consider the link composed of two concentric circles in the plane, with the inner one co-oriented outward and the outer one co-oriented inward. There are three connected components of the complement of the front projection. This admits two alternating colorings: proceeding from inside to outside, the three components can be labelled (white, null, white) or (null, black, null).

However, this can be excluded by requiring sufficient crossings:

Proposition 4.4. *If every region of the complement of the front projection abuts a crossing, there is at most one alternating coloring, and moreover the fourth condition above follows from the first three.*

Proof. In the neighborhood of any crossing, there is at most one alternating coloring, which moreover verifies the fourth condition. □

Remark 4.5. Even if one is only ultimately interested in diagrams satisfying the condition of the proposition, the extra flexibility in our definition of alternating coloring is still needed for it be a local notion on Σ.

We assume from now on that Σ is orientable; as such we can identify co-orientations with orientations, and do so by orienting the Legendrian such that the "hairs" indicating its co-orientation always point **to the**



FIGURE 9. The front projection $\pi(\Lambda)$ of an alternating Legendrian and the associated bipartite graph Γ. Given $\pi(\Lambda)$, we recover Γ by placing a black/white vertex in each region whose boundary is co-oriented inward/outward, then connecting these by edges passing through crossings. Given Γ, we recover $\pi(\Lambda)$ by drawing paths going between midpoints of edges of Γ, co-orienting them away from white vertices and towards black vertices.

left when traveling in the direction of the orientation. In terms of orientations rather than co-orientations, the Legendrian travels counterclockwise around a black region, and clockwise around a white one.

label	co-orientation	orientation	sheaf type
black	inward	counterclockwise	standard
white	outward	clockwise	co-standard

TABLE 1. Labelling conventions for alternating diagrams.

The front projections of alternating Legendrians have been considered elsewhere in the context of bipartite graphs, where they are referred to as *alternating strand diagrams* and their components as *zig-zag paths* [Pos, GK]. A bicolored graph simply means one whose vertices are labeled white and black; if edges only connect vertices of distinct colors it is bipartite. The alternating strand diagram of an embedded bicolored graph $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ is determined up to planar isotopy by the following conditions: it lies in an open set that retracts onto Γ, its crossings are in bijection with edges of the graph meeting a vertex of each color, with one crossing lying on each edge, and these crossings are the only points where it meets Γ. Thus bicolored graphs in Σ present alternating Legendrians in $T^\infty\Sigma$:

Proposition 4.6. *Let Γ be a bicolored graph. Then there is a unique Legendrian lift Λ of the alternating strand diagram of Γ such that the vertex coloring of Γ gives the labels for an coloring of Λ.*

Conversely, from an alternating Legendrian we can produce a bicolored graph that gives rise to it in the above fashion. This is simplest when each standard and costandard region is contractible; in this case we simply place appropriately colored vertices in the white and black regions and connect them with edges across crossings. More generally, we further attach to each vertex a configuration of embedded self-loops onto which its black/white region retracts.

4.2. **The conjugate Lagrangian.** Let $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ be a Legendrian, equipped with an alternating coloring. From this data, we now construct an exact Lagrangian filling from an alternating coloring, and equip it with the necessary structures to provide an object of the infinitessimally-wrapped Fukaya category $Fuk(T^*\Sigma)$.

We begin by describing the filling-to-be as an abstract topological surface, absent the embedding into the cotangent bundle. The desired surface coincides with that associated to a bipartite graph in [FHKV, GK], where it is called the *conjugate surface*.



FIGURE 10. The right picture shows an alternating Legendrian in $T^\infty D^2$ and the associated bicolored graph. The left shows its conjugate Lagrangian L together with the strict transform of the bicolored graph under $L \to D^2$. The shaded regions on the right indicate the image of $L \to D^2$. Note the embedding of L into the page, exhibiting it as a planar surface, is somewhat unnatural. The exceptional arcs and their images are indicated in red.

Let $\widehat{\Sigma}$ denote the real blow up Σ at the finite set of crossings of the front projection of Λ. The blow-down map $\widehat{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ is a diffeomorphism away from the crossings, and the fiber above a crossing is the $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{P}^1$ of lines tangent to the the crossing. We denote by $W \subset \Sigma$ (resp. $B \subset \Sigma$) the union of the interiors of the white (resp. black) regions of the complement of the front projection.

Definition 4.7. The conjugate surface $\overline{L}$ is the closure of the preimage of $W \cup B$ in $\widehat{\Sigma}$. It is a smooth surface with boundary, and we write L for its interior.

The boundary of $\overline{L}$ is canonically homeomorphic to Λ. The blowdown map identifies the white and black regions of Σ with open subset of L, which we also refer to as white and black regions. Each exceptional $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{P}^1$-curve on $\widehat{\Sigma}$ meets $\overline{L}$ in an line segment that separates a white region from a black region. We term such line segments "exceptional arcs", and sometimes indicate them in red, as in Figure 10.

Definition 4.8 (Adapted coordinates at crossings)**.** Let $U \subset \Sigma$ be a neighborhood of a crossing of the front projection of Λ, assumed equipped with an alternating coloring. We say a coordinatization $x, y : U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ is *adapted* to the coloring if, in these coordinates, the front projection is the union of the x- and y-axes, the black region is the first quadrant $\{x, y > 0\}$, and the white region is the third quadrant $\{x, y < 0\}$. (Note that the orientation induced on the front from the co-orientations implicit in the above choices points towards positive x and towards *negative* y.)

Denote the preimage of U in $\widehat{\Sigma}$ by $\widehat{U}$. We endow $\widehat{U} \cap \overline{L}$ with the coordinates s, t, where s takes values in $\mathbf{R}$ and t takes values in $[0, 1]$, so that the blowdown map is

$$x(s,t) = s(1-t) \qquad y(s,t) = st$$

We likewise refer to these as the adapted coordinates on $\overline{L}$ near the exceptional arc $s = 0$.

The following proposition asserts that L can be embedded into $T^*\Sigma$ as an exact Lagrangian in an essentially unique way. Thus from now on we regard L as embedded in $T^*\Sigma$ without comment.

Definition/Proposition 4.9. *Let $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ be a Legendrian equipped with an alternating coloring, and let $\overline{L}$ be the corresponding conjugate surface. Let $\bigcup_a \partial a \subset \partial\overline{L}$ denote the union of the boundaries of all exceptional arcs. Let m be a smooth function on L that takes values in $(0, \infty)$, and that extends to a smooth function*

$$\overline{L} - \bigcup_a \partial a \to [0, \infty]$$

taking the value 0 on the boundary of black regions and ∞ on the boundary of white regions. Suppose furthermore that in adapted coordinates s, t near an exceptional arc, $\partial m/\partial t$ is divisible by s. Then there is a unique embedding $L \to T^*\Sigma$ with the following properties:

(1) *The composition of $L \to T^*\Sigma$ with the projection $T^*\Sigma \to \Sigma$ coincides with the blowdown map.*
(2) *The image of L is an exact Lagrangian, and the pullback of the tautological one-form to L coincides with $d\log(m)$.*
(3) *The image of L is asymptotic to Λ.*

Moreover, given two choices of m the corresponding images of L are Hamiltonian isotopic.

We call any such L the **conjugate Lagrangian**.

Proof. Fix a coordinate patch $U \subset \Sigma$ with coordinates $x, y : U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$. The cotangent bundle over U is then endowed with coordinates $x, y, \xi, \eta : T^*U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ in which the tautological one-form is $\xi dx + \eta dy$. Let $V \subset L$ be a coordinate patch contained in the inverse image of U under the blowdown map $\hat{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$, with coordinates $s, t : V \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$. We then write $x(s,t)$, $y(s,t)$ for the components of the blowdown map in these coordinates. Any Lagrangian embedding $V \hookrightarrow T^*U$ obeying conditions (1) and (2) must be of the form $(x(s,t), y(s,t), \xi(s,t), \eta(s,t))$ where ξ and η obey the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \xi x_s + \eta y_s &= m_s/m \\ \xi x_t + \eta y_t &= m_t/m \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.1}$$

i.e. the product of the Jacobian of $x(s,t)$, $y(s,t)$ and $(\xi, \eta)^T$ is equal to the gradient of $\log(m)$.

Away from the exceptional arcs the Jacobian is invertible, hence there is a unique such ξ, η, namely

$$\xi = m_x/m, \quad \eta = m_y/m.$$

Since the complement of the exceptional arcs is dense, if a global solution to (4.2.1) exists it is unique. Near the exceptional arcs we may rewrite (4.2.1) in adapted coordinates as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1-t & t \\ -s & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m_s/m \\ m_t/m \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can then check that the unique local solution is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t \\ 1 & 1-t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_s/m \\ m_t/sm \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that here we have used the hypothesis that m_t/s exists.

The fact that condition (3) is satisfied away from the boundaries of the exceptional arcs is the same as the usual calculation with standard and costandard sheaves [NZ]. As for the boundaries of the exceptional arcs, they can be studied in a local model: Example 4.10 below therefore proves the remainder of the theorem. □

Example 4.10. In adapted coordinates, an example of a function satisfying the hypotheses of lemma 4.9 is $m = \exp(-\frac{s}{t(1-t)})$. Solving for ξ and η, we find

$$\xi = \frac{3t-2}{t(1-t)^2}, \quad \eta = \frac{1-3t}{t^2(1-t)}$$

As $t \to 0^+$, the vector (ξ, η) approaches $\mathbf{R}_+(0,1) \in T^\infty_{(0,0)}\mathbf{R}^2$, and as $t \to 1^-$ it approaches $\mathbf{R}_+(1,0) \in T^\infty_{(0,0)}\mathbf{R}^2$. In particular, this is consistent with the conclusion that L is asymptotic to Λ. As t goes from 0 to 1 while $s = 0$, the argument of (ξ, η) moves counterclockwise through an angle of $3\pi/2$ from $\pi/2$ to 0. The level set $m = e^{-C}$ in L is the curve $s = Ct(1-t)$, a horizontal parabola passing through $(0,0)$ and $(0,1)$ with vertex $(C/4, 1/2)$ as shown below.



We now explain how conjugate Lagrangians give rise to objects of the infinitessimally-wrapped Fukaya category $Fuk(T^*\Sigma)$ recalled in Section 2.3.

Proposition 4.11 (Brane structure on conjugate Lagrangians)**.** *Let L be a conjugate Lagrangian. There exists a lift $\widetilde{\alpha} : L \to \mathbb{R}$ of the squared phase map, a relative pin structure P, and a family of tame perturbations Ψ such that $(L, \mathcal{E}, \widetilde{\alpha}, P, \Psi)$ is an object of $Fuk(T^*\Sigma)$ for any local system $\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward, albeit somewhat tedious. Given that L is an exact Lagrangian, it remains to construct a lift $\widetilde{\alpha}$ of the squared phase map, a relative pin structure P, and a family Ψ of tame perturbations Ψ.

Pin structure. The obstruction to a pin structure P on L is classifiied by the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(L)$. But $w_2(L) \in H^2(L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes, since L retracts to Γ, and this implies that $H^2(L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The choices pin structure then form a torsor over $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ (see [NZ], Remark 5.3.5), and while there is no canonical choice, we note that the difference $\psi \in H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ between two such choices can be absorbed in a redefinition $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L} \to L$ is a line bundle with monodromy around $\gamma \in H_1(L, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by $\psi \cdot \gamma \in \{\pm 1\}$. For any change of sign in the definition of a composition map will be absorbed in the monodromy of $\mathcal{L}$.

Grading. Again we let $W \subset \Sigma$ (resp. $B \subset \Sigma$) denote the union of the interiors of the white (resp. black) regions of Φ. We let $L_W := (\pi|_L)^{-1}(W)$ and $L_B := (\pi|_L)^{-1}(B)$ denote the corresponding (open) white and black regions of L. Since L_B is the graph of $d \log m$ over B it has a canonical grading $\widetilde{\alpha}_B$ obtained by setting the zero section to have lifted squared phase zero [NZ, Proposition 5.3.4]. Similarly L_W has a canonical grading $\widetilde{\alpha}_W$, but *these two gradings do not agree.* We must analyze the exceptional arcs where the white and black regions meet. We need do this only once, since the neighborhood of any crossing is diffeomorphic the local model we now describe. The upshot will be the following: L has a canonical grading whose restriction to L_W is shifted by $+1$ from its grading as a graph over the region W.

We can choose the metric on Σ to be Euclidean in a neighborhood of the crossings. In adapted coordinates in this neighborhood, we have $x = s(1-t), y = st$. In the symplectic structure $\omega = dx \wedge d\xi + dy \wedge d\eta$ in which L is Lagrangian, we have the compatible almost complex structure $J(\partial_x) = \partial_\xi, J(\partial_y) = \partial_\eta$ so that $e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_\xi)$ and $e_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_y - i\partial_\xi)$ are a unitary frame for $T(T^*X)$. Then if $M(s,t)$ is a matrix so that $TL|_{(s,t)} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbf{R}}\{Me_1, Me_2\}$, the squared phase map α is defined by $\exp(i\pi\alpha) = \det(M)^2$. For concreteness we choose m as in Example 4.10 and define $f := \log m := -s/(t(1-t))$. It is useful to write this in terms of x and y, which is valid outside $s = 0$: $f = -(x+y)^3/(xy)$.

Given our explicit parametrization $\varphi(s,t) = (x(s,t), y(s,t), \xi(s,t), \eta(s,t))$ for L, we calculate the basis $v_1 = \varphi_*(\partial_s), v_2 = \varphi_*(\partial_t)$ for TL to be

$$v_1 = (1-t, t; \xi_s \equiv 0, \eta_s \equiv 0), \qquad v_2 = (-s, s; \xi_t, \eta_t)$$

written in the coordinate frame $\{\partial_x, \partial_y; \partial_\xi, \partial_\eta\}$ for $T(T^*\Sigma)$, in row vector notation (though we will switch to column vectors freely). In the unitary frame this basis is

$$v_1 = (1-t)e_1 + te_2, \qquad v_2 = (-s + i\xi_t)e_1 + (s + i\eta_t)e_2.$$

Applying Gram-Schmidt, noting $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = s(2t-1) + i((1-t)\xi_t + t\eta_t) = s(2t-1)$ since $(1-t)\xi_t + t\eta_t = 0$, produces the unitary basis

$$\frac{1}{\mu}\left[(1-t)e_1 + te_2\right], \qquad \frac{1}{\nu}\left[\left(-st + i\mu^2\xi_t\right)e_1 + \left(s(1-t) + i\mu^2\eta_t\right)e_2\right]$$

where

$$\mu^2 = (1-t)^2 + t^2, \qquad \nu^2 = \mu^2\left(s^2 + \mu^2(\xi_t^2 + \eta_t^2)\right).$$

The matrix M is therefore

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-t}{\mu} & \frac{-st + i\mu^2\xi_t}{\nu} \\ \frac{t}{\mu} & \frac{s(1-t) + i\mu^2\eta_t}{\nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\mathrm{Arg}(\det M)^2 = 2\mathrm{Arg}(s + i((1-t)\eta_t - t\xi_t))$. The quantity $(1-t)\eta_t - t\xi_t$ can be written as $\frac{P\mu^2}{t^3(1-t)^3}$, where $P = -6t^2 + 6t - 2$, from which it is clear that the quantity is always negative with maximum value -16 at $t = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, for fixed t as s goes from positive to negative, the lifted squared phase map goes smoothly from the branch $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, where we began in the black region, to the branch $(-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ in the white regions.

This translates to a consistent shift of one degree from the black to the white regions — an observation which will become critical when we assign a sheaf counterpart to the Fukaya object formed by L. *Tame perturbation.* Recall that a Lagrangian L in a symplectic Riemannian manifold X is called "tame" if the intersection of small balls in X with L are contractible, and if the distance between points in L is a bounded multiple of the distance in X. An object of the Fukaya category need not be tame, but must come equipped with a one-parameter family of tame perturbations, with constant topology at infinity. A basic lemma ([NZ], Lemma 5.4.5) says that standard branes have tame perturbations. We now show that the same conjugate Lagrangian is tame as well.

Now let L be the conjugate Lagrangian in the statement of this theorem. Let $L_{B,*}$ (respectively, $L_{W,!}$) be the standard (respectively, costandard) Lagrangians associated to the union of the open black (respectively, white) regions. The starting point of our proof will be the observation that L agrees with $L_{B,*}$ and $L_{W,!}$ outside a neighborhood of the crossings (in the notation of the "Grading" section of this proof, $L_B = L_{B,*}$ and $L_W = L_{W,!}$), for suitably chosen defining functions for B and W.[1]

From here on let us choose $\epsilon > 0$ and denote by $L'_B \subset L_B$ and $L'_W \subset L_W$ the restriction of these graphs to graphs over the complement of an ϵ ball around the each crossing. Then L'_B and L'_W admit tame perturbations. Now because a path in L between points $p, q \in L$ can be decomposed into a finite collection of paths along L'_B and L'_W as well as paths across the crossing regions, the existence of tame perturbations will follow if we can establish that the local model of Example 4.10 is itself tame.[2]

We check directly, referring to Example 4.10 for the explicit parametrization $\gamma(s,t) = (x = s(1-t), y = st; \xi = \frac{3t-2}{t(1-t)^2}, \eta = \frac{1-3t}{t^2(1-t)})$, with $t \in [0,1]$ and $|s| < \epsilon$. The key will be the following claim. Let $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\eta/\xi)$ to be the cosphere angle. Then $d\theta$ and dt are commensurate, meaning there is a $C > 0$ so that $C^{-1}dt < d\theta < Cdt$. To prove this, we compute $\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{2(3t^2-3t+1)}{18t^4-36t^3+26t^2-8t+1}$. The numerator takes values between $1/2$ and 2 while the denominator takes values between $1/9$ and 1. Therefore we can take $C = 20$. Now let $U = \{0\} \cup \{xy > 0, |x+y| < \epsilon\} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ be the part of the first and third quadrants covered by $\gamma(s,t)$. Then further note that distances in U are commensurate with distances in the plane. It follows from these observations that the portion of L over U is tame in the metric defined by J_{con}. □

Remark 4.12. If we isotope Λ so that at each crossing both strands are tangent to the graph Γ to all orders, hence nontransverse to each other, we can arrange the conjugate Lagrangian L so that its intersection with the zero section is exactly Γ. To do this we choose m so that on the white regions $\log(m)$ is equal to zero along Γ and decreases monotonically to $-\infty$ towards the boundary of L (so the preimage of $(-R, 0]$) retracts onto Γ for all R). In particular the critical locus of $\log(m)$ on the white regions is exactly Γ. Likewise, we ask that on the black regions $\log(m)$ is zero along Γ and increases monotonically to ∞ towards the boundary. We no longer insist $\log(m)$ be defined on the exceptional arcs, but still the complement of the exceptional arcs is embedded into $T^*\Sigma$ as the graph of $d\log(m)$. Then by the tangency conditions on the strands of Φ taking a closure embeds the exceptional arcs as the conormal lines to Γ at the crossings. It is clear that L is exact since it retracts onto its intersection with the zero section, where the Liouville form is zero. We do not elaborate on tameness or gradings explicitly, though a tame *perturbation* is supplied since a Lagrangian of this type can be perturbed to one constructed as in Proposition 4.11 above.

4.3. **Alternating sheaves.** Given an alternating Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ and its conjugate Lagrangian L, the structures constructed in Proposition 4.11 allow us to consider Lagrangian branes supported on L. Taking family Floer homology with fibers of the cotangent bundle as in [Nad, NZ] yields sheaves on Σ. We describe the resulting sheaves here.

Note first that since the fiber intersects L in a unique point above the white and black regions, and in no points above the null regions, the resulting sheaf will be supported on the closure of the union of the black and white regions. Moreover, the stalk of the sheaf to these regions will necessarily be locally constant and

[1] This follows from the boundary conditions on the function m and the observation that the chosen local model of m in a neighborhood $U_p \subset S$ of a crossing point $p \in S$ is $m = (\pi|_L)^*(exp(-(x+y)/(xy)^3))$ in U_p, and this (respectively, its inverse) can be taken as a defining function for the first (respectively, third) quadrant outside a neighborhood of the origin.

[2] This point is a bit subtle. The conical almost complex structure J_{con} employed in [NZ] solves the problem of large covectors in L being nearly parallel and lying over points which are close in S, but for which no direct path in L exists without traversing finite angles in a large cosphere. The solution is that J_{con}, which induces a metric cone over the unit cosphere, makes the lengths of path of large covectors with "constant" angles large, even over small distances in S.

isomorphic to the stalk of the local system on L specified in the brane data. Since $\partial L = \Lambda$, the resulting sheaf will have microsupport at infinity contained in Λ. That is, it is a sheaf of the following form:

Definition 4.13. Let $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ be equipped with an alternating coloring. A alternating sheaf is an object of $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma;\Bbbk)$ whose support is contained in the closure of the union of the white and black regions.

By a locally costandard sheaf on an open subset $j : U \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ we mean any sheaf of the form $j_!\mathcal{L}$ for a locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ of invertible $\Bbbk$-modules on U. Likewise, by a locally standard sheaf we mean any sheaf of the form $j_*\mathcal{L}$ for such an $\mathcal{L}$.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an alternating sheaf whose microstalks have cohomology vanishing outside of degree zero. Let $w : W \to \Sigma$ and $b : B \to \Sigma$ be the inclusion of the interior of the (open) white and black regions, respectively. Then $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{F}) \cong w_!w^!\mathcal{F}$ is a locally costandard sheaf on the union of the white regions, $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{F}) \cong b_*b^*\mathcal{F}[1]$ is a locally standard sheaf on the union of the black regions, and all other cohomology sheaves vanish.*

Proof. Note that the closure of a given white region is disjoint from all other white regions; similarly the closure of a given black region is disjoint from all other black regions.

At a neighborhood of a smooth point of the front projection, one has either a null region separated from a white region, or a black region separated from a null region. Denote the corresponding morphisms along the characteristic path by $\mathcal{F}_N \to \mathcal{F}_W$ or $\mathcal{F}_B \to \mathcal{F}_N$. In both cases, the cone has, by assumption, cohomology only in degree zero, and also by assumption, $\mathcal{F}_N = 0$. It follows that the stalk on the black region has cohomology only in degree 1, and the stalk in the white region has cohomology only in degree 0.

The microsupport prescribes that the generization maps from stalks at the boundary of the black regions into the black regions give isomorphisms, and that the generization maps from the stalks on the smooth boundaries of the white regions to the nearby null regions are isomorphisms (to zero). In particular, the stalks of $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{F})$ vanish outside the open white regions, and $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{F})$ is a locally constant sheaf on the closure of the black regions. The result follows. □

Otherwise stated, the alternating sheaves fit into exact triangles

$$\mathcal{F}_W[1] \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_B \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_W$ is a locally costandard sheaf on W and $\mathcal{F}_B$ a locally standard sheaf on B. Such triangles are classified by $\mathscr{H}om(\mathcal{F}_B[-1], \mathcal{F}_W[1])$; we now recall from [STZ] which such extensions give objects in $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)$.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $\mathcal{L}_W$ and $\mathcal{L}_B$ be local systems on the interiors of the white and black regions of an alternating coloring, with generic stalks ℓ_W, ℓ_B. Then $\mathscr{H}om(b_*\mathcal{L}_B[-1], w_!\mathcal{L}_W[1])$ is a direct sum of skyscraper sheaves in degree zero at the crossings of $\pi(\Lambda)$, each isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(\ell_W, \ell_B)$.*

The extension determined by a given class in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(b_*\mathcal{L}_B, w_!\mathcal{L}_W[1])$ has microsupport in Λ iff the corresponding local elements of $\mathrm{Hom}(\ell_W, \ell_B)$ are all isomorphisms.

Proof. Recall that $\mathscr{H}om(X, Y) = \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}Y \otimes X)$, where $\mathbb{D}$ denotes Verdier duality. So

$$\mathscr{H}om(\mathcal{L}_B[-1], \mathcal{L}_W[1]) = \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}(w_!\mathcal{L}_W[1]) \otimes b_*\mathcal{L}_B[-1]) = \mathbb{D}(w_*\mathcal{L}_W^\vee[1] \otimes b_*\mathcal{L}_B[-1]) = \mathbb{D}(w_*\mathcal{L}_W^\vee \otimes b_*\mathcal{L}_B)$$

If p is any point, then $(w_*\mathcal{L}_W^\vee \otimes b_*\mathcal{L}_B)_p = (w_*\mathcal{L}_W^\vee)_p \otimes (b_*\mathcal{L}_B)_p$, which can only be nonzero for p in the intersection of the closures of the white and black regions, i.e., at a crossing. The above formula shows that the stalk here is evidently the hom space between nearby stalks of the local systems in the white and black regions. This proves the first statement.

The second statement follows from a direct computation as in [STZ, Theorem 3.12] (in the "crossing" section, page 22). This calculation can be packaged as the statement that the above hom space is also the stalk of the Kashiwara-Schapira μhom sheaf (see [KS, Sec. 6]) along the interior of $ss(w_!\mathcal{L}_W[1]) \cap ss(b_*\mathcal{L}_B[-1])$ — the covectors pointing into the black region — and the cone over the stalk of the μhom becomes the microstalk of the cone.

Another way to see this is to perform a contact transformation, moving the Legendrian graph $ss(w_!\mathcal{L}_W[1]) \cap ss(b_*\mathcal{L}_B[-1])$ to one whose front projection is locally an embedding near the desired microstalk, whereupon the desired μhom calculation reduces to the above $\mathscr{H}om$ calculation. □

Theorem 4.16. *Fixing brane structures on L determines a morphism $Loc(L;\Bbbk) \hookrightarrow Fuk_\Lambda(T^*\Sigma;\Bbbk) \cong Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma;\Bbbk)$ whose essential image is the category of alternating sheaves.*

Proof. The fact that the image is an alternating sheaf follows from Lemma 2.10 together with the fact that L projects to the union of the white and black regions.

To show surjectivity, observe that according to Lemma 4.15, an alternating sheaf is equivalent data to the specification of a free module of some fixed rank at each black and white vertex, and an isomorphism along each edge — that is, the category of alternating sheaves is equivalent to local systems on the bicolored graph, hence to the category of local systems on L, as the Lagrangian retracts to the graph.

It remains to show our Floer theoretically defined map realizes this isomorphism. Since we know full faithfulness, it suffices to show that the map is surjective on moduli spaces. Since the spaces are abstractly isomorphic, surjectivity follows from injectivity by the Ax-Grothendieck theorem [Gro, 10.4.11]. □

Recall from Lemma 2.12 that the space of rank-one Lagrangian branes supported on L is an open algebraic torus in $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$. We can now describe it sheaf-theoretically:

Corollary 4.17. *In $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda)$, the subspace of rank-one Lagrangian branes supported on L coincides with the subspace of alternating sheaves.*

As abstractly this subspace is isomorphic to the space of local systems on L, hence also on Γ, it has natural coordinates described by holonomies around the faces of Γ. However, there is one subtlety: the equivalence between local systems on L and alternating sheaves depends on choices of spin structure on L and Σ, and different choices lead to different signs.

There is a convenient combinatorial way of fixing this ambiguity, following [STZ, Prop. 5.12]. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is an alternating sheaf and ℓ_W, ℓ_B stalks of $\mathcal{F}_W$, $\mathcal{F}_B$ in the neighborhood of a fixed crossing of $\pi(\Lambda)$. Picking one of the two components of Λ above the crossing picks out an isomorphism between ℓ_W and $\ell_B[1]$: each is isomorphic to the microstalk of $\mathcal{F}$ at a point of that component on either side of the crossing, and parallel transport in the microlocalization $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ defines an isomorphism between these microstalks. Choosing the other component changes the isomorphism $\ell_W \cong \ell_B[1]$ by a sign.

In particular, if we choose a component of Λ above every crossing these isomorphisms between stalks of $\mathcal{F}_W$ and $\mathcal{F}_B[1]$ assemble to define a local system on L. The sheaves $\mathcal{F}_W$ and $\mathcal{F}_B[1]$ define a canonical local system on the complement of the exceptional arcs, and the construction above defines a parallel transport across the exceptional arcs. On the other hand, since Σ is oriented there is a consistent notion of which component of Λ is clockwise from the white/black regions and which is counterclockwise. The natural thing is then to choose components consistently:

Definition 4.18. Given an alternating Legendrian Λ, the **standard trivialization** of the space of alternating sheaves is the isomorphism with $Loc_1(L)$ induced by choosing the clockwise component of Λ at each crossing. The **standard face coordinates** on the space of alternating sheaves are the counterclockwise holonomies around the faces of Γ under the standard trivialization. The **positive face coordinates** are the negatives of the standard face coordinates.

Note that choosing counterclockwise components at crossings in the above definition results in the same coordinates. The positive coordinates are so-called because, as we will see in Section 5.2, their transformations are described by subtraction-free expressions.

5. Cluster combinatorics from Legendrian isotopy

Thus far, we have considered structures which arise from the geometry of a Legendrian knot in a fixed position. We turn now to comparisons between these structures arising from Legendrian isotopies.

At the level of categories or of moduli spaces, isotopies give rise to equivalences: given an isotopy $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$, one gets by [GKS] an equivalence $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma) \to Sh_{\Lambda'}(\Sigma)$, and a corresponding isomorphism of the moduli spaces. However, different isotopy representatives of Λ present different structures on the moduli space. In particular, we saw in Section 3 that an isotopy representative in which Λ is presented as a union of positive braids has, in some cases, a canonical identification with a positroid cell or a wild character variety. On the other hand, we saw in Section 4 that an isotopy representative which is alternating comes with a natural filling L, hence its moduli space has an abelian chart $Loc_1(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(\Sigma, \Lambda)$.

This raises a series of questions:

(1) Which Legendrians have alternating representatives; how many are there and what are the isotopies between them?

(2) Given an isotopy between alternating Legendrians, what is the change of co-ordinates between the corresponding abelian charts?
(3) Given an isotopy from an alternating Legendrian to a localized positive braid, can the co-ordinates of the abelian chart from the filling be written in terms of some co-ordinates natural from the nonabelian point of view of the positive braid?

The first question is one of topological combinatorics, and the main relevant results in this direction are due to D. Thurston [Thu], who was also motivated by connections to cluster algebra. We survey and extend his results in Section 5.1; in particular, showing that the alternating strand diagram of a plabic graph admits a homotopically unique isotopy to a Legendrian of positroid type, and also showing that localized positive braids admit alternating representatives.

The second and third questions are by now reduced to combinatorics: in the previous section, we established a sheaf theoretic formalism for treating the abelian charts, and a moduli space of constructible sheaves can be described in terms of the representation theory of some quiver. Any isotopy can be factored into a sequence of Reidemeister moves, and the isomorphism induced by [GKS] can correspondingly be factored into a product; each term in this product can be described explicitly.

It remains to carry out this combinatorics. In a sense, we do *not* do this here: instead, we identify cases where the desired combinatorics has already appeared in the literature. In particular, towards the second question, we show that the *square move* on bicolored graphs is interpolated by a Legendrian isotopy of their corresponding Legendrians, and that the corresponding relation of abelian charts is the cluster transformation classically associated to the square move. The conjugate Lagrangians themselves are related by Lagrangian disk surgery, a perspective which we develop more systematically in [STW].

In the direction of the third question, we consider the unique isotopy from the Legendrian associated to a plabic graph to the corresponding positroid braid. Since this isotopy gives abelian coordinates on the Grassmannian, we should be able to express these in terms of the Plucker coordinates. We identify the resulting expression with the boundary measurement map of Postnikov [Pos].

5.1. **Alternating Legendrians from braids.** We consider here the existence of alternating isotopy representatives of the Legendrians studied in Section 3. These were braid satellites of cocircle fibers of $T^\infty\Sigma$, and their rank one moduli spaces were spaces of filtered local systems on Σ. The main result is that essentially all such Legendrians have alternating representatives. From our point of view this accounts for the appearance of bicolored graphs in the study of such spaces. After proving the general statement we explain how in various examples alternating representatives can be constructed explicitly, bringing us into contact with the combinatorics of triangulations and double wiring diagrams familiar in cluster theory.

We begin our discussion with a class of particularly simple Legendrians:

Definition 5.1. A Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty D^2$ is **reduced** if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) Along ∂D^2 the strands of $\pi(\Lambda)$ have alternating orientations.
(2) The front projection $\Lambda \to D^2$ is an immersion (that is, $\pi(\Lambda)$ has no cusps).
(3) There are no parallel crossings: if p_1, p_2 are intersection points of two strands, one is oriented from p_1 to p_2 and the other from p_2 to p_1.
(4) No strands have self-intersections.
(5) All strands meet the boundary of the disk.

Our terminology is modeled that of [Pos] for bicolored graphs: an embedded bicolored graph $\Gamma \subset D^2$ is a **reduced plabic graph** if its associate Legendrian is reduced.

If $\Lambda \subset T^\infty D^2$ is reduced the set $\pi(\Lambda) \cap \partial D^2$ of intersections between its front projection and the boundary of the disk are divided into sets of incoming and outgoing points. Each strand of $\pi(\Lambda)$ has one incoming endpoint and one outgoing endpoint, hence Λ defines a matching between these two sets. Conversely, we can fix a set of points on ∂D^2, label them alternatively incoming and outgoing, choose a matching between those of opposite labels, and ask for reduced Legendrians realizing this matching. In this direction we have the following reformulation of a fundamental result of D. Thurston:

Proposition 5.2. [Thu] *Fix a set of points on ∂D^2 alternatively labeled as incoming and outgoing. Every matching between incoming and outgoing points is realized by a reduced alternating Legendrian in $T^\infty D^2$. Moreover, any two reduced alternating Legendrians with the same matching are Legendrian isotopic through a series of square moves.*

We note in passing that while in applications this fact is often used as a purely combinatorial statement (e.g. [Pos]), its relevance to Legendrian knot theory was specifically anticipated in [Thu]. We can complement the part of Lemma 5.2 dealing with isotopies as follows:

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose $\Lambda, \Lambda' \subset T^\infty D^2$ are reduced Legendrians such that $\pi(\Lambda) \cap \partial D^2 = \pi(\Lambda') \cap \partial D^2$ compatibly with incoming/outgoing labels. If Λ and Λ' define the same matching of boundary points, they are Legendrian isotopic. This isotopy can be chosen so that it is stationary above ∂D^2, and only passes through Legendrians whose front projections are immersions. Moreover, the space of such isotopies is contractible.*

Proof. We construct an isotopy $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$ of the stated kind as follows. We notate it as a family of Legendrian embeddings $f_t : \Lambda \to T^\infty D^2$ depending smoothly on $t \in [0,1]$ such that f_0 is the identity map on Λ and f_1 is a diffeomorphism from Λ to Λ'. Number the components of Λ' (hence also Λ) 1 through m, denoting the kth components by Λ'_k, Λ_k. As t varies from $(\ell-1)/m$ to ℓ/m, f_t is independent of t except along Λ_ℓ.

For $(\ell-1)/m \leq t \leq \epsilon + (\ell-1)/m =: t_0$ we let f_t be a small perturbation such that the part of the front projection of Λ'_ℓ that does not meet the front projection of $f_{t_0}(\Lambda_\ell)$ (that is, $\pi(\Lambda'_\ell) \setminus \pi(f_{t_0}(\Lambda_\ell)) \cap \pi(\Lambda'_\ell)$) has finitely many components. We define f_t for $t_0 \leq t \leq \ell/m$ inductively as follows. Suppose t_i is such that $\pi(\Lambda'_\ell) \setminus \pi(f_{t_i}(\Lambda_\ell)) \cap \pi(\Lambda'_\ell)$ has finitely many components. If there is only one such component, let $t_{i+1} = \ell/m$, otherwise let t_{i+1} be between t_i and ℓ/m. Let C' be the component of $\pi(\Lambda'_\ell) \setminus \pi(f_{t_i}(\Lambda_\ell)) \cap \pi(\Lambda'_\ell)$ closest to one end of $\pi(\Lambda'_\ell)$. Let C be the segment of $\pi(f_{t_i}(\Lambda_\ell))$ which has the same endpoints as the closure of C'. Together C' and C form the boundary of an embedded disk, since by assumption there are no self-loops in either. For $t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}$ we let f_t act on the front projections by retracting this disk onto the part of its boundary lying along C'. That this can be done so that it lifts to a Legendrian isotopy follows from the assumption that there are no parallel crossings or cusps (the part of $\pi(\Lambda_\ell)$ just past the end of C should also be perturbed in order to not create a corner in the front projection).

To show the space of such isotopies is contractible it suffices to show contractiblity of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda)$ of Legendrian isotopies from Λ to itself that are stationary at the boundary and pass through Legendrians whose front projections are immersions. To do this it suffices to describe, for any element g_s of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda)$ and any $s \in [0,1]$, an isotopy f_t from $g_s(\Lambda)$ to Λ which is smooth in s, is the stationary isotopy at $s = 0,\ 1$, and which itself only passes through Legendrians with immersed front projections. But this can be done using the same prescription we used to construct an isotopy from Λ to Λ'. It is clearly continuous in s, and f_t limits to the stationary isotopy at $s = 0,\ 1$ since the process of retracting the embedded disks does not increase their size. □

This guarantees the existence of alternating isotopy representatives of reduced Legendrians in $T^\infty D^2$. We have the following more general existence theorem, which is proved by reduction to Lemma 5.2 following a strategy employed in [GK] for a different class of alternating strand diagrams on T^2.

Theorem 5.4. *Let Σ be a surface, $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\}$ a nonempty collection of k marked points, and $\beta : \sigma \to Br_n^+$ a choice of positive annular braid at each marked point. If Σ has genus zero and $k = 1$, assume β can be written as $\beta'\Delta^2$ where Δ denotes a half-twist. Then the associated Legendrian $\beta = \coprod \beta_i$ is Legendrian isotopic to an alternating Legendrian in $T^\infty(S \setminus \sigma)$.*

Proof. If Σ has genus zero and $k < 3$, this follows from Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 (described after the proof), so from now on we assume $k \geq 3$ in the genus zero case. The key point in general is to cut Σ apart into a polygon in such a way that Lemma 5.2 may be applied. While spelling out the details for how to do this unfortunately takes some time, it is ultimately an elementary construction. If g is the genus of Σ, fix a $(2g+2)$-gon P with a gluing map $p : P \twoheadrightarrow S$; that is, Σ is obtained from P by gluing pairs of edges together. The image in Σ of the boundary ∂P is an embedded graph C, either an interval if $g = 0$ or a bouquet of circles. We choose C so that:

(1) The points of σ lie in order along on a single component of the smooth locus of C.
(2) The front projection of each β_i intersects C in $2n$ points, so that C separates it into a pair of n-strand braids.
(3) On one side of C all such braids are trivial.

The preimage $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta))$ of the front projection of β then consists of k disjoint braids attached to ∂P along each of two edges. We call these edges A and A', letting A' denote the edge where all the braids are trivial by item 3.



FIGURE 11. The proof of Lemma 5.4 when $\Sigma = T^2$ and σ consists of two points. The polygon P is pictured with two squares B_1 and B_2 cut out to yield the crenellated polygon P'. The front projection of $\beta = \beta_1 \sqcup \beta_2$ is in black, the nontrivial part of each braid lying in B_1, B_2, respectively. The front projections of the auxiliary Legendrians Λ_B and Λ_C are in red and blue, respectively. Taking their connect sums with β, we obtain a new Legendrian which is isotopic to β and whose co-orientations alternate along the boundary of P'.

We now subdivide P further into a union $P = P' \cup B_i \cup \cdots \cup B_k$ of smaller polygons with pairwise disjoint interiors. The role of each B_i will be to isolate the nontrivial part of each braid β_i. That is, we choose them to satisfy the following:

(1) Each B_i is a quadrilateral such that B_i meets ∂P only along A, and $B_i \cap \partial P$ is an edge of B_i.
(2) The image in Σ of $B_i \cap \partial P$ does not meet $\pi(\beta)$, and contains σ_i but no other points of σ.
(3) The interior of B_i contains all crossings in $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta_i))$, and $B_i \cap p^{-1}(\pi(\beta))$ is an n-strand braid diagram with all strands going from one edge of B_i to its opposite (hence each of these edges shares an endpoint with $B_i \cap \partial P$).

We next isotope β so that neighboring front projections $\pi(\beta_i)$ and $\pi(\beta_{i+1})$ overlap in an alternating fashion, stretching each one out along C. That is, we require the following:

(1) The isotopy is only nontrivial on the complement of $p(\sqcup B_i)$.
(2) On the interval of C lying between $p(B_i \cap \partial P)$ and $p(B_{i+1} \cap \partial P)$, the intersections of $\pi(\beta_i)$ and $\pi(\beta_{i+1})$ with C alternate orientations ater the isotopy.
(3) The number of intersections of $\pi(\beta)$ with C remains constant through the isotopy.
(4) After the isotopy there are $n(n-1)(k-1)$ new crossings of $\pi(\beta)$ (the minimal possible number created in order to satisfy (1)–(3)).

In particular, $\pi(\beta_i)$ is still disjoint from $\pi(\beta_j)$ unless $|i-j| \leq 1$. From now on β refers to the result of this isotopy.

We now define some auxiliary Legendrians $\Lambda_B, \Lambda_C \subset T^\infty\Sigma$. Their role will be to make sure the orientations of $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta \cup \Lambda_B \cup \Lambda_C))$ at its intersections with $\partial P'$ are alternating, setting up the application of Lemma 5.2. The front projection of each component of Λ_B, Λ_C will be an embedded loop, those of Λ_C encircling the vertex or vertices of C and those of Λ_B passing through each of the B_i but not meeting $p(\partial P)$.

We choose Λ_B so that the following properties hold:

(1) $\pi(\Lambda_B)$ consists of $n-1$ pairwise disjoint embedded loops, and is disjoint from C.
(2) The intersection of each component of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_B))$ with $\partial P'$ consists of $2k$ points, one on each edge of the B_i that also intersects $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta))$.
(3) The orientations of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda \cup \Lambda_B))$ at its intersections with ∂B_i alternate along ∂B_i.

(4) Inside a given B_i the number of intersections of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_B))$ with $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta))$ is twice the number of crossings of $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta))$ in B_i (the minimum possible number), and the intersection of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda \cup \Lambda_B))$ with B_i is an alternating strand diagram.

We choose Λ_C so that the following properties hold:

(1) If $g > 0$, $\pi(\Lambda_C)$ consists of $n-1$ pairwise disjoint embedded loops lying in a contractible set containing the vertex of C, each isotopic to a small loop around the vertex of C and intersecting each component of the smooth part of C exactly twice. If $g = 0$ and C is an embedded interval, $\pi(\Lambda_C)$ consists two sets of $n-1$ pairwise disjoint embedded loops, each set surrounding either end of the interval, and each loop intersecting the interval once.
(2) $\pi(\Lambda_C)$ is disjoint from $p(\sqcup B_i)$
(3) The orientations of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda \cup \Lambda_B \cup \Lambda_C))$ at its intersections with $\partial P'$ altnernate along $\partial P'$.
(4) $\pi(\Lambda_C)$ is disjoint from $\pi(\Lambda_B)$, and the strands of $\pi(\Lambda_C)$ intersect each other and the strands of $\pi(\beta)$ the minimal number of times such that the above properties hold.

In particular, though $\pi(\Lambda_B)$ and $\pi(\Lambda_C)$ intersect $\pi(\beta)$, Λ_B and Λ_C can be Legendrian isotoped through $T^\infty(\Sigma \smallsetminus \sigma) \smallsetminus \beta$ so that their front projections are disjoint from $\pi(\beta)$.

The setup so far is illustrated in Figure 11. We have arranged so that $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta \cup \Lambda_B \cup \Lambda_C)) \cap P'$ has alternating orientations along $\partial P'$ and no self-loops or parallel bigons, hence we may apply Lemma 5.2 to obtain an alternating Legendrian in $T^\infty P'$. What we really want, however, is an alternating representative of $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta))$. Thus the final step is to take connected sums between certain components of β and Λ_B, Λ_C. This will yield a new Legendrian $\beta' \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ which is Legendrian isotopic to β but retains the desirable combinatorial properties of $\beta \cup \Lambda_B \cup \Lambda_C$.

First we consider the case where Σ has positive genus. The preimage $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_C)) \cap P'$ is a union of embedded intervals, $n-1$ surrounding each corner of P. Each $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta_i)) \cap P'$ consists of three sets of n parallel embedded intervals, of which one set has both endpoints on A'. We form connected sums between the outer $n-1$ strands of $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta_1)) \cap P'$ having both endpoints on A' and the strands of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_C)) \cap P'$ surrounding the corner of A neareast to σ_k. That is, we choose a path γ in P' between the outermost strands of each set, which only meets $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta \cup \Lambda_B \cup \Lambda_C))$ at the endpoints of γ. We now cut both outermost strands at the endpoints of γ, reattaching them to each other by following γ across P'. We repeat this for the remaining $n-2$ strands, never increasing the total number of crossings. The result is to replace $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta \cup \Lambda_B \cup \Lambda_C)) \cap P'$ with a new collection of immersed cooriented curves with the same set of crossings but $n-1$ fewer smooth embedded components.

Next we perform a similar procedure with Λ_B. The components of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_B)) \cap P'$ we use in the connected sum are those closest to the middle of P; that is, those connecting the edge of B_1 closest to one end of A to the edge of B_k closest to the other end of A. We connect these to the strands of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_C)) \cap P'$ surrounding the corner of A nearest to σ_1.

Call $\beta' \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ the Legendrian lift of image of the resulting surgered front projection. It follows from the construction that β' is Legendrian isotopic to β: beforehand we could isotope each component of Λ_B, Λ_C so that its front projection is an embedded loop disjoint from the front projection of β. We could equivalently describe β' by doing this isotopy, then taking a connected sum, then isotoping back, and a connected sum of a Legendrian with one whose front projection is a circle does not change its Legendrian isotopy class.

On the other hand, the connected sum we performed in P' did not create self-loops or parallel bigons (the step involving Λ_B harmlessly creates $n-1$ antiparallel bigons, as does the step involving Λ_C if $k = 1$). By construction the strands of $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta')) \cap P'$ have alternating orientations along the boundary of P', hence we can apply Lemma 5.2 to find an alternating Legendrian in $T^\infty P'$ which is isotopic to the lift of $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta')) \cap P'$. But β' was already alternating above the image of each B_i, so we obtain an alternating Legendrian isotopy representative of β', hence β, in $T^\infty\Sigma$. Note that since we apply Lemma 5.2 in P', and σ is disjoint from the image of the interior of P', the resulting isotopy from β to an alternating representative takes place in $T^\infty(\Sigma \smallsetminus \sigma)$.

In the genus zero case, the strategy is the same though we have to specify where to take connected sums differently. We have assumed $k > 2$, so for any choice of $1 < i < k$ the n components of $p^{-1}\pi(\beta_i) \cap P'$ whose endpoints lie on A' do not intersect $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_C))$. As before, we take a connected sum with the $n-1$ outermost of these components with those of $p^{-1}\pi(\Lambda_C)$ surrounding one corner of P'. We have to now separately take a connected sum of the same components of $p^{-1}(\pi(\beta_i)) \cap P'$ with those of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_C))$ surrounding the other



FIGURE 12. Lemma 5.5 associates the bicolored graph on the left to the word $s_2s_1s_2$ for Δ. This produces an alternating representative of $\Delta^3 \looparrowright \bigcirc$ on the right.

corner of P' (since in the genus zero case Λ_C has $2(n-1)$ components). Finally, we take a connected sum of the same outermost $n-1$ components of $p^{-1}\pi(\beta_i) \cap P'$ with the components of $p^{-1}(\pi(\Lambda_B)) \cap P'$ closest to the middle of P'. Again the resulting collection of immersed cooriented curves has no self-loops or parallel bigons, so we may apply Lemma 5.2 as above (if we took $i = 1$ or $i = k$ the above prescription would result in self-loops, so we have used the assumption that $k > 2$). □

We now describe several constructions of alternating representative for special cases of Legendrian satellites appearing in Lemma 5.4. The discussion largely amounts to reinterpreting well-known constructions in combinatorics into the language of Legendrian knot theory. We write words for the annular braids β_i in letters $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$, with the convention that s_1 is a crossing of the strands furthest from σ_i. We use Δ to denote the positive half-twist. The first two constructions are Legendrian reinterpretations of the notion of double wiring diagram introduced in [FZ1] and considered for general braids in [FG4]:

Construction 5.5. Let $\Sigma = S^2$, $\sigma = \{\infty\}$, and β a positive annular braid of the form $\beta'\Delta^2$. A word $\beta' = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ determines an alternating isotopy representative of β as follows. Begin with a bicolored graph in the plane consisting of n horizontal line segments running from $(0, i)$ to $(k+1, i)$ for $1 \le i \le n$, with white vertices at both ends. For $1 \le j \le k$ adjoin a vertical segment along the line $y = j$ connecting the line $x = i_j$ to the line $x = 1 + i_j$, with a black vertex at its top and a white vertex at its bottom. From the resulting alternating strand diagram, one obtains the front projection of β by sliding all upward co-oriented strands to the top of the picture and all downward co-oriented strands to the bottom; see Figure 12.

The above assumption that β be of the form $\beta'\Delta^2$ is present for good reason. For example, if β has no crossings at all then $\mathcal{M}_1(S^2, \beta, \infty)$ is a single point whose stabilizer is a Borel subgroup of GL_n. But if β had any smooth exact fillings at all, let alone one arising from an alternating representative, the moduli space would necessarily have a point with an abelian stabilizer. We do not know whether the requirement $\beta = \beta'\Delta^2$ is a necessary condition for β to have alternating representatives.

We note that when $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ is a reduced word for an element w of the symmetric group, a suitably-framed moduli space $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(S^2, \beta, \infty)$ recovers the double Bruhat cell $B_+ \cap B_- w B_-$ [FZ1] as well as a certain positroid stratum of $\mathrm{Gr}(n, 2n)$.

Construction 5.6. Let $\Sigma = S^2$, $\sigma = \{0, \infty\}$, and β_0, β_∞ any positive annular braids at 0 and ∞. A double word for (β_0, β_∞) is a shuffle of words for β_0 and β_∞ [FZ]. We encode a double word as a sequence $(s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_k})$ and a function $\tau : \{1, \dots, k\} \to \{0, \infty\}$ such that the ordered product $\prod_{\tau(j)=\ell} s_j$ is a word for β_ℓ. A double word determines an alternating isotopy representative as follows. Begin with a bicolored graph in the punctured plane consisting of n concentric circles centered at the origin, with white vertices where each intersects the positive x-axis. We adjoin a radial line segment of phase $j2\pi i/(k+1)$ for each $1 \le j \le k$. This segment connects the i_jth and $(i_j + 1)$th circles closest to $\tau(j)$, and has white/black vertices at its



FIGURE 13. The graph Γ_3 of Lemma 5.7. If we regard it as a freestanding graph in $\mathbb{R}^2$, rather than attaching it to other copies of itself following some ideal triangulation, it defines an alternating Legendrian isotopic to the Legendrian $\Delta^3 \looparrowright \bigcirc$ of Figure 12.

farthest/closest endpoint to $\tau(j)$, respectively. From the resulting alternating strand diagram, one obtains the front projection of β by sliding the strands co-oriented towards 0, ∞ past each other towards 0, ∞, respectively.

The combinatorics essential to the next construction is due to [FG1]. The cluster algebras associated to these examples when $n = 2$ are often just called cluster algebras from surfaces [FST]. In the literature one often starts with the surface Σ' with marked boundary appearing in the construction, but from our point of view this is simply a convenient way of encoding the number of half-twists.

Construction 5.7. Let Σ, σ be arbitrary and each β_i of the form Δ^{k_i} for some $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Alternating represenatatives of $\beta \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ can be constructed using triangulations. First cut out a disk D_i around each σ_i with $k_i > 0$ and let $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ denote the resulting surface with boundary. For each such σ_i we also mark k_i points points on the component of $\partial\Sigma'$ that surrounds it. We say an ideal triangulation of Σ' is a triangulation such that all triangles have vertices either on marked points of boundary components or on points σ_i with $k_i = 0$.

There is a standard bicolored graph Γ_n we can embed in any triangle [FG1]. This is dual to a triangulation of the given triangle into n^2 smaller triangles as in Figure 13. If we are given an equilateral triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$, we cut it by $n-1$ equally-spaced lines parallel to each of its three sides. We label the triangles of the resulting triangulation as white or black ones so that every triangle on the boundary of the original one is white, and no triangles of the same color share an edge. The graph Γ_n has a black/white vertex in the center of each black/white triangle. It also has n white vertices along each edge of the original triangle, one in the middle of each outward-facing edge of a white triangle. There is an edge between any black vertex and each of its three white neighbors, as well as between each white vertex on the boundary and the white vertex in the center of the white triangle whose edge it lies on.

We associate a bicolored graph $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ to an ideal triangulation of Σ' by embedding Γ_n into each triangle. This is done so that the white vertices on the boundaries of adjacent triangles coincide. We can choose the alterating Legendrian of Γ so that the σ_i lie in null regions of its front projections, and it is Legendrian isotopic to β inside $T^\infty(\Sigma \smallsetminus \sigma)$.

5.2. **The square move.** There is a local operation on quadrilateral faces of bicolored graphs — the so-called *square move* — that induces isotopies between alternating Legendrians. The corresponding conjugate Lagrangians each determine an abelian chart on the moduli space; we will show here that these charts are related by a cluster $\mathcal{X}$-transformation.



FIGURE 14. The alternating Legendrian Λ' on the right is obtained from Λ on the left by a square move. The isotopy between them passes through $\Lambda_\#$ pictured in the middle. If $\mathcal{F} \in Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$ is alternating, its image $\mathcal{F}_\#$ in $Sh_{\Lambda_\#}(D^2)$ is described by the stalks and generization maps pictured. The dual quivers of the bicolored graphs of Λ, Λ' have vertices labeling the positive face coordinates on their spaces of alternating sheaves.

Geometrically, the associated conjugate Lagrangians differ by a certain Lagrangian surgery (see Figure 15); one could imagine using this fact directly to compare, Floer theoretically, the spaces of local systems supported on each. Instead, we use the results of Section 4.3, which capture all the relevant Floer theoretic data in the categories of alternating sheaves associated to the two alternating Legendrians. The comparison between these categories is computed using local calculations of the [GKS] equivalence.

The local model for the square move is the Legendrian isotopy $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$ pictured in Figure 14. Let $\mathcal{F} \in Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$ be an alternating sheaf and N, W, S, E its nonzero stalks near the boundary of the picture. We will compute the image of $\mathcal{F}$ under $Sh_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} Sh_{\Lambda'}$ in terms of the positive face coordinates of Lemma 4.18. Here we must consider these not just for closed faces of the graph, but also for the four regions on the boundary. The associated coordinates are more properly isomorphisms

$$X_{NE} : N \xrightarrow{\sim} E, \quad X_{ES} : E \xrightarrow{\sim} S, \quad X_{SW} : S \xrightarrow{\sim} W, \quad X_{WN} : W \xrightarrow{\sim} N,$$

which together with the positive coordinate of the middle region satisfy

$$X_M = -(X_{NE}X_{ES}X_{SW}X_{WN})^{-1}.$$

For example, X_{NE} is explicitly the composition of

(1) the isomorphism of N with a microstalk of $\mathcal{F}$ on the component of Λ passing immediately below the Northern region
(2) parallel transport in $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ to a microstalk of $\mathcal{F}$ at the far right of the picture
(3) the isomorphism between this microstalk and E.

The remaining isomorphisms X_{ES}, X_{SW}, X_{WN} can be described symmetrically. Note that the existence of the isomorphisms in (1) and (3) depends on the vanishing of $\mathcal{F}$ in the middle and Northeast null regions. Given an alternating sheaf microsupported on Λ', we similarly denote its positive coordinates by Y_{NE}, Y_{ES}, Y_{SW}, Y_{WN}, and Y_M.

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\Lambda, \Lambda' \subset T^\infty D^2$ be the alternating Legendrians related by the square move of Figure 14. Let $\mathcal{F} \in Sh_\Lambda(D^2)$ be an alternating sheaf and $\mathcal{F}' \in Sh_{\Lambda'}(D^2)$ its image under the isotopy equivalence $Sh_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} Sh_{\Lambda'}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is an alternating sheaf if and only if $X_M \neq -1$, and its positive coordinates are related to those of $\mathcal{F}$ by*

$$X_{NE} = Y_{NE}(1+Y_M), \quad X_{ES} = Y_{ES}(1+Y_M^{-1})^{-1}, \quad X_{SW} = Y_{SW}(1+Y_M),$$
$$X_{WN} = Y_{WN}(1+Y_M^{-1})^{-1}, \quad X_M = Y_M^{-1}.$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\#$ the image of $\mathcal{F}$ under $Sh_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} Sh_{\Lambda_\#}$, where $\Lambda_\#$ is as in the middle of Figure 14. $\mathcal{F}_\#$ is determined by the data of a generic stalk V in the middle region, along with the four generization maps from S, W, N, and E.

We claim that in terms of $\mathcal{F}_\#$, X_{NE} is the composition of

(1) the generization map from N to V
(2) the map from V to the microstalk of $\mathcal{F}_\#$ on the component of $\Lambda_\#$ passing immediately below the middle region
(3) parallel transport in $(\mathcal{F}_\#)_{\Lambda_\#}$ to a microstalk of $\mathcal{F}_\#$ at the far right of the picture
(4) the isomorphism between this microstalk and E.

Note that the component of $\Lambda_\#$ passing below the middle region corresponds to the component of Λ passing below the Northern region under the isotopy $\Lambda \to \Lambda_\#$. We obtain $\Lambda_\#$ from Λ by performing Reidemesiter III moves at the black vertices of its bipartite graph followed by a Reidemeister II. The above description of X_{NE} follows from Lemma 2.8, which asserts the invariance of microlocal parallel transport under Reidemeister III, and the following observation about Reidemeister II. In both sides of Figure 7 there is a map from A to $Cone(B \to C)$: on the left the composition $A \to C \to Cone(B \to C)$ and on the right $A \to Cone(C' \to A) \to Cone(B \to C)$. Here $Cone(C' \to A) \to Cone(B \to C)$ is the canonical isomorphism coming from $C' \cong Cone(A \oplus B \to C)[-1]$. These two maps agree by elementary homological algebra, and are exactly the maps being compared at the beginning of the two descriptions of X_{NE}.

More explicitly, the above description identifies X_{NE} with the composition $N \xrightarrow{\sim} V/S \xrightarrow{\sim} E$. Note that $N \to V/S$ being invertible is exactly the condition that $\mathcal{F}_\#$ arose from an alternating sheaf on Λ. It also follows that N, E, S, W are all isomorphic to a single invertible $\Bbbk$-module I (if $\mathcal{F}$ were not alternating $\mathcal{F}_\#$ could have $N \cong S \cong I_1$ and $W \cong E \cong I_2$ for nonisomorphic invertible modules I_1, I_2). Fixing identifications of each with I and of V with I^2, we encode the generization maps as a 2×4 matrix with columns labeled S, W, N, E and entries in $\Bbbk = \mathrm{End}_\Bbbk I$. We write Δ_{NE}, etc., for the minors of this matrix, keeping track of orders of indices so, for example, $\Delta_{NE} = -\Delta_{EN}$. The crossing conditions imply that minors of cyclically consecutive columns are invertible, and in terms of minors we can rewrite the above calculation as $X_{NE} = \Delta_{NS}/\Delta_{ES}$. By symmetry, we also have:

$$X_{NE} = \frac{\Delta_{SN}}{\Delta_{SE}}, \quad X_{ES} = \frac{\Delta_{NE}}{\Delta_{NS}}, \quad X_{SW} = \frac{\Delta_{NS}}{\Delta_{NW}}, \quad X_{WN} = \frac{\Delta_{SW}}{\Delta_{SN}}$$
$$Y_{NE} = \frac{\Delta_{WN}}{\Delta_{WE}}, \quad Y_{ES} = \frac{\Delta_{WE}}{\Delta_{WS}}, \quad Y_{SW} = \frac{\Delta_{ES}}{\Delta_{EW}}, \quad Y_{WN} = \frac{\Delta_{EW}}{\Delta_{EN}}$$

The remaining holonomy X_M is determined by the relation $X_M^{-1} = -X_{WN}X_{NE}X_{ES}X_{SW}$, likewise for Y_M.

Recall the 2-term Plücker relation

$$\Delta_{SN}\Delta_{EW} = \Delta_{SE}\Delta_{NW} + \Delta_{SW}\Delta_{EN}$$

Dividing by $\Delta_{ES}\Delta_{WE}$ and reordering indices, we obtain the desired relation

$$X_{NE} = \frac{\Delta_{SN}}{\Delta_{SE}} = \frac{\Delta_{WN}}{\Delta_{WE}}(1 - \frac{\Delta_{WS}\Delta_{EN}}{\Delta_{ES}\Delta_{WN}}) = Y_{NE}(1 + Y_M).$$

The remaining relations follow from a symmetric calculation. □

By locality, the preceding result also determines how alternating sheaves microsupported on more complex alternating Legendrians transform under square moves. The more general transformation rules are naturally expressed in the language of cluster algebra [Fom, Lec, Kel2], which we briefly review following the notation of [FG2, GHK]. Below we write $[a]_+$ for $\max(a, 0)$.

Definition 5.9. A **seed** $s = (N, \{e_i\})$ is the data of a lattice N with skew-symmetric integral form $\{,\}$ and a finite collection $\{e_i\}_{i\in I} \subset N$ of distinct primitive elements indexed by a set I. The mutation of s at $k \in I$ is the seed $\mu_k s = (N, \{\mu_k e_i\})$, where

$$\mu_k e_i = \begin{cases} e_i + [\{e_i, e_k\}]_+ e_k & i \neq k \\ -e_k & i = k. \end{cases} \tag{5.2.1}$$

To a seed we associate a quiver without oriented 2-cycles and with vertex set $\{v_i\}_{i\in I}$. The number of arrows from v_i to v_j is $[\{e_i, e_j\}]_+$, and if the e_i are a basis the seed is determined up to isomorphism by the quiver. Conversely, given such a quiver Q we have a seed given by $\mathbb{Z}Q_0$ with its natural basis and skew-symmetric form; in the literature one often only considers seeds of this form. One can also consider seeds related to skew-symmetrizable matrices, but these do not arise in our setting. We also suppress a discussion of frozen indices, obviated in our case by allowing the e_i not to generate N.

Given a seed $s = (N, \{e_i\})$, we write $M = \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ and consider the dual algebraic tori

$$\mathcal{X}_s = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}N, \quad \mathcal{A}_s = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}M,$$

We let $z^n \in \mathbb{Z}N$ denote the monomial associated to $n \in N$, likewise $z^m \in \mathbb{Z}M$ for $m \in M$.

Definition 5.10. For $k \in I$, the **cluster $\mathcal{X}$- and $\mathcal{A}$-transformations** $\mu_k : \mathcal{X}_s \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mu_k s}$, $\mu_k : \mathcal{A}_s \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mu_k s}$ are the rational maps defined by

$$\mu_k^* z^n = z^n (1 + z^{e_k})^{\{e_k, n\}}, \quad \mu_k^* z^m = z^m (1 + z^{\{e_k, -\}})^{-\langle e_k, m\rangle}, \tag{5.2.2}$$

where $\langle e_k, m\rangle$ denotes the evaluation pairing. We use the term **signed cluster transformations** to refer to the counterparts of these maps where the plus signs are replaced by minus signs.

Let T be an infinite $|I|$-ary tree with edges labeled by I so that the edges incident to a given vertex have distinct labels. Fix a root $t_0 \in T_0$ and label it by the seed s. Label the remaining $t \in T_0$ by seeds s_t such that if t and t' are connected by an edge labeled k, and t' is farther from t_0 than t, then $s_{t'} = \mu_k s_t$.

Definition 5.11. A **cluster $\mathcal{X}$-structure** on Y is a collection $\{\mathcal{X}_{s_t} \hookrightarrow Y\}_{t \in T_0}$ of open maps such that the images of $\mathcal{X}_{s_t}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mu_k s_t}$ are related by a cluster $\mathcal{X}$-transformation for all t, k. A partial cluster $\mathcal{X}$-structure is the same but with maps only for a subset of T_0, a cluster $\mathcal{A}$-structure the same but with $\mathcal{A}$-tori and $\mathcal{A}$-transformations, and a signed cluster structure the same but with signed cluster transformations.

When the e_i are linearly independent, the notions of signed and ordinary cluster $\mathcal{X}$-structure coincide: given a homomorphism $\sigma : N \to \{\pm 1\}$ such that $\sigma(e_i) = -1$ for all i, the automorphism $z^n \mapsto \sigma(n) z^n$ intertwines the signed and ordinary cluster transformations.

There is a canonical seed associated to an embedded bicolored graph $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ and a collection of marked points $\sigma \subset \Sigma$. Let $\{\partial F_i\} \subset H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ be the set of boundaries of faces F_i not meeting σ, where by faces we mean the contractible regions of $\Sigma \smallsetminus \Gamma$. If L is the conjugate Lagrangian of Γ, we have $H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(L; \mathbb{Z})$, so the intersection pairing makes $(H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}), \{\partial F_i\})$ a seed.

The quiver of $(H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}), \{\partial F_i\})$ has vertices labeled by $\{\partial F_i\}$ and $\langle e_i, e_j\rangle_+$ arrows from e_i to e_j. It can be drawn on Σ as follows: the vertex labeled by ∂F_i is drawn in F_i, and an edge of Γ with distinctly colored endpoints and separating two faces is crossed by an arrow with the white endpoint on its right. This is pictured in Figure 14. More precisely, the drawn quiver may have oriented 2-cycles, but removing these one obtains the quiver of $(H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}), \{\partial F_i\})$. Comparing the left picture of Figure 2 and its rotation gives:

Proposition 5.12. [GK, Sec. 4.1] *Let $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ be an embedded bicolored graph and Γ' the result of performing a square move at a face F_k. There is a homeomorphism of their conjugate Lagrangians L, L' which identifies the seed $(H_1(L'; \mathbb{Z}), \{\partial F_i'\})$ with the one obtained from $(H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}), \{\partial F_i\})$ by mutation at ∂F_k.*

With this in hand we can state:

Theorem 5.13. *Let L be the conjugate Lagrangian of an alternating Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty \Sigma$, and L', Λ' their counterparts upon performing a square move at a face F_k not meeting σ. We identify the underlying topological spaces of L and L' as in Lemma 5.12, and identify the spaces of alternating sheaves with $Loc_1(L)$ as in Lemma 4.18. Under the isomorphism of moduli spaces induced by the Legendrian isotopy $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$, the inclusions*

$$Loc_1(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda, \sigma) \simeq \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda', \sigma) \hookleftarrow Loc_1(L)$$

are related by the signed cluster $\mathcal{X}$-transformation associated to the mutation of $(H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}), \{\partial F_i\})$ at ∂F_k.

Proof. Since the isotopy $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$ is stationary outside a neighborhood of the face F, the equivalence $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma) \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}(\Sigma)$ restricts to the identity outside such a neighborhood. On the other hand, in a neighborhood of F_k it restricts to the equivalence explicitly computed in Lemma 5.8. Since positive and standard coordinates differ by a sign, the formulas computed there are exactly those expressing the signed cluster $\mathcal{X}$-transformation associated to mutation of $(H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}), \{\partial F_i\})$ at ∂F_k. □

The result extends to framed moduli spaces in an obvious way. Strictly speaking, in the unframed case the points of $Loc_1(L)$ have $\mathbb{G}_m$ stabilizers, but we use the usual cluster terminology regardless.

Corollary 5.14. *Suppose $\Lambda \in T^\infty \Sigma$ is an alternating Legendrian. Then $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda, \sigma)$ has a partial, signed cluster $\mathcal{X}$-structure with charts labeled by alternating Legendrians obtained by a series of square moves from Λ. If σ is nonempty it has an ordinary partial cluster $\mathcal{X}$-structure.*



FIGURE 15. The square move as Lagrangian surgery. The left and right frames show the front projections of alternating Legendrians Λ, Λ' related by a square move of their associated bipartite graphs. The shaded regions indicate the projections of a family of exact Lagrangian fillings, which become singular in the middle frame.

Proof. The first part of the corollary is immediate, the second follows from the remark after Lemma 5.11 since the ∂F_k are independent in homology when σ is nonempty. □

Given an exact Lagrangian L with a nodal singularity, one can produce two exact Lagrangians L_+, L_- which coincide with L outside a neighborhood of the singularity. These are said to differ by Lagrangian surgery [LS, Pol]. Both L_+ and L_- have degenerations to L through smooth exact Lagrangians but are not themselves related by a Hamiltonian isotopy. The degenerations to L are accompanied by the collapse of a sphere, referred to as the vanishing cycle of the surgery. Surgery of exact Lagrangians is directly related to wall-crossing phenomena in Floer cohomology [FOOO, Chap. 10], and thus to the appearance of cluster transformations in symplectic geometry in the guise of wall-crossing transformations [KoS, KoS2].

In the present setting, Lagrangian surgery on conjugate Lagrangians provides the symplectic interpretation of the square move on bipartite graphs:

Proposition 5.15. *Let L, L' be conjugate Lagrangians related by performing a square move at $\partial F_k \in H_1(L;\mathbb{Z})$. Then L and L' are related by a Lagrangian surgery whose vanishing cycle is ∂F_k.*

Proof. Consider the Legendrian isotopy between the alternating Legendrians Λ, Λ' of L, L' pictured in Figure 15. There is exactly one moment in the isotopy, pictured in the middle frame, where the associated Legendrian is not itself alternating. Thus at every other time we can associate a family of conjugate Lagrangians filling the Legendrians which appear in the isotopy. In the middle frame these degenerate to a nodal Lagrangian, whose intersection with the cotangent space of the singular point of the front projection is the union of the horizontal and vertical conormal lines. That the vanishing cycle is as claimed is evident. That L and L' are not Hamiltonian isotopic, but honestly differ by a surgery, follows from Lemma 5.13: L and L' define different subcategories of alternating sheaves in $Sh_\Lambda \cong Sh_{\Lambda'}$, hence the subcategories of $Fuk_\Lambda(T^*\Sigma) \cong Fuk_{\Lambda'}(T^*\Sigma)$ consisting of Lagrangian branes supported on L and L' are distinct. □

5.3. **The boundary measurement map.** In Section 5.1 we saw that essentially all Legendrian braid satellites of cocircles have alternating Legendrian isotopy representatives. This leads to the question of describing the associated cluster charts in terms of natural coordinates on spaces of β-filtered local systems. We confine our discussion to the fundamental case of positroid strata. We show in this case that our study of Legendrian isotopy recovers the boundary measurement map of Postnikov. This says in particular that, in terms of Plücker coordinates, the cluster charts produced by Hamiltonian isotopy of conjugate Lagrangians can be described as sums over perfect matchings on bipartite graphs.

Recall from Section 5.1 that a reduced plabic graph in D^2 is one whose alternating Legendrian satisfies Lemma 5.1. These are exactly the Legendrians which, up to isotopy, arise from positroids:

Proposition 5.16. *Given a reduced plabic graph Γ, there exists a unique cyclic rank matrix $r := r(\Gamma)$ such that Λ_Γ and Λ_r are Legendrian isotopic.*

Proof. First note that the components of Λ_r determine a bijection between incoming and outgoing intersections of its front projection with the boundary of D^2, and this bijection determines r. Thus given Γ we define the associated cyclic rank matrix as the one corresponding to the boundary matching of Λ_Γ (in the terminology of [Pos] the restriction that all boundary-adjacent vertices of Γ are white means we need only consider matchings or permutations rather than decorated permutations). On the other hand, by Lemma 5.3 any reduced Legendrians with the same boundary matching are Legendrian isotopic. □

By Proposition 5.3, the Legendrian Λ_Γ of a plabic graph Γ admits a contractible space of isotopies, fixed at the boundary of the disk, to a positroid braid Λ_r. Thus by Lemma 2.6, there is a canonical isomorphism of framed moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_\Gamma) \cong \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$. In Lemma 3.8, we gave a canonical identification from the moduli space of the positroid braid to the positroid cell: $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r) \cong \Pi_r$.

If L is the filling corresponding to Λ, we can compose this with the family Floer theoretic morphism $Loc_1^{fr}(L) \to \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_\Gamma)$ to obtain a chart on the positroid cell. Finally, recalling that L retracts to the graph Γ, we have a composite morphism

$$Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma) \cong Loc_1^{fr}(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_\Gamma) \cong \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r) \cong \Pi_r$$

Here, the framing on L or on Γ is again a trivialization of each connected component of the boundary. That is, $Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma)$ is the algebraic torus $H^1(\Gamma, \partial\Gamma; \mathbb{G}_m)$, where $\partial\Gamma = \Gamma \cap \partial\mathcal{D}$.

On the other hand, the motivation for considering reduced plabic graphs in [Pos] is that they can be used to index combinatorially defined parametrizations of positroid cells via the **boundary measurement map**

$$\mathbb{B}_\Gamma : Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \Pi_r.$$

We recall the definition of $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$ as reformulated by the main result of [Tal]. Fix a cyclically-ordered labeling of the boundary vertices of Γ by $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Orient Γ so that every white vertex has exactly one incoming edge and every black vertex has exactly one outgoing edge; following [Pos] this is called a perfect orientation of Γ. Let $I \subset [1, n]$ be the subset of incoming boundary vertices of Γ, which necessarily has k elements. If $J \subset [1, n]$ is any other k-element subset, we say a flow from I to J is a collection of disjoint self-avoiding oriented cycles in Γ, relative to $\partial\Gamma$, such that each nonclosed cycle connects a boundary vertex in I to a boundary vertex in J.

Each flow F gives rise to a function on $Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma) = H^1(\Gamma, \partial\Gamma; \mathbb{G}_m)$, which by a slight abuse we also denote by F. Then $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$ is defined by the condition that the pullback of the Jth Plücker coordinate to $Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma)$ is

$$\mathbb{B}_\Gamma^* \Delta_J = \sum_{F: I \to J} F,$$

where the sum is over all flows from I to J. This result turns out to be independent of the choice of perfect orientation. Note also that the labels of the boundary vertices are used to determine the sign of $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma^* \Delta_J$.

We want to compare $\mathbb{M}_\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$, but there is a subtle point here: the isomorphism of the space of alternating sheaves with $Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma)$ is not quite canonical, but depends on choice of strand at each crossing of Λ_Γ. However, all such isomorphisms differ by the natural action of $H^1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ on $Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma)$, so the image of $\mathbb{M}_\Gamma$ is well-defined and it makes sense to compare $\mathbb{M}_\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$ up to this action. This subtlety is also the origin of the sign in Lemma 5.13. While this may look strange from the perspective of total positivity, note that the definition of $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$ is not quite canonical in so far as the signs involved depend on the labels of the boundary vertices, implicitly breaking the cyclic symmetry of the positroid stratification. The definition of $\mathbb{M}_\Gamma$ respects the cyclic symmetry at the expense of positivity.

Theorem 5.17. *Let Γ be a reduced plabic graph associated to a positroid cell Π_r. The maps $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{M}_\Gamma$ coincide up to signs of Plücker coordinates.*

Proof. The main idea is that as Γ ranges over the set of all reduced plabic graphs of all positroid cells, $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$ is determined by certain "recursion relations" with respect to direct sum and projections, as explained in [ABCGPT, Section 4.4]. Thus it suffices to show that $\mathbb{M}_\Gamma$ satisfies the same relations (up to signs), and to verify the theorem by hand in the trivial cases when Π_r is an open cell of $\mathrm{Gr}(1, 3)$ or $\mathrm{Gr}(2, 3)$.

For any pair of Grassmannians there is a direct sum map $\mathrm{Gr}(k_1, n_1) \times \mathrm{Gr}(k_2, n_2) \to \mathrm{Gr}(k_1 + k_2, n_1 + n_2)$ which on $\Bbbk$-points acts by taking $(\Bbbk^{k_1} \twoheadrightarrow E_1, \Bbbk^{k_2} \twoheadrightarrow E_2)$ to $\Bbbk^{k_1+k_2} \twoheadrightarrow E_1 \oplus E_2$ (here E_1, E_2 are locally free modules of ranks n_1, n_2). Let G_1 and G_2 be two reduced plabic graphs with associated positroid cells



FIGURE 16. The isotopy corresponding to the direct sum map when G_1 and G_2 have a single trivalent white vertex, so $\Pi_{r_1} = \Pi_{r_2}$ is the big positroid cell in $\mathrm{Gr}(1,3)$. The framed moduli space of the left picture is manifestly isomorphic $\Pi_{r_1} \times \Pi_{r_2}$, applying the construction of Lemma 3.8 separately to its left and right halves. The framed moduli space of the right picture is manifestly isomorphic to a positroid cell Π_{r_3} in $\mathrm{Gr}(2,6)$ where the first three (and last three) columns of any matrix representative are pairwise linearly dependent. The crossing conditions on the right assert that the stalk of a sheaf in the middle region is canonically identified with the direct sum of a stalk from the left region and the right region.

$\Pi_{r_1} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k_1, n_1)$, $\Pi_{r_2} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k_2, n_2)$. We will see shortly that their image under the direct sum map is another positroid cell Π_{r_3}. Let G_3 denote the reduced plabic graph which is the disjoint union of G_1 and G_2, with boundary vertices labeled so that those from G_1 retain their original labels while those from G_2 have n_1 added to their labels. Let $\Pi_{r_3} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k_1 + k_2, n_1 + n_2)$ be the positroid cell associated with G_3. There is an obvious isomorphism $Loc_1^{fr}(G_1) \times Loc_1^{fr}(G_2) \cong Loc_1^{fr}(G_3)$. The boundary measurement map $\mathbb{B}_{G_3}$ is determined by $\mathbb{B}_{G_1}$, $\mathbb{B}_{G_2}$ in the sense that the following diagram commutes (note that the indexing prescription on G_3 fixes the signs of Plücker coordinates in the bottom map):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Loc_1^{fr}(G_1) \times Loc_1^{fr}(G_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}_{G_1} \times \mathbb{B}_{G_2}} & \Pi_{r_1} \times \Pi_{r_2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Loc_1^{fr}(G_3) & \xrightarrow[\mathbb{B}_{G_3}]{} & \Pi_{r_3} \end{array}$$

On the other hand, if we replace the boundary measurement maps above by their counterparts $\mathbb{M}_{G_1}$, $\mathbb{M}_{G_2}$, $\mathbb{M}_{G_3}$, then the above diagram still commutes. It suffices to show that the direct sum map corresponds to the isotopy isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_{r_1}) \times \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_{r_2}) \to \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_{r_3})$ under the identification of Lemma 3.8 (by Lemma 5.3 there is a unique such isomorphism, and this uniqueness forces the diagram to commute). This follows from the appearance of direct sums in the computation of the Reidemeister II move Lemma 2.7: the isotopy relates the maximal rank stalk of a sheaf in $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_{r_3})$ to the maximal rank stalks of sheaves in $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_{r_1})$, $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_{r_2})$ by a sequence of Reidemeister II's. This determines the map of positroid cells since by the construction of Lemma 3.8 these stalks and the maps they receive from the boundary stalks determine the maps $\mathbb{M}_{G_1}$, $\mathbb{M}_{G_2}$, $\mathbb{M}_{G_3}$. See Figure 16 for an example.

Now let r be a cyclic rank matrix of type (k, n) such that $r_{12} = 2$ and Γ a reduced plabic graph for r. Assume that the bicolored graph Γ' obtained by gluing boundary vertices 1 and 2 together is again a reduced plabic graph, and let r' be its associated cyclic rank matrix. We have a projection map $\Pi_r \to \Pi_{r'} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k-1, n-2)$ which on $\Bbbk$-points take $\Bbbk^n \twoheadrightarrow E$ to $\Bbbk^{n-2} \twoheadrightarrow E/\langle v_1 - v_2 \rangle$, where v_1, v_2 are the images of 1 in the first two factors of $\Bbbk^n$. There is a natural map $Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma) \twoheadrightarrow Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma)$, since we can glue a framed local system on Γ to itself using its trivialization. The boundary measurement map $\mathbb{B}_{\Gamma'}$ is determined by $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$ in



FIGURE 17. The isotopy corresponding to the projection map when Π_r is the positroid cell from the right picture of Figure 16. The starting point is to cap off Λ_r to obtain the Legendrian $\widehat{\Lambda}_r$ whose front projection is on the left; there is a canonical map from $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r)$ to $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\widehat{\Lambda}_r)$. When we isotope to the right hand side the rank two region in the middle is replaced by a rank one region where the boundary stalks from the left and right sides of the picture are identified up to a scalar. Under the correspondence of Lemma 3.8 this is exactly the projection map from Π_r to $\Pi_{r'}$

the sense that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}_\Gamma} & \Pi_r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma') & \xrightarrow[\mathbb{B}_{\Gamma'}]{} & \Pi_{r'} \end{array}$$

As above, we claim the diagram still commutes up to signs of Plücker coordinates after replacing the boundary measurement maps by $\mathbb{M}_\Gamma$, $\mathbb{M}_{\Gamma'}$. In terms of alternating Legendrians, gluing vertices 1 and 2 of Γ together corresponds to "capping off" the front projection of Λ_G with two strands going outside the disk, then pulling the cap back inside the disk. Let $\widehat{\Lambda}_r$ be the Legendrian obtained from Λ_r by capping off its front projection in the same way. There is a natural map $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_r) \to \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\widehat{\Lambda}_r)$ constructed the same way as the gluing map $Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma) \twoheadrightarrow Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma)$. It suffices to show that the projection map corresponds to the composition of this with the isotopy isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\widehat{\Lambda}_r) \to \mathcal{M}_1^{fr}(\Lambda_{r'})$ under the identification of Lemma 3.8 (as in the direct sum case, by Lemma 5.3 there is a unique such isomorphism, and this uniqueness forces the diagram to commute).

Adding the cap to Λ_r, however, exactly imposes the relation that the boundary stalks of a sheaf at vertices 1 and 2 are identified under generization maps into the disk. Since Γ' is reduced and boundary stalks F_1, F_2 have distinct images in F_x, the innermost strands of Λ_r that are glued together in $\widehat{\Lambda}_r$ are distinct and cross twice. The isotopy between $\widehat{\Lambda}_r$ and $\Lambda_{r'}$ pulls the inner part of the cap through the picture, a series of Reidemeister III moves, and then pulls it apart by a Reidemeister II Lemma 2.7. The resulting isotopy isomorphism acts on maximal rank stalks exactly by the projection map, see for example Figure 17.

Finally, we consider the base cases $\mathrm{Gr}(1,3)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}(2,3)$. For the former there is essentially nothing to show, so we only explicitly discuss the latter. There is only one reduced plabic graph, a trivalent black vertex connected to three white vertices on the boundary. Let X_{12}, X_{23}, X_{31} denote the face coordinates on $Loc_1^{fr}(\Gamma)$, where e.g. X_{12} is the parallel transport from vertex 1 to vertex 2. With the perfect orientation

such that 1 is a source, 2 and 3 sinks, we have

$$\mathbb{B}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{12} = X_{31}^{-1}, \quad \mathbb{B}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{13} = X_{12}, \quad \mathbb{B}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{23} = 1.$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{M}_\Gamma$ is determined by the invariance of microlocalization:

$$X_{12} = \mathbb{M}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{23} / \mathbb{M}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{13}, \quad X_{23} = \mathbb{M}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{31} / \mathbb{M}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{21}, \quad X_{31} = \mathbb{M}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{12} / \mathbb{M}^*_\Gamma \Delta_{32}.$$

Up to signs, this agrees with $\mathbb{B}_\Gamma$, completing the proof. □

6. Distinguishing fillings

A standard way of distinguishing Hamiltonian isotopy classes of Lagrangians in a symplectic manifold is to compute Floer homology. These calculations are in general nontrivial, and it is also nontrivial to organize the information extracted from them in a meaningful way. In this section, we observe that our results thus far allow us to package a great deal of Floer theoretic information into structures of cluster algebra. We apply this towards the task of distinguishing Lagrangian fillings of Legendrian knots. We also explain how results about alternating Legendrians in $T^\infty\mathbb{R}^2$ (which necessarily have nontrivial winding number around the fibers) lead to results about Legendrians in $\mathbb{R}^3$. This provides, for example, new combinatorial constructions of inequivalent exact fillings of Legendrian torus links in $\mathbb{R}^3$, as well as information about how these fillings are related by surgery.

Fix some Legendrian Λ, and let Λ_α be a collection of alternating Legendrians equipped with Legendrian isotopies to Λ. Let L_α be the exact filling of Λ obtained by Hamiltonian isotopy from the conjugate Lagrangian filling of Λ_α. Assume in addition that the various Λ_α can be isotoped to each other via square moves. Then it follows from our results that the comparison of charts amongst the $Loc_1(L_\alpha)$ are governed by cluster transformation rules computable from the dual quiver to the bicolored graph determining any one of the Λ_α. These rules, and in particular the question of whether two such charts are the same, have received extensive study in the combinatorial literature. The following is immediate from our Floer-theoretic interpretation of the comparison between the $Loc_1(L_\alpha)$:

Proposition 6.1. *In the above setting, if L_α is Hamiltonian isotopic (fixing the boundary) to L_β, then the induced rational morphism $Loc_1(L_\alpha) \dashrightarrow Loc_1(L_\beta)$ is a regular isomorphism.*

We can apply the above notion to any class of links which have alternating representatives. For example, let $\bigcirc \subset T^\infty\mathbb{R}^2$ be a co-circle, β a positive braid, and Δ the half-twist. Lemma 5.5 asserts that every word for β gives rise to an alternating representative of $\beta\Delta^2 \looparrowright \bigcirc$, but there are generally more. If $\beta = T_{k,n}$ is the (k,n) torus braid, then $T_{k,n}\Delta^2 = T_{k,n+k}$ and $T_{k,n+k} \looparrowright \bigcirc$ is the braid corresponding to the big positroid stratum of $Gr(k,n+k)$. The enumeration of inequivalent reduced plabic graphs for a fixed positroid was studied in [OPS]. In the case of the big stratum of $Gr(k,n+k)$, they are in bijection with maximal collections of pairwise weakly separated k-element subsets of $[1,n]$. One says two k-element subsets $I, J \subset [1,n]$ are weakly separated if they can be cycically shifted so that every element of $I \smallsetminus (I \cap J)$ is less than every element of $J \smallsetminus (I \cap J)$.

Proposition 6.2. *The link $T_{k,n+k} \looparrowright \bigcirc$ admits a collection of exact Lagrangian fillings into $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ labelled by maximal pairwise weakly separated k-element subsets of $[1,n]$. No two are Hamiltonian isotopic. In particular, if $k = 2$ the number of distinct exact Lagrangian fillings is at least the Catalan number C_{n-2}.*

Proof. That distinct maximal weakly separated collections correspond to reduced plabic graphs whose boundary measurement maps have distinct images follows from the results of [MS]. Loc. cited shows the image of the boundary measurement map is defined (up to a fixed global automorphism) by the nonvanishing of a collection of Plücker coordinates associated to the graph as in [Sco]. Distinct weakly separated collections correspond to distinct collections of Plücker coordinates, hence their nonvanishing loci are distinct. The main statement then follows from Lemma 6.1, and the Catalan numbers of the $k = 2$ case appear since in this case reduced plabic graphs are in correspondence with triangulations of an n-gon [FZ]. □

There is analogous notion of weakly separated collection in a more general positroid, and using this Lemma 6.2 generalizes to any positive annular braid arising from a positroid stratum. We refer to [OPS] for the relevant definitions and results. Except for the open positroid stratum of $\mathrm{Gr}(2,n)$, we do not know of a closed formula for the number of maximal weakly separated collections.

A Legendrian of the form $\beta \looparrowright \bigcirc$ lives in $T^\infty\mathbb{R}^2$; the above statement concerns its fillings in $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$. However, it is more common to consider Legendrians in the standard contact $\mathbb{R}^3$ and their fillings in its symplectization $\mathbb{R}^4$. Of course, we can view $\mathbb{R}^3 = J^1(\mathbb{R})$ as half the co-circle bundle of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and correspondingly view $\mathbb{R}^4$ as $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$. However, now the front projections of the knots will have cusps, a phenomenon we have avoided throughout this paper.

Nonetheless, our techniques have implications for this setting. The basic point is the existence of the following symplectomorphism, which was explained to us by Emmy Murphy.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\mathbf{H}^2_{x,y}$ be the lower half plane ($y < 0$), and let $T^-\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}$ be the cotangent vectors evaluating negatively on ∂_b. There is an exact symplectomorphism $T^*\mathbf{H}_{x,y<0} \cong T^-\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}$, inducing a contactomorphism $T^\infty\mathbf{H}^2_{x,y} \cong T^{\infty,-}\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}$, carrying a co-circle $\bigcirc \subset T^\infty\mathbf{H}^2_{x,y}$ to an eye unknot $\leftrightarrows \subset T^{\infty,-}\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}$.*

Proof. The essence of the proof is the observation that "Fourier transform" provides a symplectomorphism $T^*\mathbf{R}^2_{x,y} \cong T^*\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}$: in coordinates $(x, y; \xi, \eta)$ and $(a, b; \alpha, \beta)$, we set $a = \xi, b = \eta, \alpha = -x, \beta = -y$. Under the coordinate identification of these spaces with $\mathbf{R}^4$, the symplectomorphism preserves the radial vector field and therefore induces a contactomorphism between any pairs of hypersurfaces related by a combination of this symplectomorphism and a variable dilation.

Let us review the relevant symplectic geometry of this statement about variable dilations. Suppose $(M, \omega = d\theta)$ is an exact symplectic manifold, with V satisfying $\iota_V\omega = \theta$ the associated Liouville vector field. Now suppose $i : C \to M$ is a hypersurface transverse to V. Then $i^*\theta$ is a coorientation on C and defines a contact structure. Now suppose $f : C \to \mathbf{R}$ is a function. Extend fV to M and let ϕ_t represent the flow by this vector field. Then we claim $\phi_t|_C : C \to \phi_t(C)$ is a contactomorphism for all t. Proof: $\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_t^*\theta) = \phi_t^*(\mathcal{L}_{fV}\theta) = \phi_t^*(\iota_{fV}d\theta) = \phi_t^*(f\iota_V d\theta) = (\phi_t^* f)\phi_t^*\theta$; therefore $\phi_t^*\theta = \theta$ at $t = 0$ and only changes by scalar multiples at each point, leaving the contact structure (but not its coorientation) unchanged.

Let's be explicit about how these constructions look in coordinates.

Contact manifold	$T^\infty\mathbf{R}^{2,-}_{x,y<0}$	$T^-\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}\vert_{S^1}$ or $T^{\infty,-}(\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b} \setminus 0)$
Symplectization	$T'\mathbf{R}^{2,-}_{x,y<0}$	$T^-(\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b} \setminus 0)$
Coordinates	$x, y < 0$ ξ, η	$a = -\xi,\ b = -\eta$ $\alpha = x,\ \beta = y < 0$
Symplectic form ω	$\omega = dxd\xi + dyd\eta$	$\omega = dad\alpha + dbd\beta$
Liouville field V	$\frac{1}{2}(x\partial_x + \xi\partial_\xi + y\partial_y + \eta\partial_\eta)$	$\frac{1}{2}(a\partial_a + \alpha\partial_\alpha + b\partial_b + \beta\partial_\beta)$
Primitive $\psi = \iota_V\omega$	$\frac{1}{2}(xd\xi - \xi dx + yd\eta - \eta dy)$	$\frac{1}{2}(ad\alpha - \alpha da + bd\beta - \beta db)$
Symplectic Filling	$T^*\mathbf{R}^{2,-}_{x,y}$	$T^-\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}$

In the right column, we see that there are two contact manifolds to which we can associate the same symplectization. This is because a point in $T^-\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}|_{S^1}$ has $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ and $\beta < 0$, so is related by dialtion to a unique point in $T^{\infty,-}(\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b} \setminus 0)$, i.e. with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$ and $\beta < 0$ — see Figure 18



FIGURE 18. Dilation of all four coordinates scales a downward vector at a point on the unit circle to a downward, unit vector at a nonzero point. That is, $T^-\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}|_{S^1} \cong T^{\infty,-}(\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b} \setminus 0)$.

So these two hypersurfaces are contactomorphic, and therefore their symplectizations are symplectomorphic. The later one $T^{\infty,-}(\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b} \setminus 0)$ can also be expressed as the hypersurface $\beta = -1$.

Let us be explicit about radial projection, combined with the coordinate change of Fourier transform, gives a contactomorphism of the hypersurface $\{\beta = -1\} \subset T^-(\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b})$ with $\{\xi^2 + \eta^2 = 1\} \subset T^*\mathbf{R}^{2,]}_{x,y<0}$. The point $(a, b; \alpha, \beta = -1)$ radially projects to

$$\left(x = -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}, \quad y = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}, \quad \xi = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}, \quad \eta = \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}\right) \tag{6.0.1}$$

Let us define the angular coordinate θ so that $\xi = \cos(\theta)$ and $\eta = \sin(\theta)$, and pull back the one-form $\psi|_{\beta=-1}$ to the hypersurface $\{\xi^2 + \eta^2 = 1\}$ coordinatized by (x, y, θ). Putting $c := \cos(\theta)$ and $s := \sin(\theta)$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2y^2}\left(-cdx - sdy + (-xs + yc)d\theta\right)$$

which since it is conformal to $\psi|_{\{\xi^2+\eta^2=1\}}$ establishes that the map is a contactomorphism.

The bottom line of the table therefore shows that the coordinate change of Fourier transform exhibits an exact symplectomorphism between symplectic fillings extending the symplectizations of $T^\infty\mathbf{R}^{2,-}_{x,y<0}$ and $T^{\infty,-}(\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b} \setminus 0)$ and inducing a contactomorphism of these hypersurfaces.

Now we want to show that the Legendrian unknot fiber of a point maps under the coordinate changes to a Legendrian unknot with standard eye-shaped front diagram. This allows us to conclude that the satellite constructions are related by the contactomorphism as claimed.

To see the second point, let us first write the contact hypersurface as $\mathbf{R}^3_{std}$. So set $\beta = -1$ in the right column. Then the one-form $\psi := \iota_V\omega$ restricts to $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(ad\alpha - \alpha da - db)$. Put

$$k = \frac{1}{2}(-b + a\alpha), \qquad j = \alpha, \qquad i = a.$$

Then $\psi = dk - jdi$. The transformation is easily inverted: $a = i$, $b = ij - 2k$, $\alpha = j$. Also note that $a = b = 0 \iff i = k = 0$, so for $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ we have the standard contact structure on $\mathbf{R}^3_{i,j,k} \setminus \{j\text{-axis}\}$. Next we set $\xi^2+\eta^2 = 1$ in the first column. Using polar coordinates to write $(\xi, \eta) = (\rho c, \rho s,)$ we coordinatize the hypersurface $\rho = 1$ with (x, y, θ). Then the one-form ψ restricts to $-\frac{1}{2}(cdx + sdy) - \frac{1}{2}(xs - yc)d\theta$. This is not the contact structure $-cdx' - sdy'$ induced from the tautological one-form, in which the circle fiber is Legendrian. However, we can use Moser's trick to define an explicit contactomorphism. Set $x' = \frac{1+s^2}{4}x - \frac{sc}{4}y$, $y' = \frac{1+c^2}{4}y - \frac{sc}{4}x, \theta' = \theta$, which inverts to $x = \frac{1+c^2}{2}x' + \frac{sc}{2}y'$, $y = \frac{1+s^2}{2}y' + \frac{sc}{2}x', \theta = \theta'$. Then the one-form $-cdx' - sdy'$ pulls back to $-\frac{1}{4}(cdx + sdy + (xs - yc)d\theta)$ defining the contactomorphism.

Now we can investigate the Legendrian circle fiber over the point $x' = 0, y' = -1$, parametrized by θ.[3] In coordinates x, y, θ we have $x = -\frac{sc}{2}, y = -\frac{1+s^2}{2}$. What does this look like in the standard contact structure on $\mathbf{R}^3_{i,j,k}$? Using Equation (6.0.1) and the contactomorphisms between $\mathbf{R}^3_{i,j,k}$ and $T^*\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}|_{S^1}$ and $T^\infty\mathbf{R}^2_{x,y}$, and putting $\xi = c = \cos(\theta), \eta = s = \sin(\theta)$, we must solve

$$c = i/\Delta, \qquad s = (ij - 2k)/\Delta, \qquad x = -j/\Delta, \qquad y = -1/\Delta,$$

where $\Delta := \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{i^2 + (ij - 2k)^2}$. We find

$$i = -\frac{c}{y}, \qquad j = \frac{x}{y}, \qquad k = \frac{1}{2y^2}(-cx + sy).$$

With x and y as above we find

$$i = \frac{2c}{1+s^2}, \qquad j = \frac{sc}{1+s^2}, \qquad k = \frac{-2s^3}{(1+s^2)^2}.$$

This Legendrian knot has a "standard" eye-shaped front projection i-k plane, as shown below:

[3] In fact, a circle fiber projects to a point in the plane, so we should properly Reeb flow it a bit first (taking $x' = ac, y' = -1+ac$ for a small) and then apply the contactomorphism to the cocircle bundle of the spirograph plane. The resulting unknot eye turns out a bit droopy, but with no modifications of any substance.



□

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\Lambda \subset J^1(S^1)$ be a Legendrian, and let $\bigcirc \subset T^\infty \mathbf{H}^2_{x,y}$ and $\backsim \subset T^{\infty,-}\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}$ be as above. Then exact Lagrangian fillings of the satellite $\Lambda \looparrowright \bigcirc$ inside $T^*\mathbf{H}^2_{x,y}$ are in bijective correspondence with those of $\Lambda \looparrowright \backsim$ inside $T^-\mathbf{R}^2_{a,b}$, respecting Hamiltonian isotopy.*

Remark 6.5. Since fillings are compact relative to the boundary, there is no difference between discussing fillings in $T^*\mathbf{H}^2$ and in $T^*\mathbf{R}^2$.

Corollary 6.4 allows us to translate our results to statements about Legendrians in the usual contact $\mathbb{R}^3$. To make this explicit, let us describe more explicitly the links of the form $\Lambda \looparrowright \backsim$.

For a positive braid β, we write $\beta^\succ$ for the Legendrian with the following front diagram:



Here the braid is $\beta = s_1 s_2 s_3$. In general, we can place any positive braid in the the interior of the dashed region. We called this the "rainbow closure" in [STZ, Sec. 6.2.]. This Legendrian is a maximal Thurston-Benniquin representative of the braid closure of β [Tan], related to the above satellite construction as follows:

Proposition 6.6. *Let β be a positive braid. Then $\Delta\beta\Delta \looparrowright \backsim$ and $\beta^\succ$ are Legendrian isotopic.*

Proof. According to [Ng], the isotopy of Figure 19 relates $\beta^\succ$. to $\Delta\beta\Delta \looparrowright \backsim$. □



FIGURE 19. Equivalence of rainbow closure (left) and satellite with half twist at cusps (right).

By [EH], $T^\succ_{k,n}$ is the unique Legendrian (k,n) torus knot of maximal Thurston-Bennequin number.

Corollary 6.7. *The Legendrian (k,n) torus knot of maximal Thurston-Bennequin number admits a collection of exact Lagrangian fillings labelled by maximal pairwise weakly separated k-element subsets of $[1,n]$. No two are Hamiltonian isotopic. In particular, if $k=2$ the number of distinct exact Lagrangian fillings is at least the Catalan number C_{n-2}.*

We leave it to the reader to formulate the analogous statement related to more general positroids using the notion of weakly separated collections in a positroid [OPS].

References

[ABCGPT] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Jacob Bourjaily, Freddy Cachazo, Alexander Goncharov, Alexander Postnikov, and Jaroslav Trnka, *Scattering amplitudes and the postiive Grassmannian*, arXiv:1212.5605. 41

[BV1] Donald Babbitt and Veeravalli Varadarajan, *Formal reduction theory of meromorphic differential equations: a group theoretic view*, Pacific J. Math. 108 (1983), 1-80. 5, 21

[BV2] Donald Babbitt and Veeravalli Varadarajan, *Local moduli for meromorphic differential equations*, Bulletin (New Series) of the AMS 12.1 (1985). 5, 21

[BV3] Donald Babbitt and Veeravalli Varadarajan, Deformations of nilpotent matrices over rings and reduction of analytic families of meromorphic differential equations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **55**.325 (1985). 5, 21

[Bea] Arnaud Beauville, *Systemes hamiltoniens completement intégrables associés aux surfaces K3*, in Problems in the theory of surfaces and their classification (Cortona, 1988) (1991): 25-31. 8

[BBJ] David Ben-Zvi, Adrien Brochier, David Jordan, *Integrating quantum groups over surfaces: quantum character varieties and topological field theory*, arxiv:1501.04652. 9

[BPZ] Francesco Benini, Daniel Park and Peng Zhao, *Cluster Algebras from dualities of 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ Quiver Gauge Theories*, arXiv:1406.2699. 11

[BFZ] Arkady Berenstein, Sergey Fomin, and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells*, Duke Mathematical Journal 126.1 (2005): 1-52. 4, 10

[BR] Arkady Berenstein and Vladimir Retakh, *Noncommutative marked surfaces*, arxiv:1510.02628. 11

[CPTVV] Damien Calaque, Tony Pantev, Bertrand Toën, Michel Vaquie, Gabriele Vezzosi, *Shifted Poisson Structures and Deformation Quantization*, arXiv:1506.03699. 9

[CW] Sergey Cherkis and Richard Ward, *Moduli of monopole walls and amoebas*, arXiv:1202.1294. 8

[Del] Pierre Deligne, Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 163, (Springer-Verlag 1970). 21

[DMR] Pierre Deligne, Bernard Malgrange, and Jean-Pierre Ramis, Singularités irrégulièrs: Correspondance et Documents, (SMF 2007). 5, 21

[EENS] Tobias Ekholm, John Etnyre, Lenhard Ng, Michael Sullivan, *Knot contact homology*, Geom. Topol. 17 (2013): 975–1112. 11

[EHK] Tobias Ekholm, Ko Honda, Tamás Kálmán, *Legendrian knots and exact Lagrangian cobordism*, arxiv:1212.1519. 8

[EH] John Etynyre and Ko Honda, *Knots and contact geometry I: torus knots and the figure eight knot*, Journal of Symplectic Geometry 1.1 (2001): 63–120. 47

[FHKV] Bo Feng, Yang-Hui He, Kristian Kennaway, and Cumrun Vafa, *Dimer models from mirror symmetry and quivering amoebae*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **12** (2008): 489–545. 24

[FLTZ] Bohan Fang, Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, David Treumann, and Eric Zaslow, *A Categorification of Morelli's Theorem*, Invent. Math. **185** (2011). 8

[FG1] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 103 (2006): 1–211. 7, 8, 10, 21, 36

[FG2] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. 42 (2009): 865–930. 4, 7, 9, 38

[FG3] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Dual Teichmüller and lamination spaces*, arxiv:math/0510312. 7

[FG4] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Cluster $\mathcal{X}$-varieties, amalgamation, and Poisson-Lie groups*, in Algebraic geometry and number theory (Birkhäuser 2006): 27-68. 35

[FM] Vladimir Fock and Andrei Marshakov, *Loop Groups, Clusters, Dimers, and Integrable Systems*, arxiv:1401.1606. 8

[Fom] Sergey Fomin, *Total Positivity and Cluster Algebras*, arxiv:1005.1086. 38

[FST] Sergey Fomin, Michael Shapiro, and Dylan Thurston, *Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. Part I: Cluster complexes*, Acta Math. 201 (2008), 83–146. 7, 36

[FZ] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **15**.2 (2001): 497–529. 7, 10, 35, 44

[FZ1] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Double Bruhat cells and total positivity*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 12 (1999): 335–380. 35

[FZ2] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras II: Finite type classification*, Inventiones Mathematicae 154.1 (2003): 63-121. 8

[FHKVW] Sebastian Franco, Amihay Hanany, Kristian Kennaway, David Vegh, and Brian Wecht, *Brane dimers and quiver gauge theories*, arXiv:hep-th/0504110. 8

[FOOO] Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta, and Kaoru Ono, Lagrangian Intersection Floer Theory: Anomaly and Obstruction, Part I, Stud. Adv. Math. 46.1 (AMS 2009). 7, 40

[GSTV] Michael Gekhtman, Michael Shapiro, Serge Tabachnikov, and Alek Vainshtein, *Integrable cluster dynamics of directed networks and pentagram maps*, arXiv:1406.1883. 8

[GSV] Michael Gekhtman, Michael Shapiro, and Alek Vainshtein, *Cluster algebras and Poisson geometry*, Mosc. Math. J., 3.3 (2003): 899–934. 7, 9

[GSV2] Michael Gekhtman, Michael Shapiro, and Alek Vainshtein, *Cluster algebras and Weil-Petersson forms*, Duke Mathematical Journal 127.2 (2005): 291–311. 7

[GM] Israel Gelfand and Robert MacPherson, *Geometry in Grassmannians and a generalization of the dilogarithm*, Advances in Mathematics 44.3 (1982): 279–312. 21

[GMN] Davide Gaiotto, Gregory Moore and Andy Neitzke, *Wall Crossing, Hitchin Systems and the WKB Approximation*, Adv. Math **234** (2013): 239–403. 10
[GMN2] Davide Gaiotto, Gregory Moore and Andy Neitzke, *Spectral networks*, arxiv:1204.4824. 10
[GMN3] Davide Gaiotto, Gregory Moore and Andy Neitzke, *Spectral networks and snakes*, arXiv:1209.0866. 10
[GK] Alexander Goncharov and Richard Kenyon, *Dimers and cluster integrable systems*, Ann. Sci. ENS **46** (2013) 747–813. 6, 8, 23, 24, 32, 39
[Gon] Alexander Goncharov, *Ideal webs*, various talks. 7, 10
[GHK] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, and Sean Keel, *Birational geometry of cluster algebras*, Algebraic Geometry **2**.2 (2015), 137–175. 38
[Gro] Alexander Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III., Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. 28 (1966): 103–104, 30
[Gui] Stéphane Guillermou, *Quantization of conic Lagrangian submanifolds of cotangent bundles*, arxiv:1212.5818. 3, 12
[GKS] Stéphane Guillermou, Masaki Kashiwara, and Pierre Schapira, *Sheaf Quantization of Hamiltonian Isotopies and Applications to Nondisplaceability Problems,* Duke Math J. **161** (2012): 201–245. 3, 6, 13, 14, 30, 31, 37
[HK] Amihay Hanany and Kristian Kennaway, *Dimer models and toric diagrams*, arxiv:hep-th/0503149. 8
[HS] Kyle Hayden and Joshua Sabloff, *Positive Knots and Lagrangian Fillability*, arxiv:1307.7683. 8
[Jin] Xin Jin, *Holomorphic Branes Correspond to Perverse Sheaves,* Geometry & Topology **19** (2015) 1685–1735. 15
[Kál] Tamás Kálmán, *Contact homology and one parameter families of Legendrian knots*, Geometry & Topology **9** (2005): 2013–2078. 10
[KS] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, Sheaves on Manifolds, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschafte **292** (Springer-Verlag, 1990). 3, 11, 12, 29
[Kel] Bernhard Keller, *On differential graded categories*, arxiv:math/0601185. 11
[Kel2] Bernhard Keller, *Cluster algebras and derived categories*, arxiv:1202.4161. 38
[Kuw] Tatsuki Kuwagaki, *The nonequivariant coherent-constructible correspondence for toric surfaces*, 1507.05393. 8
[KKP] Ludmil Katzarkov, Maxim Kontsevich and Tony Pantev, *Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry*, arXiv:0806.0107. 5, 22
[KoS] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman, Affine structures and non-Archimedean analytic spaces, (Birkhäuser 2006). 7, 40
[KoS2] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations*, arXiv:0811.2435. 7, 40
[KLS] Allen Knutson, Thomas Lam, and David Speyer, *Positroid varieties: juggling and geometry*, Compos. Math. **149**.10 (2013): 1710–1752. 4, 18, 19, 21
[Lec] Bernard Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and representation theory*, arXiv:1009.4552. 38
[LS] François Lalonde and Jean-Claude Sikorav, *Sois-variétés lagrangiennes et lagrangiennes exact des fibrés contangents*, Comm. Math. Helvet. (1991), 18–33. 7, 40
[Lur] Jacob Lurie, *Derived Algebraic Geometry*, available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie. 16
[Mal] Bernard Malgrange, *La classification des connexions irrégulières à une variable*, in Mathematics and physics (Paris, 1979/1982); Progr. Math. **37** (Birkhäuser 1983) 381–399. 5, 21
[MS] Greg Muller and David Speyer, *The twist for positroid varieties*, unpublished manuscript. 44
[MSW2] Gregg Musiker, Ralf Schiffler, and Lauren Williams, *Bases for cluster algebras from surfaces*, arxiv:1110.4364. 10
[Nad] David Nadler, *Microlocal branes are constructible sheaves*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **15** (2009) 563–619. 3, 5, 15, 28
[Nad2] David Nadler, *Fukaya Categories as Categorical Morse Homology*, SIGMA 10 (2014). 15
[Nad3] David Nadler, *Arboreal singularities*, arxiv:1309.4122. 9
[NZ] David Nadler and Eric Zaslow, *Constructible Sheaves and the Fukaya Category*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 233–286. 3, 5, 15, 23, 26, 27, 28
[Ng] Lenhard Ng, *The Legendrian satellite construction*, arxiv:math/0112105. 18, 47
[NRSS] Lenhard Ng, Daniel Rutherford, Vivek Shende, and Steven Sivek, *The cardinality of the augmentation category*, arxiv:1511.06724. 16
[NRSSZ] Lenhard Ng, Daniel Rutherford, Vivek Shende, and Steven Sivek, and Eric Zaslow, *Augmentations are Sheaves*, arXiv:1502.04939. 3, 10, 11
[OPS] Suho Oh, Alexander Postnikov, David Speyer, *Weak Separation and Plabic Graphs*, arXiv:1109.4434. 8, 44, 47
[PTVV] Tony Pantev, Bertrand Toën, Michel Vaquie, and Gabriele Vessozi, *Shifted Symplectic Structures*, arXiv:1111.3209. 9, 16
[Pos] Alexander Postnikov, *Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks*, arxiv:0609764. 4, 6, 7, 9, 17, 18, 23, 24, 31, 32, 41
[Pol] Leonid Polterovich, *The surgery of Lagrange submanifolds*, Geometric & Functional Analysis 1.2 (1991), 198–210. 7, 40
[Sco] Joshua Scott, *Grassmannians and cluster algebras*, Proc. of the London Mathematical Society 92.02 (2006): 345-380. 44
[Sei] Paul Seidel, *Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory* 15
[Sei2] Paul Seidel, *Lectures on categorical dynamics and symplectic topology*, http://www-math.mit.edu/~seidel/937/lecture-notes.pdf
[Sh] Vivek Shende, *Generating families and constructible sheaves*, arXiv:1504.01336. 3
[ShT] Vivek Shende and Alex Takeda, *Orientations on locally arboreal spaces*, in preparation. 9, 16
[STW] Vivek Shende, David Treumann, and Harold Williams, *Cluster varieties from Weinstein 4-manifolds*, in preparation. 9, 31
[STWZ] Vivek Shende, David Treumann, Harold Williams and Eric Zaslow, *Integrable systems from Legendrian knots*, in preparation. 8

[STZ] Vivek Shende, David Treumann and Eric Zaslow, *Legendrian Knots and Constructible Sheaves*, arXiv:1402.0490. 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 29, 30, 47

[SvdP] Michael Singer and Marius van der Put, Galois theory of linear differential equations, Grun. der math. Wiss. 328 (Springer 2003).

[Sto] George Stokes, *On the discontinuity of arbitrary constants that appear in divergent developments*, Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 10 (1864): 105. 22

[Tal] Kelli Talaska, *A formula for Plücker coordinates associated with a planar network*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2008). 41

[Tam] Dmitry Tamarkin, *Microlocal condition for non-displaceablility*, arXiv:0809.1584. 3

[Tan] Toshifumi Tanaka, *Maximal Bennequin numbers and Kauffman polynomials of positive links*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 127.11 (1999): 3427–3432. 47

[Thu] Dylan Thurston, *From dominoes to hexagons*, arxiv:math/0405482. 6, 9, 31, 32

[Toë1] Bertand Toën, *Higher and derived stacks: a global overview*, in: Algebraic geometry: Seattle 2005, Part I, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math **80**, AMS, 2009. 16

[Toë2] Bertand Toën, *Derived algebraic geometry*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. **1** (2014) 153–240. 16

[Toë3] Bertrand Toën, *Lectures on DG-categories*, in Topics in Algebraic and Topological K-Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2008 (Springer 2011), 243-302. 11

[TVa] Bertrand Toën and Michel Vaquie, *Moduli of objects in dg-categories*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40** (2007) 387–444. 16

[TVe1] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi, *From HAG to DAG: derived moduli stacks*, in: Axiomatic, enriched, and motivic homotopy theory, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math Phys. Chem. **131** (Kluwer Acad. Publ. 2004), 173–216. 16

[TVe2] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi, *Homotopical algebraic geometry, I. Topos theory*, Adv. Math. **193** (2005), 257–372. 16

[TVe3] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi, *Homotopical algebraic geometry, II. Geometric stacks and applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **193** (2008). 16

[Was] Wolfgang Wasow, Asymptotic Expansions for Ordinary Differential Equations (Interscience, 1965). 23

[Wil] Harold Williams, *Toda systems, cluster characters, and spectral networks*, arxiv:1411.3692. 10

[Yam] Masahito Yamazaki, *Brane Tilings and their Applications,* Fortschritte der Physik **56** (2008): 555–686. 8, 11

[Yau] Mei-Lin Yau, *Surgery and invariants of Lagrangian surfaces*, arXiv:1306.5304. 9